

Qualified Small Business Stock: Quest for Quantum Exclusions

by Paul S. Lee, L. Joseph Comeau,
Julie Miraglia Kwon, and Syida C. Long



Paul S. Lee



L. Joseph Comeau



Julie Miraglia Kwon



Syida C. Long

Paul S. Lee is the global fiduciary strategist for The Northern Trust Company, L. Joseph Comeau is a managing director at Andersen, Julie Miraglia Kwon is a partner at McDermott Will & Emery LLP, and Syida C. Long is a managing director at Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC. The authors thank Daniel Zucker, Gary Karch, Kevin Hall, Alejandro Ruiz, and Harry Dao of McDermott for their substantial guidance and assistance with this report.

In this three-part report, the authors analyze the section 1202 qualified small business stock exclusion and planning with that stock, providing insight on issues for which no or little guidance exists. This first installment focuses on the shareholder- and corporate-level qualifications under section 1202 and explains why the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act and the COVID-19 pandemic have made qualified small business stock more relevant than ever.

Portions of these materials were originally prepared for the annual Heckerling Institute on Estate Planning in 2019 and published by LexisNexis Matthew Bender, and are reprinted with the permission of the Heckerling Institute and the University of Miami.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of the institution that each represents. The information herein is provided solely for educational purposes. While this material is based on information believed to be reliable, no warranty is given as to its accuracy or completeness and it should not be relied upon as such. Information and opinions provided herein are as of the date of this material only and are subject to change without notice.

Copyright 2020 Paul S. Lee, L. Joseph Comeau, Julie Miraglia Kwon, and Syida C. Long.
All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

I. QSBS: The Next Big Bang?	16
A. Introduction	16
B. QSBS: Why Now?	16
II. Shareholder and Corporate Qualifications	22
A. Exclusion Percentage and QSBS Rate	22
B. \$10 Million and 10 Times Basis Limitations	23
C. Qualified QSBS Shareholders	26
D. Eligible Gain (Five-Year Holding Period)	27
E. QSBS: Original Issuance Requirement	28
F. QSBS: Active Business Requirement	31
G. QSB Defined	39
H. Tax-Free Exchanges	42

I. QSBS: The Next Big Bang?

A. Introduction

The exclusion for gain on qualified small business stock (QSBS) as set out in section 1202 has been available to taxpayers for more than 25 years. However, for a variety of historical and structural reasons, the exclusion was not particularly popular with investors and owners of small businesses, with the notable exception of emerging technology companies. Since its inception in 1993,¹ section 1202 has been subject to several legislative changes, and with the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act,² we expect QSBS to become a mainstream planning option for owners of new and preexisting businesses, particularly those that are now structured as passthrough entities (for example, entities taxed as partnerships, disregarded entities, and S corporations).

¹Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, P.L. 103-66, more commonly referred to as the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1993 (RRA 1993), section 13113. See also *Voss v. Commissioner*, 796 F.3d 2051 (9th Cir. 2015).

²P.L. 115-97 ("H.R. 1 — An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to titles II and V of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018."). The Senate parliamentarian removed the short title "Tax Cuts and Jobs Act" as extraneous. Hereinafter, P.L. 115-97 will nonetheless be referred to as the "Tax Cuts and Jobs Act" or "TCJA."

The benefits of QSBS treatment are significant: a 100 percent exclusion of gain; the option to roll over and defer taxable gain by reinvesting in other QSBS companies; and the ability to "multiply" the exclusion through gifts, transfers at death, and careful pre-issuance planning. The qualifications for QSBS treatment are deceptively straightforward. Unfortunately, section 1202 has several internal inconsistencies, and very little case law or IRS administrative guidance on QSBS has been issued. Further, because of how QSBS qualification is structured, there are many ways to inadvertently lose QSBS status or otherwise reduce the potential benefit of the exclusion. Thus, there are many unanswered questions and potential pitfalls in the quest for QSBS benefits.

This report explains how the planning landscape has changed and makes a case for why today is the time to seriously consider QSBS for new and preexisting closely held businesses. It discusses the basic elements and qualifications of QSBS under sections 1202 and 1045. Importantly, in the third installment of this report, we address the many unresolved questions and issues surrounding QSBS planning and provide practical answers and guidance on those issues. Finally, we discuss planning opportunities that maximize the QSBS exclusion and the common mistakes made by practitioners in this area.

B. QSBS: Why Now?

1. The TCJA: Paving the way for QSBS.

By definition, QSBS is stock originally issued by a C corporation. As such, before the enactment of the TCJA, many businesses did not consider QSBS a viable planning option because it would have required them to do business as a C corporation, which was subject to tax at the entity level at 35 percent (for a minimum of five years because of the five-year holding period requirement to get the benefit of the QSBS exclusion). Effective for tax years starting after December 31, 2017, the TCJA permanently reduced the corporate tax rate to 21 percent,³ so the "penalty" of doing business as a C corporation has been greatly reduced, particularly for businesses that do not anticipate making

³TCJA section 13001; section 11.

significant dividend distributions in the near future (thereby deferring the shareholder-level tax).

The TCJA added new section 199A⁴ (qualified business income) for the benefit of any “taxpayer other than a corporation.”⁵ As such, this provision applies to sole proprietors, independent contractors, disregarded entities, partnerships, and S corporations. Greatly simplified, section 199A provides a temporary 20 percent deduction on the qualified business income from a qualified trade or business, which generally means any trade or business other than a specified service trade or business (SSTB) or the trade or business of performing services as an employee (other than taxpayers who do not exceed a specified threshold amount⁶ in taxable income). At the same time, the TCJA also temporarily reduced the highest marginal income tax bracket on individual taxpayers from 39.6 percent to 37 percent.⁷ Combined, these two provisions would tend to favor doing business through a passthrough entity because if the entire 20 percent deduction is available to the taxpayer-owner, at most the income would be taxed at an effective rate of 29.6 percent (80 percent of 37 percent), which is a lower overall effective rate than if that income is taxed at a flat C corporate rate of 21 percent and then taxed again at the individual shareholder level as a qualified dividend.

Unfortunately, most passthrough businesses will not get the full benefit of the 20 percent deduction. Generally, for taxpayers whose taxable income exceeds the threshold amounts, the section 199A deduction will be limited based in whole or in part on (1) the type of trade or business engaged in by the taxpayer; (2) the amount of Form W-2 wages paid by the trade or business; and (3) the unadjusted basis immediately after acquisition of qualified property held for use in the trade or business. The last two limitations, often referred to as the “wages and basis” limitations, can significantly

limit the deduction under section 199A. Therefore, many individual owners of passthrough businesses will continue to be taxed at 37 percent or at a slightly lower rate. Moreover, although the TCJA temporarily reduced the highest marginal income tax bracket on individual taxpayers to 37 percent, it also severely limits an individual’s ability to deduct state and local sales, income, and property taxes.⁸ In contrast, if those state and local taxes were imposed on a C corporation (rather than being passed through to the owners as partners or shareholders of an S corporation, for example), they would be fully deductible at the entity level as an ordinary and necessary business expense. More important, the section 199A deduction expires January 1, 2026,⁹ whereas the rate reduction for C corporations is permanent. Thus, even passthrough entities that are getting a significant benefit under section 199A might look to convert to a C corporation as 2026 approaches.

Interestingly, most businesses that would qualify for the section 199A deduction will also qualify for QSBS treatment if they were formed as or converted to a C corporation. This is not a coincidence; section 199A specifically refers to section 1202 in defining a qualified trade or business for purposes of the deduction. Under section 199A, qualified business income¹⁰ is the net amount of qualified items for any qualified trade or business of the taxpayer, but it does not include any qualified real estate investment trust dividends, qualified cooperative dividends, or qualified publicly traded partnership income (those items of income are afforded a separate deduction under section 199A). As noted, a qualified trade or business means any trade or business other than an SSTB or the trade or business of performing services as an employee.¹¹ An SSTB¹² includes (1) services that are excluded

⁸Limited to \$10,000 per year, or \$5,000 per year for married individuals filing separately. See TCJA section 11042 and section 164(b)(6) for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, and before January 1, 2026.

⁹Section 199A(i).

¹⁰Section 199A(c)(3)(A).

¹¹Section 199A(d)(1).

¹²The foregoing exclusion from the definition of a qualified business for SSTBs phases in for a taxpayer with taxable income exceeding a threshold amount and becomes fully effective once taxable income exceeds the threshold amount by \$50,000 (\$100,000 for a joint return).

⁴TCJA section 11011.

⁵Section 199A(a).

⁶The threshold amount is \$157,500 for each taxpayer (twice that amount for a joint return). See section 199A(e)(2)(A).

⁷See TCJA section 11001 and section 1(j) for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, and before January 1, 2026.

from the definition of qualified trade or business under section 1202(e)(3)(A), but engineering and architecture services are carved out for these purposes,¹³ leaving services in the fields of health, law, accounting, actuarial science, performing arts, consulting, athletics, financial services, brokerage services, or any trade or business whose principal asset is the reputation or skill of one or more of its employees or owners; and (2) services that consist of investing and investment management, trading, or dealing in securities, partnership interests, or commodities.¹⁴

As discussed later, a qualified trade or business is defined for QSBS purposes in such a way that the universe of QSBS businesses is smaller than the universe of section 199A businesses, but there is substantial overlap. Significantly, on February 8, 2019, Treasury issued final regulations under section 199A¹⁵ that included important guidance on definitional items that are contained in section 1202 but for which no regulatory guidance had been issued. Of course, it could be argued that the guidance under the section 199A final regulations is not applicable to QSBS planning, but sections 199A and 1202 (through deduction, on one hand, and gain exclusion, on the other) are intended to encourage the same type of activity (that is, active trades or businesses). So it is reasonable to conclude that the section 199A final regulations give important, albeit implicit, guidance on QSBS issues.

The exclusion benefit for QSBS requires a sale of the stock of the corporation, but many buyers prefer a purchase of assets, largely so the buyer can succeed to assets with an increased tax basis. One of the business incentives enacted under the TCJA is a temporary 100 percent expensing of some business assets under section 168(k).¹⁶ The provision allows immediate 100 percent expensing for qualified property placed in service after September 27, 2017, reducing the percentage

that may be expensed for property placed in service after January 1, 2023. Qualified property¹⁷ that is eligible for bonus depreciation includes tangible personal property with a recovery period of 20 years or less under the modified accelerated cost recovery system,¹⁸ some depreciable computer software, water utility property,¹⁹ qualified improvement property,¹⁹ and some qualified film and television production property. Recapture of this type of bonus depreciation property is taxable as ordinary income under section 1245.²⁰ If, by way of example, a passthrough entity elects 100 percent bonus depreciation on qualified partnership property under section 168(k), a subsequent asset sale of the qualified property will be taxable to the owners at a maximum ordinary income tax rate of 37 percent (or 39.6 percent if sold after 2025). The federal income tax rate could be even higher if the owner of the passthrough entity is not actively participating in the business, thus requiring the owner to pay an additional 3.8 percent excise tax under section 1411.²¹

If the passthrough entity converts to a C corporation and the asset sale occurs thereafter, the maximum tax rate for the entity is 21 percent and the subsequent distribution of the sale proceeds would typically incur an additional 23.8 percent (resulting in an overall tax burden of 39.8 percent). However, taking advantage of QSBS can significantly reduce the overall tax burden. As a first step, the conversion to a C corporation would need to qualify for nonrecognition treatment under section 351. There seems to be no provision that would trigger recapture on the contribution (or deemed contribution) of the qualified

¹⁷ Section 168(k)(2)(A)(i).

¹⁸ Section 168(k)(2)(A)(i)(I).

¹⁹ Qualified improvement property is generally defined as "any improvement to an interior portion of a building which is nonresidential real property if such improvement is placed in service after the date such building was first placed in service." Section 168(e)(6) (pre-TCJA section 168(k)(3)(A)).

²⁰ See section 1245(a)(3).

²¹ Section 1411(c). The excise tax is on net investment income, which includes gross income derived from a trade or business that is a "passive activity" (within the meaning of section 469) with respect to the taxpayer." Section 1411(c)(2)(A). If an individual or trust owns an interest in a trade or business through a partnership or S corporation, the determination of whether the income is derived in an active or passive trade or business is made at the interest holder level. See reg. section 1.1411-4(b)(2)(i).

¹³ Section 199A(d)(2)(A).

¹⁴ Section 199A(d)(2)(B).

¹⁵ T.D. 9847.

¹⁶ TCJA section 13201.

property to the corporation, assuming all the other requirements for nonrecognition under section 351 are met (for example, property is contributed to a controlled corporation solely in exchange for the corporation's stock).²² If the transferor receives money, nonqualified preferred stock, or other property (that is, boot), gain is triggered to the extent of the boot under section 351(b).²³ The regulations provide that if property subject to recapture under section 1245 is contributed to a corporation and there is partial nonrecognition, the allocation of gain across all the contributed assets is based on relative fair market values.²⁴

Assuming there is no recognition of gain upon conversion to a C corporation, the sale of the bonus depreciation assets will be subject to a preferential 21 percent rate. More important, if the shares of the corporation are QSBS and the shareholders have satisfied the five-year holding period requirement, the proceeds of the sale can be distributed to the shareholders upon liquidation of the corporation, and the gain on the sale will likely qualify for the 100 percent exclusion on gain under section 1202 (thereby eliminating taxation at the shareholder level). Thus, the owners of the business could significantly benefit under section 1202, even in an asset sale (saving on the rate differential between 37 percent/39.6 percent and 21 percent).

2. The COVID-19 pandemic.

Global economies are now stalled as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, forcing most businesses to close to slow the progression of the deadly

²² It has been held that the contribution of other types of ordinary income assets qualifies for nonrecognition treatment under section 351. See, e.g., *Las Cruces Oil Co., Inc. v. Commissioner*, 62 T.C. 764 (1974), acq., 1976-2 C.B. 2 (contribution of inventory). However, if the contributed asset is a market discount bond under section 1276, which generally treats the market discount as ordinary income, the ordinary income portion must be recognized. See section 1276(c) and (d).

²³ See reg. section 1.351-2. If two or more items of property are contributed to a controlled corporation, the amount and character of the gain and how the boot is apportioned among the assets is determined under two different types of methods: the asset-by-asset method and the aggregate method. See Rev. Rul. 68-55, 1968-1 C.B. 140, amplified by Rev. Rul. 85-164, 1985-2 C.B. 117.

²⁴ See reg. section 1.1245-4(c)(1). See also section 1245(b)(3), which provides: "If the basis of property in the hands of a transferee is determined by reference to its basis in the hands of the transferor by reason of the application of section 332, 351, 361, 721, or 731, then the amount of gain taken into account by the transferor under subsection (a)(1) shall not exceed the amount of gain recognized to the transferor on the transfer of such property."

virus. Many small businesses in the United States are struggling to survive, and although the U.S. government has already passed economic relief programs — for example, by enacting the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act (P.L. 116-136), which includes the Paycheck Protection Program and economic injury disaster loans — it is anticipated that more relief and economic stimulus will be needed. To date, the CARES Act and other relief legislation²⁵ will cost the federal government \$2.7 trillion. To offset the resulting budget deficit, it is speculated that income tax rates will need to be increased, which will increase the economic burden on taxpayers.

As discussed later, section 1202 was originally enacted in 1993 to spur investment in small businesses and encourage long-term economic growth. When the U.S. economy went into recession during the global financial crisis of 2007-2009 (also known as the Great Recession), section 1202 was amended to increase the exclusion benefits available to taxpayers who were willing to make long-term investments in small businesses and start-up companies. If the U.S. government is looking for ways to support small businesses and stimulate the economy, Treasury should consider section 1202 a candidate to assist in that effort, with perhaps some needed amendments. As currently written, section 1202 provides a framework and platform to allow preexisting (and new) businesses to attract capital and provide highly attractive tax benefits to long-term investors in those companies. At a time like today when businesses are and will be seeking capital to stay afloat, section 1202 could be an integral tool to stimulate and save the U.S. economy.

Unfortunately, as we discuss in this report, QSBS planning is hampered by a number of practical and structural issues. First, very little guidance has been issued by the IRS on several important aspects of QSBS (for example, the "at all times" qualification of the aggregate gross asset requirement, as defined and discussed later), many of which we highlight and for which we offer practical solutions. Second, if the U.S.

²⁵ Families First Coronavirus Response Act, P.L. 116-127.

government wants to provide significant incentives to investors in small businesses, section 1202 needs to be updated to reflect today's economics. By way of example, the aggregate gross asset requirement is capped at \$50 million, and that figure has never been increased or even indexed for inflation. A significant increase of the \$50 million limit would greatly increase the number of corporations that could qualify for QSBS treatment. Ironically, the recent economic downturn may have caused many corporations that were above the \$50 million upper limit to fall below it, and despite that fact, these corporations may be unable to qualify for QSBS treatment because of the vagaries of the "at all times" requirement.

Finally, some consideration should be given to broadening the definition of those trades or businesses that would qualify under section 1202 and relaxing some asset-holding limitations. Some types of trades or businesses involved in real estate, hospitality, or lodging would likely not qualify under section 1202, but these are the types of industries that are particularly in need of capital today. These types of amendments would not need to be permanent changes to section 1202. What is unique to QSBS is that these broadened or relaxed qualifications can be applied to corporations that issue stock to investors within specified time frames, and afterward the qualifications can expire. Section 1202 has a history of offering different tax benefits based on the date the stock was acquired by the investor, so it is uniquely structured to provide assistance to small businesses — especially today and as the U.S. economy emerges from economic fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic.

3. Evolution of QSBS.

a. Enactment in 1993.

Section 1202 was enacted in 1993.²⁶ As originally enacted, it provided for a 50 percent exclusion from the sale of QSBS owned by noncorporate shareholders for more than five years.²⁷ The amount of the exclusion is limited to the greater of \$10 million per taxpayer or 10 times

the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the shares of the corporation. As discussed in more detail later, these limitations are subject to interpretation and are not as straightforward as they might seem. In any case, because the issuance date of the stock would have to occur after August 10, 1993 (section 1202's date of enactment),²⁸ the earliest a taxpayer would have gotten the benefit of the exclusion was 1998.

Further, since 2003,²⁹ 7 percent of the excluded gain was also considered a preference item for alternative minimum tax purposes.³⁰ Thus, if a taxpayer was subject to AMT and sold QSBS stock, 50 percent of the taxable gain plus 7 percent of the excluded gain (50 percent) was subject to the maximum AMT tax rate of 28 percent, resulting in an effective tax rate of 14.98 percent on the gain from the sale of QSBS.³¹ As we discuss in more detail later, the taxable portion of gain from the sale or exchange of QSBS is subject to a maximum tax rate of 28 percent, not the maximum long-term capital gain tax rate of 20 percent, which was in effect from 1998 until May 2003. So for a taxpayer that was not subject to AMT, the maximum effective rate for the sale of QSBS was 14 percent (50 percent of 28 percent), and if the taxpayer was subject to AMT, the maximum effective rate was 14.98 percent. Thus, although the exclusion of gain for QSBS provided some benefit, the net benefit saved was relatively small (5.02 percent - 6 percent, the difference between a 20 percent capital gain tax on 100 percent of the gain versus the QSBS rate, depending on whether the taxpayer was subject to AMT). From May 2003 through 2012, the maximum long-term capital gain tax rate was 15 percent. So the savings from QSBS sales was even

²⁶ Section 1202(c)(1).

²⁷ See Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003, P.L. 108-27, section 301(b)(3)(A) and (B), and 301(d)(3), effective for dispositions on or after May 6, 2003. As originally enacted in 1993, 50 percent of the excluded gain was a preference item. In 1997 it was reduced to 42 percent of the excluded gain. Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997, P.L. 105-34, section 311(b)(2)(B). In 1998, for stock acquired after December 31, 2000, the preference amount was reduced to 28 percent of the excluded gain. Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998, P.L. 105-206, section 6005(d)(3).

²⁸ See sections 1(h)(7) and 57(a)(7).

²⁹ [50 percent taxable gain + (7 percent * 50 percent of excluded gain)] * 28 percent AMT rate = 53.5 percent gain * 28 percent rate = 14.98 percent.

²⁶ RRA 1993, section 13113.

²⁷ Section 1202(a)(1).

smaller for those taxpayers who were subject to AMT.

b. Increased exclusion in 2009.

In 2009, in the midst of the global financial recession, section 1202 was amended³² to provide a 75 percent exclusion on gain for QSBS issued after February 17, 2009, but before January 1, 2011.³³ In 2010 Congress amended the period so that the 75 percent exclusion applied to stock issued before September 27, 2010.³⁴ Because of the five-year holding period requirement, the earliest time a taxpayer would have been entitled to the 75 percent exclusion was February 18, 2014. In 2013 the maximum long-term capital gain tax rate was increased to 20 percent, and the 3.8 percent excise tax on net investment income under section 1411 became effective.³⁵ However, as mentioned, the taxable gain on the sale of QSBS is taxed at a maximum rate of 28 percent plus the 3.8 percent excise tax. Assuming a taxpayer sells QSBS, which is entitled to a 75 percent exclusion, and the taxpayer is not subject to AMT, the effective tax rate is 7.95 percent (25 percent x 31.3 percent). If, on the other hand, the taxpayer had been subject to AMT, and the taxable event had occurred before the amendments in 2010 (as discussed herein), 25 percent of the taxable gain plus 7 percent of the excluded gain (75 percent) would have been taxed at the maximum 28 percent AMT rate. The result is that the effective tax rate on the sale would have been 8.47 percent.³⁶

c. 100 percent exclusion in 2010 and permanence in 2015.

When Congress amended section 1202 in 2010,³⁷ it provided for a 100 percent exclusion on gain for QSBS issued after September 27, 2010, but before January 1, 2011.³⁸ Moreover, the 2010 tax act

eliminated the AMT preference on the excluded gain.³⁹ As a result, with the five-year holding requirement, for stock issued during this short period, the earliest QSBS shareholders would be entitled to the 100 percent exclusion was September 28, 2015. Each year, until the amendment in 2015, the 100 percent exclusion was subject to sunset, which would have caused the exclusion to revert to 50 percent. There were extensions in subsequent years, and ultimately the reversion never occurred. In 2015 the 100 percent exclusion⁴⁰ and the elimination of the AMT preference⁴¹ were made permanent for all stock issued after September 27, 2010.⁴²

4. Boom in private equity and venture capital.

Coinciding with the enactment and evolution of section 1202, investments in private equity and venture capital have been booming. By definition, private equity and venture capital investing involves direct investment in private companies. According to one report, in 2000 there were approximately 1,608 private equity and venture capital firms with assets of \$577 billion under management. By 2017 those numbers had grown to 4,719 firms with \$2.5 trillion under management.⁴³

Typically, investors in these funds are cashed out if the company goes public, is sold or merged with another firm, or is recapitalized. For the taxable investor in these funds, the ability to claim an exclusion under section 1202 has become a critically important feature that will significantly increase after-tax returns. When QSBS was first enacted in 1993, investors in private equity and venture capital funds were primarily institutional investors that were either tax exempt or were not eligible holders of QSBS entitled to the exclusion. Today, taxable investors (wealthy individuals) are increasingly investing in private equity and

³² American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), P.L. 111-5, section 1241.

³³ See ARRA section 1241.

³⁴ See section 1202(a)(3) (as amended by the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, P.L. 111-240, section 2011).

³⁵ See American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, P.L. 112-240; the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, P.L. 111-152; and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, P.L. 111-148.

³⁶ [25 percent taxable gain + (7 percent * 75 percent of excluded gain)] * 28 percent AMT rate = 30.25 percent gain * 28 percent rate = 8.47 percent.

³⁷ Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, P.L. 111-240, section 2011.

³⁸ *Id.*

³⁹ See section 1202(a)(4)(C).

⁴⁰ Section 1202(a)(4)(A).

⁴¹ Section 1202(a)(4)(C).

⁴² The Protecting Americans From Tax Hikes (PATH) Act of 2015, P.L. 114-113, and Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2016, P.L. 114-113, Division Q, section 126(a), struck out "and before January 1, 2015" following "Creating Small Business Jobs Act of 2010" and in the paragraph heading struck out "2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014" and inserted "and thereafter."

⁴³ Tawfik Hammond et al., "Capitalizing on the New Gold Age in Private Equity," The Boston Consulting Group (Mar. 7, 2017).

Table 1

Acquisition Date	Exclusion Percentage	Maximum QSBS Rate	Maximum QSBS AMT Rate ^a	Maximum Rate (No QSBS)
Aug. 11, 1993 to Feb. 17, 2009	50% ^b	15.9%	16.88%	23.8%
Feb. 18, 2009 to Sept. 27, 2010	75% ^c	7.95%	9.42%	23.8%
After Sept. 27, 2010	100% ^d	0%	0%	23.8%

^aFor taxpayers who acquired their stock on or before September 27, 2010, 7 percent of the excluded gain is a preference item. See sections 57(a)(7) and 1202(a)(4)(C) (section 57(a)(7) is applicable only to QSBS acquired after September 27, 2010). The taxable portion of the gain is subject to the maximum AMT rate of 28 percent plus the 3.8 percent excise tax on NII, but the 7 percent preference item is subject only to the AMT, not the excise tax. As a result, the 50 percent exclusion results in a maximum AMT rate of 16.88 percent, as follows: {[50 percent taxable gain + (7 percent * 50 percent of excluded gain)] * 28 percent AMT rate} + (50 percent taxable gain * 3.8 percent excise tax). The 75 percent exclusion results in a maximum AMT rate of 9.42 percent, as follows: {[25 percent taxable gain + (7 percent * 75 percent of excluded gain)] * 28 percent AMT rate} + (25 percent taxable gain * 3.8 percent excise tax).

^bSection 1202(a)(1).

^cSection 1202(a)(3).

^dSection 1202(a)(4).

venture capital, and the underlying funds are taking specific steps to address QSBS for their investors.

II. Shareholder and Corporate Qualifications

A. Exclusion Percentage and QSBS Rate

As mentioned, section 1202 excludes a percentage of gain (50 percent, 75 percent, or 100 percent) on the sale or exchange of QSBS held for more than five years, and the percentage of exclusion depends on the date on which the QSBS was acquired. Although a specified percentage of gain is excluded, the non-excluded gain, defined in the code as "section 1202 gain," is taxed at a maximum 28 percent rate,⁴⁴ not the 20 percent preferential long-term capital gain rate. Section 1202 gain is defined as the excess of "the gain which would be excluded from gross income under section 1202 but for the percentage limitation in section 1202(a)," over "the gain excluded from gross income under section 1202."⁴⁵ With the addition of the 3.8 percent excise tax on NII, Table 1 sets out the maximum effective

tax rates and exclusions, depending on whether the taxpayer is subject to AMT.⁴⁶

As one can see, the maximum tax savings from QSBS comes from stock acquired after September 27, 2010. One might also note that under some circumstances, the sale of QSBS might be subject to a higher rate than if section 1202 did not apply (for example, stock entitled to a 50 percent exclusion under section 1202 sold during a time when the taxpayer's highest tax bracket is 15 percent). It's important to note that section 1202 is not elective. Under those circumstances, the taxpayer would have been better off intentionally losing QSBS status by, for example, failing the five-year holding requirement or making a disqualifying transfer, defined and discussed in more detail later in this report.

In calculating any tax liability associated with the sale of QSBS, it is important to make a distinction between section 1202 gain, gain that is excluded under section 1202(a) (the excluded section 1202 gain), and the taxable gain that is not subject to section 1202 (non-section 1202 gain). As noted, section 1202 gain is taxed at a maximum

⁴⁴ See section 1(h)(1)(F) and (4)(A)(ii).

⁴⁵ Section 1(h)(7).

⁴⁶ Table 1 excludes the 60 percent exclusion for some Empowerment Zone businesses acquired after December 21, 2000, because the enactment of the 75 percent and 100 percent exclusions have made the 60 percent exclusion of no value to taxpayers. See sections 1202(a)(2) and 1397C(b).

rate of 28 percent (31.8 percent) and is carefully defined in terms of gain that would be excluded but for the percentage limitations noted earlier. By consequence, section 1202 gain is also limited by the per-issuer limitation (discussed in detail later), which restricts the total amount of gain that is subject to the percentage exclusions. Any other gain, namely non-section 1202 gain is taxed at the preferential 20 percent (23.8 percent) long-term capital gain rate.

For example, A has an adjusted tax basis of \$5 million in QSBS that is worth \$100 million. Assume that A acquired the QSBS at such a time that the 50 percent limitation applies, the per-issuer limitation is \$50 million, and all other conditions are met to qualify under section 1202. If A sells the stock for \$100 million, assuming A is not subject to AMT, the resulting tax liability is calculated as follows⁴⁷:

Table 2

Category of Gain	Amount of Gain	Maximum Tax Rate	Federal Tax Liability
Excluded section 1202 gain	\$25 million	0%	\$0
Section 1202 gain	\$25 million	31.8%	\$7.95 million
Non-section 1202 gain	\$45 million	23.8%	\$10.71 million
Totals	\$95 million	N/A	\$18.66 million

Non-section 1202 gain can include the unrecognized gain inherent in appreciated assets contributed to the corporation in exchange for stock in the corporation under section 351. Under section 358, the stock received in the corporation will receive a carryover basis, but for purposes of the per-issuer limitation, the FMV of the contributed property is used in calculating the tenfold multiplier.

⁴⁷ See the example provided in Joint Committee on Taxation, "General Explanation of Tax Legislation Enacted in 1997," JCS-23-97, at 49 n.75 (Dec. 17, 1997). Note, however, the highest long-term capital gain tax rate in 1997 was 20 percent.

B. \$10 Million and 10 Times Basis Limitations

The code provides a per-issuer limitation, which prescribes the maximum gain that can be excluded under section 1202(a). Section 1202(b)(1) provides:

If the taxpayer has eligible gain for the taxable year from 1 or more dispositions of stock issued by any corporation, the aggregate amount of such gain from dispositions of stock issued by such corporation which may be taken into account . . . for the taxable year shall not exceed the greater of — (A) \$10,000,000 reduced by the aggregate amount of eligible gain taken into account by the taxpayer . . . for prior taxable years and attributable to dispositions of stock issued by such corporation [the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation], or (B) 10 times the aggregate adjusted bases of qualified small business stock issued by such corporation and disposed of by the taxpayer during the taxable year [the 10-times-basis limitation].

As discussed later, the foregoing provision is not a model of clarity, but it does provide some interesting opportunities to possibly multiply and maximize the amount of gain exclusion by taking advantage of multiple taxpayers and engaging in careful tax basis management before the issuance of QSBS shares. In determining the applicability of the per-issuer limitation, it's important to note that it is on a per-issuer (per-corporation), per-taxpayer basis. Further, the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation is reduced by recognized gains in previous tax years, whereas the 10-times-basis limitation is not. And the 10-times-basis limitation, in contrast, is taken into account only for the tax year in question.

For married⁴⁸ individuals filing separate returns, the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation is reduced to \$5 million per taxpayer⁴⁹ (but the 10-

⁴⁸ Marital status is determined under section 7703. Section 1202(b)(3)(C). As such, marital status is determined at the end of the tax year, unless a spouse dies during the tax year, in which case it is determined on the date of death. Further, an individual who is legally separated from a spouse under a decree of divorce or of separate maintenance will not be considered married. See section 7703(a).

⁴⁹ Section 1202(b)(3)(A).

times-basis limitation remains unadjusted). Section 1202(b)(3)(A) states that the \$5 million reduction applies “in the case of a separate return by a married individual,” with no mention of married taxpayers filing a joint return. However, the code goes on to provide as follows in section 1202(b)(3)(B): “In the case of any joint return, the amount of eligible gain taken into account shall be allocated equally between the spouses for purposes of applying this subsection to subsequent taxable years.” In the absence of some clarification, a strict reading of section 1202 would imply one rule on the availability of the QSBS exclusion, which applies only to married taxpayers filing separately, and another rule on how gain is allocated, which applies only to married taxpayers filing jointly. As discussed later, the section 1202 exclusion is afforded to each and every taxpayer who acquires QSBS by original issuance (defined later) or who receives that stock through a permissible transfer. To that end, the separate taxpayer distinction is critical.

This seemingly disparate treatment of exclusion benefits, on one hand, and how gain or income is allocated, on the other hand, is not in conflict with the regulation, which provides: “Although there are two taxpayers on a joint return, there is only one taxable income.”⁵⁰ Indeed, the Tax Court has held that “it is a long recognized legal maxim that a husband and wife are separate and distinct taxpayers notwithstanding the fact that they have filed joint Federal income tax returns.”⁵¹ Moreover, the IRS has ruled, for purposes of the \$5 million limitation of section 453A, that the taxpayer and his spouse are not considered a single taxpayer. In coming to that conclusion, the IRS stated:

In particular, if Congress had intended that married individuals be treated as one taxpayer for purposes of applying the \$5,000,000 limitation . . . it could have easily provided for this attribution in express terms. . . . Where Congress is silent

⁵⁰ Reg. section 1.6013-4(b). See section 7701(1)(14) (“The term ‘taxpayer’ means any person subject to any internal revenue tax.”); and section 6013(d)(3) (“If a joint return is made, the tax shall be computed on the aggregate income and the liability with respect to the tax shall be joint and several.”).

⁵¹ *Nell v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo. 1982-228.

on this point, as in section 453A, we do not believe that an allocation between married individuals can be implied.⁵²

Thus, absent other guidance or changes to section 1202, married individuals filing jointly are entitled to each claim up to \$10 million of exclusion against eligible gain, but any such gain is allocated equally between the spouses in determining the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation for subsequent tax years, regardless of which spouse sells QSBS in any tax year.

For purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, the code provides that the “adjusted basis of any stock shall be determined without regard to any addition to basis after the date on which such stock was originally issued.”⁵³ As such, if a taxpayer dies with QSBS and that stock receives a step-up in basis to FMV on the date of the taxpayer’s death under section 1014(a), the increased basis may not be used in calculating the 10-times-basis limitation. In contrast, because the code refers to “any addition to basis” only if the value of the QSBS is less than the adjusted basis at the time of death, the stock will receive a step-down in basis, and the lower basis would seemingly apply for calculating the 10-times-basis limitation. However, as discussed in Part 3 of this report, the step-up in basis may be beneficial depending on the stock’s applicable exclusion percentage, unrealized section 1202 gain, and unrealized non-section 1202 gain at the time of death. Further, if the QSBS is acquired by a partnership, the limitation on “any addition to basis” would also apply to any increases in tax basis resulting from a liquidating distribution⁵⁴ to a partner or inside basis adjustments to QSBS held

⁵² TAM 9853002.

⁵³ Section 1202(b)(1) (flush language).

⁵⁴ Unlike a current distribution, a liquidating distribution can result in the distributed property receiving an increase in tax basis because the liquidated partner’s outside basis is greater than the tax basis of the property held by the partnership before distribution. See section 732(b) and reg. section 1.732-1(b).

by the partnership under section 734(b), if the partnership has a section 754 election in place.⁵⁵ These additions to basis are ignored only for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, so they can reduce any unrealized section 1202 gain and non-section 1202 gain.

If a taxpayer contributes property (other than money or stock) to a qualified small business (QSB) corporation in exchange for stock in the corporation, that stock "shall be treated as having been acquired by the taxpayer on the date of such exchange,"⁵⁶ and the "basis of such stock in the hands of the taxpayer shall in no event be less than the fair market value of the property exchanged."⁵⁷ These special rules apply only for section 1202 purposes (and section 1045 purposes, as discussed later). Thus, even though a nonrecognition contribution of property to a controlled corporation under section 351 provides for a tacking of the holding period to the exchanged stock, for section 1202 purposes, the required five-year holding period is deemed to start on the date of exchange.⁵⁸ More importantly, for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, the taxpayer can use the FMV of appreciated property at the time of the exchange in that calculation.

The foregoing limitations on any "addition to basis after the date on which such stock was originally issued" and on the use of the FMV for appreciated property contributed for purposes of the per-issuer limitation were enacted so that "only gains that accrue after the transfers are eligible for the exclusion."⁵⁹ However, with no explanation, section 1202(i)(2) provides:

If the adjusted basis of any qualified small business stock is adjusted by reason of any contribution to capital after the date on which such stock was originally issued, in

⁵⁵ This can occur when higher-basis partnership property is distributed to a partner with a lower outside basis, resulting in a reduction of basis on the distributed property, or a distribution of money to a partner exceeding that partner's outside basis. An addition to basis would also occur under section 743(b) upon a taxable sale of a partnership interest, but the sale would generally disqualify QSBs treatment for that interest.

⁵⁶ Section 1202(i)(1)(A).

⁵⁷ Section 1202(i)(1)(B).

⁵⁸ There is a discussion later in this report about the interplay between the acquisition date for QSBs purposes on formation of the corporation and on conversion from a partnership to a C corporation.

⁵⁹ H.R. Rep. No. 103-213, at 526 (RRA 1993 conference report).

determining the amount of the adjustment by reason of such contribution, the basis of the contributed property shall in no event be treated as less than its fair market value on the date of the contribution.

As such, this subsection seems to imply that basis can still be increased for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, but it's difficult to envision a scenario in which a shareholder would contribute capital to a corporation that would not be treated as an additional acquisition of shares, thereby requiring the acquisition to satisfy all the other requirements of QSBS.

Some debate exists around the order in which the per-issuer limitation and the exclusion percentage are applied in arriving at the excluded section 1202 gain. We believe the per-issuer limitation is applied against eligible gain first, followed by application of the exclusion percentage. The reason for this interpretation is that section 1202(b)(1) mandates that the amount of eligible gain "which may be taken into account under subsection (a)" (the exclusion percentage) shall not exceed the per-issuer limitation. Assume A sells QSBs for \$15 million of eligible gain, which qualifies for a 50 percent exclusion percentage, and the per-issuer limitation is \$10 million. Based on our interpretation, of the \$15 million of eligible gain, \$10 million "may be taken into account under subsection (a)" (that is, the 50 percent exclusion), and the remaining \$5 million of eligible gain is considered non-section 1202 gain. The result is \$5 million of excluded section 1202 gain, \$5 million of section 1202 gain, and \$5 million of non-section 1202 gain.

Some have argued that the exclusion percentage should be applied against all the eligible gain and that the per-issuer limitation is then applied. Under that interpretation, in the foregoing example, 50 percent of the \$15 million of eligible gain (\$7.5 million) would be excluded section 1202 gain, and because \$7.5 million is less than the per-issuer limitation of \$10 million, \$7.5 million of the eligible gain is excluded section 1202 gain. We respectfully do not believe this is the correct result, although it would be better for the taxpayer. Further, it is unclear, based on this interpretation, whether the remaining gain is considered non-section 1202 gain or a

combination of section 1202 gain and non-section 1202 gain.

As mentioned, for section 1202 purposes, if a taxpayer contributes property (other than money or stock) to a QSB corporation in exchange for stock in the corporation, the basis in the stock will be no less than the FMV of the contributed property. As a result, taxpayers have the opportunity to increase tenfold the amount of gain subject to partial or complete exclusion by contributing appreciated property. However, because this special rule applies only for section 1202 purposes, the unrealized gain represented by the appreciation on the contributed property is not entitled to exclusion under section 1202 (or the gain rollover under section 1045).

C. Qualified QSBS Shareholders

The percentage exclusion on gain under section 1202 is available to "a taxpayer other than a corporation."⁶⁰ This includes individuals, trusts, and estates (collectively, qualified QSBS shareholders).⁶¹ The foregoing taxpayers may be entitled to the exclusion even if the stock is held by specified passthrough entities (spelled "pass-thru" in section 1202), as long as some additional requirements are met. These passthrough entities are not per se qualified QSBS shareholders, but they are eligible holders of QSBS for the benefit of the owners of the passthrough entity who are qualified QSBS shareholders.⁶²

The term "pass-thru entity" includes any partnership, S corporation, regulated investment company, and common trust fund.⁶³ A partner, shareholder, or owner of a passthrough entity who is a qualified QSBS shareholder will be entitled to section 1202 exclusion on gain allocated to that owner,⁶⁴ as long as the gain is (1)

attributable to a sale or exchange by the passthrough entity of stock that is QSBS "in the hands of such entity (determined by treating such entity as an individual) and which was held by such entity for more than 5 years,"⁶⁵ and (2) includable in the gross income of the owner "by reason of the holding of an interest in such entity which was held by the taxpayer on the date on which such pass-thru entity acquired such stock and at all times thereafter before the disposition of such stock."⁶⁶

In that instance, in applying the per-issuer limitation (specifically, the 10-times-basis limitation) to the qualified QSBS shareholder, the code provides that "the taxpayer's proportionate share of the adjusted basis of the pass-thru entity in such stock shall be taken into account."⁶⁷ Further, the amount of the preferential gain exclusion allocated to the taxpayer is limited by reference to the interest held by the taxpayer in the passthrough entity on the date the QSB was acquired. The code provides that the allocated gain subject to a partial or complete exclusion "shall not apply to any amount to the extent such amount exceeds the amount . . . which . . . would have applied if such amount were determined by reference to the interest the taxpayer held in the pass-thru entity on the date the qualified small business stock was acquired."⁶⁸

Regarding RICs, Notice 97-64, 1997-47 IRB 7, provides that temporary regulations will be issued on how RICs⁶⁹ may designate dividends as section 1202 distributions. These yet-to-be-issued temporary regulations are expected to provide that (1) section 1202 gain distributions will need to be designated separately for each issuer of QSBS; (2) the exclusion under section 1202(a) will be determined at the shareholder level; and (3) the maximum distributable section 1202 gain for each

⁶⁰ Section 1202(a)(1).

⁶¹ Similarly, the recently enacted qualified business income deduction under section 199A is allowed to a taxpayer "other than a corporation." Section 199A(a). The legislative history provides that this includes individual taxpayers, as well as trusts and estates. Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference on H.R. 1, 115th Cong., 1st Sess., at 27 and 40 (2017) (as printed in H.R. Rep. No. 115-466 (Dec. 15, 2017)).

⁶² The qualified business income deduction under section 199A is not taken at the passthrough entity level; rather, the deduction is taken at the partner or shareholder level. See section 199A(f)(1)(A).

⁶³ Section 1202(g)(4)(A) through (D).

⁶⁴ Section 1202(g)(1)(A).

⁶⁵ Section 1202(g)(2)(A).

⁶⁶ Section 1202(g)(2)(B).

⁶⁷ Section 1202(g)(1)(B).

⁶⁸ Section 1202(g)(3).

⁶⁹ A RIC is subject to the ordinary corporate income tax under section 11 on its investment company taxable income, which is taxable income subject to several adjustments, the most notable of which are the deduction for dividends paid and the exclusion of net capital gain. Net capital gains are subject to shareholder-level taxation to the extent that the company distributes the gains, but the company is subject to taxation to the extent that its net capital gain exceeds the amount of its dividends designated as capital gain distributions. See section 852(b).

issuer will be calculated separately from limitations on all other classes of capital gain dividends but in the aggregate may not exceed the RIC's net capital gain.⁷⁰

D. Eligible Gain (Five-Year Holding Period)

1. Generally.

The per-issuer limitation is applied against eligible gain, which is defined as "any gain from the sale or exchange of qualified small business stock held for more than 5 years."⁷¹ As such, eligible gain has two definitional requirements: (1) gain must be from the sale of QSBS (as defined and discussed later), and (2) the taxpayer must have held the stock for more than five years. For purpose of the foregoing, stock acquired by the taxpayer through the exercise of options or warrants, or through the conversion of convertible debt, is treated as acquired at original issue. The determination whether the gross assets test is met is made at the time of exercise or conversion, and the holding period of that stock is treated as beginning at that time.⁷² For convertible preferred stock, the gross assets determination is made when the convertible stock is issued, and the holding period of the convertible stock is added to that of the common stock acquired upon conversion.⁷³ Stock received by a taxpayer in connection with the performance of services is treated as issued when the resulting compensation income is included in the taxpayer's income under section 83. Thus, the five-year holding period is deemed to start (1) upon issuance if the stock is subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture or other vesting condition, but the taxpayer makes a section 83(b) election; or (2) upon the expiration of the substantial risk of forfeiture or the satisfaction of the vesting condition, if the taxpayer did not make a section 83(b) election.⁷⁴

⁷⁰ Notice 97-64, section 8.

⁷¹ Section 1202(b)(2). Subsection (a) of section 1202 sets out the percentage exclusion available on the sale of stock by a taxpayer other than a corporation and mirrors, but does not reference, the definition of eligible gain (any gain from the sale or exchange of QSBS held for more than five years).

⁷² H.R. Rep. No. 103-213, at 526 (RRA 1993 conference report).

⁷³ *Id.*

⁷⁴ *Id.*

2. Tacking and permissible transfers.

The code provides that if a transferee receives stock in specified types of transfers, the transferee will be deemed to have "acquired such stock in the same manner as the transferor,"⁷⁵ and "held such stock during any continuous period immediately preceding the transfer during which it was held (or treated as held under [section 1202(h)]) by the transferor."⁷⁶ As discussed in more detail later, such a transfer is defined as any transfer (1) "by gift";⁷⁷ (2) "at death";⁷⁸ or (3) "from a partnership to a partner,"⁷⁹ if the stock received from the partnership otherwise meets the requirements of section 1202(g) discussed previously (for example, limited to that shareholder's interest at the time the QSBS was acquired by the partnership) other than the five-year holding requirement.

Further, holding periods will tack in the following situations: (1) when the taxpayer acquires stock "solely through the conversion of other stock in such corporation which is qualified small business stock in the hands of the taxpayer";⁸⁰ (2) when the exchange of QSBS for stock in another corporation is in a transaction described in section 351 or a reorganization described in section 368 (as discussed in more detail later);⁸¹ and (3) when the purchase of QSBS is with proceeds from a qualifying rollover under section 1045 (as discussed later).⁸² Because of the foregoing provisions, if shares of a QSB are "sold" in a tax-free exchange of shares with a publicly traded company, the publicly traded shares will qualify for the QSBS exclusion under section 1202(a), provided they are sold after the required but cumulatively calculated five-year holding period.

⁷⁵ Section 1202(h)(1)(A).

⁷⁶ Section 1202(h)(1)(B).

⁷⁷ Section 1202(h)(2)(A).

⁷⁸ Section 1202(h)(2)(B).

⁷⁹ Section 1202(h)(2)(C).

⁸⁰ Section 1202(f).

⁸¹ Section 1202(h)(4).

⁸² See section 1223(13).

3. Disqualifying hedging transactions.

Some hedging transactions can disqualify QSBS. If a taxpayer (or related party⁸³) has an offsetting short position on any QSBS, the gain will not qualify for partial or complete exclusion unless (1) the QSBS was held by the taxpayer for more than five years as of the first day on which there was a short position,⁸⁴ and (2) the taxpayer elects to recognize gain as if the stock were sold on that first day for its FMV.⁸⁵ An offsetting short position includes⁸⁶ (1) a short sale of substantially identical property, (2) an option to sell substantially identical property at a fixed price, or (3) to the extent provided in the regulations, "any other transaction which substantially reduces the risk of loss from holding such qualified small business stock."

To date, no guidance has been issued on how or when a taxpayer can make that election. Further, no regulations have been issued on any other transaction that "substantially reduces the risk of loss." The phrase "substantially identical property" is used in other code sections, including sections 1233 (short sales), 1258 (conversion transactions), and 1259 (constructive sales). It seems, however, that if a taxpayer can secure a loan on a nonrecourse basis, collateralized solely by the QSBS, the loan would not be considered a recognition event, and the transaction would not be considered a disqualifying hedging transaction.

E. QSBS: Original Issuance Requirement

1. Generally.

For stock to be considered QSBS, it must be (1) stock in a C corporation;⁸⁷ (2) originally issued after August 10, 1993 (the date of enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1993);⁸⁸ (3) on the date of issuance, issued by a corporation that is a QSB, as defined below;⁸⁹ and (4) with some

exceptions noted herein, acquired by the taxpayer at its original issue,⁹⁰ in exchange for money or other property (not including stock),⁹¹ or as compensation for services provided to that corporation.⁹² The foregoing is often referred to as the original issue requirement or the original issuance requirement. The term "original issue" refers to an issuance of stock directly from the corporation to a qualified QSBS shareholder, as opposed to, for example, an acquisition of that stock on a secondary market or acquisition from another person. It does not refer to the timing of the issuance of stock. In other words, it should not be interpreted to mean that only the first issuance of stock from a corporation will be considered QSBS.

2. Permissible transfers.

The original issuance requirement is not violated if a taxpayer receives the stock "by gift"⁹³ or "at death."⁹⁴ Thus, if the transferred stock satisfied the original issuance requirement in the previous owner's hands, it continues to satisfy that requirement. However, as discussed in the third part of this report, the breadth of transfers that would be considered by gift and at death is unclear. Because section 1202 is an income tax section, it is reasonable to conclude that transfers by gift and at death are defined as they would be under chapter 1 of title 26 (for example, transferee basis would be determined under sections 1015 and 1014), rather than as these transfers would be defined under chapters 11 and 12 (estate and gift tax).

Also, the original issuance requirement is not violated if a taxpayer receives the stock in a transfer "from a partnership to a partner,"⁹⁵ provided the stock received from the partnership otherwise meets the requirements of section 1202(g) (for example, limited to that shareholder's interest at the time the QSBS was acquired by the partnership) other than the five-year holding requirement. This exception applies only to

⁸³ Under section 1202(j)(2) (flush language), the term "taxpayer" includes any person who is related as defined in section 267(b) or 707(b).

⁸⁴ Section 1202(j)(1)(A).

⁸⁵ Section 1202(j)(1)(B).

⁸⁶ Section 1202(j)(2).

⁸⁷ Section 1202(c)(1).

⁸⁸ *Id.*

⁸⁹ Section 1202(c)(1)(A).

⁹⁰ Section 1202(c)(1)(B).

⁹¹ Section 1202(c)(1)(B)(i).

⁹² Section 1202(c)(1)(B)(ii).

⁹³ Section 1202(h)(2)(A).

⁹⁴ Section 1202(h)(2)(B).

⁹⁵ Section 1202(h)(2)(C).

partnerships and apparently does not apply to distributions from other types of passthrough entities (as defined in section 1202(g)(4)), like S corporations, although they are eligible holders of QSBS. Notably, what is not included is a transfer from a partner to a partnership. Whether a contribution of QSBS to a partnership (typically a nontaxable event) automatically disqualifies QSBS is discussed in more detail later.

The original issuance requirement is also met if a preexisting business that is a sole proprietorship, disregarded entity, or partnership for federal income tax purposes converts to a C corporation and as part of that conversion issues shares to the owners of the business. Although section 1202 contains an aggregate gross asset limitation, there is no time frame by which a preexisting trade or business must convert to a C corporation, so even businesses that have been in existence for a long time could become QSBS companies. As mentioned, in the wake of the enactment of the TCJA, the ability to convert preexisting businesses to C corporations and qualify them for QSBS is an important planning option to consider. This is discussed in greater detail in Part 3 of this report.

Given the aggregate gross asset requirement (defined and discussed later), it remains to be seen if a preexisting business can divide its business to meet the gross asset test at the time of original issuance. To that end, the code provides, "The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as may be appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section, including regulations to prevent the avoidance of the purposes of this section through split-ups, shell corporations, partnerships, or otherwise."⁹⁶

3. Disqualifying redemptions and purchases.

As discussed in more detail later, to prevent a possible abuse surrounding the original issuance requirement, the QSBS exclusion is not available if the issuing corporation redeems or otherwise purchases its stock within a period of time surrounding the issuance of the stock.⁹⁷

Presumably, these rules are to ensure that taxpayers do not convert non-QSBS stock to QSBS or to give QSBS status to investments that are essentially replacements of previous investments. To that end, stock will lose QSBS status if the issuing corporation "at any time during the 4-year period beginning on the date 2 years before the issuance of such stock . . . purchased (directly or indirectly) any of its stock from the taxpayer or from a person related . . . to the taxpayer."⁹⁸ The disqualification applies only to stock "acquired by the taxpayer,"⁹⁹ not to other stock held by other shareholders. A person is deemed related under section 267(b) or 707(b). As such, the family of an individual includes his "brothers and sisters (whether by the whole or half blood), spouse, ancestors, and lineal descendants."¹⁰⁰ The regulations provide that QSBS status is retained if the purchase or redemption from the taxpayer or related party is limited to a de minimis amount.¹⁰¹ For this purpose, a de minimis amount is exceeded if (1) the aggregate amount paid for the stock exceeds \$10,000, and (2) more than 2 percent of the stock held by the taxpayer and related person is acquired.¹⁰²

Stock will lose QSBS status if the issuing corporation "during the 2-year period beginning on the date 1 year before the issuance of such stock . . . made 1 or more purchases of its stock with an aggregate value (as of the time of the respective purchases) exceeding 5 percent of the aggregate value of all of its stock as of the beginning of such 2-year period."¹⁰³ Unlike a related-party redemption, this disqualification applies to all stock "issued by a corporation."¹⁰⁴ For purposes of this rule, the regulations provide

⁹⁶ Section 1202(c)(3)(A).

⁹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁰⁰ Section 267(c)(1). There is also constructive ownership through trusts, estates, and business entities. See sections 267(b) and 707(b).

¹⁰¹ Reg. section 1.1202-2(a)(1). The regulation was issued in 1997, but the de minimis exception applies to all stock issued after August 10, 1993. Reg. section 1.1202-2(e).

¹⁰² Reg. section 1.1202-2(a)(2). For purposes of the 2 percent limitation, "the percentage of stock acquired in any single purchase is determined by dividing the stock's value (as of the time of purchase) by the value (as of the time of purchase) of all stock held (directly or indirectly) by the taxpayer and related persons immediately before the purchase. The percentage of stock acquired in multiple purchases is the sum of the percentages determined for each separate purchase." *Id.*

¹⁰³ Section 1202(c)(3)(B).

¹⁰⁴ *Id.*

⁹⁶ Section 1202(k).

⁹⁷ See H.R. Rep. No. 103-213, at 523 (RRA 1993 conference report) (stating that the redemption rules are "to prevent evasion of the requirement that the stock be newly issued").

that QSBS status is retained if the purchase or redemption is limited to a de minimis amount.¹⁰⁵ For this purpose, a de minimis amount is exceeded if (1) the aggregate amount paid for the stock exceeds \$10,000, and (2) more than 2 percent of all the outstanding stock is purchased.¹⁰⁶ For purposes of the 2 percent limit, "the percentage of the stock acquired in any single purchase is determined by dividing the stock's value (as of the time of purchase) by the value (as of the time of purchase) of all stock outstanding immediately before the purchase."¹⁰⁷ Note that the de minimis calculation is determined at the time of the purchase, but the 5 percent limit described in the code is determined at the beginning of the two-year period.

In the context of start-up businesses, disqualifying redemptions are not as rare as one might think. Consider a QSB that is founded by two shareholders — an entrepreneur and a friend — as equal shareholders, each providing an equal amount of initial funding. At the end of the first year, when the company still has very little value, the friend decides that the start-up company life is not what he wants. The corporation redeems the friend's shares and raises capital from other investors. The redemption of the shares disqualifies all the stock from QSBS treatment, specifically including the entrepreneur's shares. This result could have been avoided if the entrepreneur had purchased the stock from the friend. The purchased shares from the friend would not have met the original issuance requirement, but the entrepreneur's initial shares would still have maintained their QSBS status.

For purposes of (related-party and significant) redemptions under section 1202, if a distribution is treated under section 304(a) as a redemption of stock in any corporation, that corporation shall, for QSBS purposes, be treated as purchasing an amount of stock equal to the value of the amount treated as a redemption under section 304(a).¹⁰⁸

¹⁰⁵ Reg. section 1.1202-2(b)(1). As noted, the regulation was issued in 1997, but the de minimis exception applies to all stock issued after August 10, 1993. Reg. section 1.1202-2(e).

¹⁰⁶ Reg. section 1.1202-2(b)(2).

¹⁰⁷ The regulations also provide: "The percentage of stock acquired in multiple purchases is the sum of the percentages determined for each separate purchase." *Id.*

¹⁰⁸ Section 1202(c)(3)(C).

Section 304(a) generally provides that if one or more persons are in control of two corporations, and in return for property, one of the corporations acquires the stock of the other corporation, the property shall be treated as a distribution in redemption of stock of the corporation acquiring that stock.¹⁰⁹ For this purpose, "control means the ownership of stock possessing at least 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote, or at least 50 percent of the total value of shares of all classes of stock."¹¹⁰ In determining the foregoing, constructive ownership under section 318(a) applies,¹¹¹ except that in determining attribution from or to corporations, 5 percent replaces 50 percent.¹¹² Under section 318(a), an individual is deemed to own the stock owned by his spouse (other than a spouse who is legally separated from the individual under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance), children, grandchildren, and parents.¹¹³

For purposes of the related-party and significant redemption rules, the following purchases are ignored:

1. a transfer of stock by a shareholder to an employee, independent contractor, or beneficiary of either is not treated as a purchase by the issuing corporation, even if the stock is treated as having been transferred to the corporation and then to the recipient under the regulations relating to transfers by shareholders to employees or independent contractors being treated as compensation;¹¹⁴
2. a stock purchase in connection with death, disability, mental incompetence, or divorce is ignored;¹¹⁵
3. a purchase of stock is ignored if the shareholder acquired the stock in connection with the performance of services as an employee or director of the

¹⁰⁹ See section 304(a)(1).

¹¹⁰ Section 304(c)(1).

¹¹¹ Section 304(c)(3).

¹¹² Section 304(c)(3)(B).

¹¹³ Section 318(a)(1)(A).

¹¹⁴ Reg. sections 1.1202-2(c) and 1.83-6(d)(1).

¹¹⁵ Reg. section 1.1202-2(d).

- issuing corporation and the stock is purchased from the shareholder incident to the shareholder's retirement or other bona fide termination of services;¹¹⁶
4. a purchase of stock in connection with the death of an individual is ignored if before the decedent's death, the stock (or an option to acquire the stock) was held by (1) the decedent, the decedent's spouse, or both; (2) the decedent and a joint tenant; or (3) a trust revocable by the decedent, spouse, or both,¹¹⁷ and the stock is purchased (a) from the decedent's estate, a beneficiary that receives the stock either by bequest or lifetime gift, an heir, a surviving joint tenant, a surviving spouse, or from a trust established by the decedent or the decedent's spouse;¹¹⁸ and (b) within three years and nine months from the date of the decedent's death.¹¹⁹

F. QSBS: Active Business Requirement

1. Generally.

Stock in a corporation will not be considered QSBS unless "during substantially all of the taxpayer's holding period for such stock, such corporation meets the active business requirements . . . and such corporation is a C corporation."¹²⁰ "Substantially all" refers to the taxpayer's holding period, and there is no guidance or safe harbor that describes what period will be considered sufficient for these purposes. Although the Tax Court has held in two cases that the taxpayers failed to meet the active business requirement, it provided no guidance on how "substantially all" is to be determined.¹²¹

Under section 1202(e)(1), a corporation is deemed to meet the active business requirement for any period if during that time (1) at least 80

percent (by value) of the assets of the corporation are used "in the active conduct of 1 or more qualified trades or businesses,"¹²² and (2) the corporation is an "eligible corporation"¹²³ (defined as any domestic corporation other than (a) a domestic international sales corporation or former DISC, (b) a RIC, REIT, or real estate mortgage investment conduit, or (c) a cooperative).¹²⁴

2. Defining 'substantially all.'

Not only are there questions about how the 80 percent test will be calculated, but no guidance has been issued to prescribe how the "substantially all" holding period should be applied in conjunction with the 80 percent test. Recently issued guidance on qualified Opportunity Zones (QOZs) may be helpful in this respect. The TCJA enacted new sections 1400Z-1 and 1400Z-2 (QOZ investments through a qualified opportunity fund), which give taxpayers several benefits similar to QSBS, including a deferral and reduction of recognized gains and an abatement of post-investment appreciation, provided the taxpayers meet specific holding company requirements. In fact, section 1400Z-2 refers to the disqualifying redemptions and purchases rule in section 1202(c)(3) in describing QOZ stock,¹²⁵ and regulations impose an original issuance requirement for QOZ stock.¹²⁶ Because QSBS and QOZ investments are meant to provide an incentive for specific types of investments (that is, small business growth with QSBS investments, and economic growth in distressed communities with QOZ investments), it is reasonable to look to the recently issued QOZ guidance, which has been rapid and prolific since the sections' enactment, while QSBS guidance continues to be sorely lacking.

¹¹⁶ Reg. section 1.1202-2(d)(1)(i). Note that regulations reserve a section for the treatment of stock purchases from independent contractors, but to date, that section has not been issued. Reg. section 1.1202-2(d)(1)(ii).

¹¹⁷ Reg. section 1.1202-2(d)(2).

¹¹⁸ Reg. section 1.1202-2(d)(2)(i).

¹¹⁹ Reg. section 1.1202-2(d)(2)(ii).

¹²⁰ Section 1202(c)(2)(A).

¹²¹ See *Owen v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo. 2012-21; and *Holmes v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo. 2012-251, aff'd, 593 F. App'x 693 (9th Cir. 2015).

¹²² Section 1202(e)(1)(A).

¹²³ Section 1202(e)(1)(B).

¹²⁴ Section 1202(e)(4).

¹²⁵ Section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(B)(ii).

¹²⁶ See reg. section 1.1400Z-2(d)-1(c)(2)(i)(A), requiring that the QOZ stock be acquired "at its original issue (directly or through an underwriter) from the corporation solely in exchange for cash."

In January the IRS published final regulations on investments in QOZs,¹²⁷ retaining the basic approach and structure of two sets of proposed regulations issued in 2018¹²⁸ and 2019,¹²⁹ respectively. Section 1400Z-2 imposes a “substantially all” holding period requirement and a “substantially all” test on assets.¹³⁰ For example, it provides that the term “qualified opportunity zone business property” means “tangible property used in a trade or business of the qualified opportunity fund if . . . during substantially all of the qualified opportunity fund’s holding period for such property, substantially all of the use of such property was in a qualified opportunity zone.”¹³¹ For purposes of the foregoing, the 2020 QOZ final regulations include four new definitional phrases or terms:

1. *“70-percent tangible property standard.* The term 70-percent tangible property standard means the requirement in section 1400Z-2(d)(3)(A)(i) that a qualified opportunity zone business must satisfy with respect to qualified opportunity zone business property (see [reg.] section 1.1400Z2(d)-2) that the qualified opportunity zone business holds, whether the qualified opportunity zone business property is owned by the qualified opportunity zone business or leased by the qualified opportunity zone business from another person.”¹³²
2. *“70-percent use test.* The term 70-percent use test means the test described in [reg.] section 1.1400Z2(d)-2(d)(4)(ii) that is used to determine if a QOF or qualified opportunity zone business satisfies the requirement in sections 1400Z-2(d)(2)(D)(i)(III) and 1400Z-2(d)(3)(A)(i) that substantially all of the use of tangible property was in a qualified opportunity zone.”¹³³

¹²⁷T.D. 9889.

¹²⁸REG-115420-18.

¹²⁹REG-120186-18.

¹³⁰See section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(B)(i)(III), (2)(C)(iii), (2)(D)(i)(III), and (3)(A)(i)(III).

¹³¹Section 1400Z-2(d)(3)(A)(i) and (A)(i)(III).

¹³²Reg. section 1.1400Z2(a)-1(b)(2).

¹³³Reg. section 1.1400Z2(a)-1(b)(3).

3. *“90-percent qualified opportunity zone property holding period.* The term 90-percent qualified opportunity zone property holding period means the minimum portion of a QOF’s holding period in stock of a corporation or interests in a partnership, during which the corporation or partnership qualifies as a qualified opportunity zone business in order for the stock or the partnership interests to meet the substantially all requirement under section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(B)(i)(III) to be treated as qualified opportunity zone stock or the substantially all requirement under section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(C)(iii) to be treated as qualified opportunity zone partnership interests, as applicable, held by the QOF.”¹³⁴
4. *“90-percent qualified opportunity zone business property holding period.* The term 90-percent qualified opportunity zone business property holding period means the minimum portion of a QOF’s or qualified opportunity zone business’s holding period in tangible property during which the 70-percent use test with respect to the tangible property must be satisfied, in order for the tangible property to meet the requirement under section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(D)(i)(III) to be treated as qualified opportunity zone business property held by the QOF or qualified opportunity zone business.”¹³⁵

The 2020 final QOZ regulations generally require that a QOF apply a holding period and asset use requirement in a compound manner.¹³⁶ The preamble to the final regulations states: “Due to the compound application of the 90-percent threshold, the 70-percent tangible property standard, and the 70-percent use test, the Treasury Department and the IRS sought to ensure that each percentage requirement, when taken together, would remain significant.” As previously explained in the preamble to the 2018 proposed QOZ regulations:

¹³⁴Reg. section 1.1400Z2(a)-1(b)(4).

¹³⁵Reg. section 1.1400Z2(a)-1(b)(5).

¹³⁶See generally reg. section 1.1400Z(d)-1(b) and (c).

Several requirements of section 1400Z-2(d) use *substantially all* multiple times in a row (that is, “substantially all of . . . substantially all of . . . substantially all of . . .”). This compounded use of substantially all must be interpreted in a manner that does not result in a fraction that is too small to implement the intent of Congress.¹³⁷

Although 90 percent may seem unusually high, the preamble to 2019 proposed QOZ regulations explains:

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that a higher threshold is necessary in the holding period context to preserve the integrity of the statute and for the purpose of focusing investment in designated qualified opportunity zones. Thus, the proposed regulations provide that the term substantially all as used in the holding period context in sections 1400Z-2(d)(2)(B)(i)(III), 1400Z-2(d)(2)(C)(iii), and 1400Z-2(d)(2)(D)(i)(III) is defined as 90 percent. Using a percentage threshold that is higher than 70-percent in the holding period context is warranted as taxpayers are more easily able to control and determine the period for which they hold property. In addition, given the lower 70-percent thresholds for testing both the use of tangible property in the qualified opportunity zone and the amount of owned and leased tangible property of a qualified opportunity zone business that must be qualified opportunity zone business property, applying a 70-percent threshold in the holding period context can result in much less than half of a qualified opportunity zone business’s tangible property being used in a qualified opportunity zone. Accordingly, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that using a threshold lower than 90 percent in the holding period context would reduce the amount of investment in qualified

opportunity zones to levels inconsistent with the purposes of section 1400Z-2.

Mathematically, when taken together, the compound “substantially all” requirements result in a combined percentage requirement of at least 63 percent (0.9×0.7). Assuming a similar rule would be applicable to QSBS, “substantially all” could be interpreted to mean approximately 80 percent of the holding period. When combined with the 80 percent asset test for QSBS discussed later, the combined percentage requirement is at least 64 percent (0.8×0.8). Perhaps not coincidentally, the term “substantially all” in other code sections leads to a general rule, in other contexts, of 80 percent.¹³⁸

It may seem erroneous to combine percentages in this manner, but the preamble to the 2019 proposed QOZ regulations does, in fact, do this:

For example, these regulations imply that a QOF could satisfy the substantially all standards with as little as 40 percent of the tangible property effectively owned by the fund being used within a qualified opportunity zone. This could occur if 90 percent of QOF assets are invested in a qualified opportunity zone business, in which 70 percent of the tangible assets of that business are qualified opportunity zone business property; and if, in addition, the qualified opportunity zone business property is only 70 percent in use within a qualified opportunity zone, and for 90 percent of the holding period for such property. Multiplying these shares together ($0.9 \times 0.7 \times 0.7 \times 0.9 = 0.4$) generates the result that a QOF could satisfy the requirements of section 1400Z-2 under the proposed regulations with just 40 percent of its assets effectively in use within a qualified opportunity zone.¹³⁹

¹³⁷ See, e.g., reg. section 1.41-4(a)(6); and Rev. Proc. 92-33, 1992-1 C.B. 782. Cf. Rev. Proc. 77-37, 1977-2 C.B. 568 (for purposes of sections 354(b)(1)(A), 368(a)(1)(C), 368(a)(2)(B)(i), and 368(a)(2)(E)(i), “substantially all” is satisfied if there is a transfer of assets of at least 90 percent of the FMV of the net assets and at least 70 percent of the FMV of the gross assets immediately before the transfer).

¹³⁸ Preamble to REG-120186-18, 84 F.R. 18652, 18670 (May 1, 2019).

¹³⁷ Preamble to REG-115420-18, 83 F.R. 54279, 54285 (Oct. 29, 2018).

3. 80 percent test.

It is unclear whether the 80 percent test should be interpreted to mean that at all times the corporation must use at least 80 percent of assets in the active trade or business, or if during the period in question an average of at least 80 percent will suffice. For purposes of the 80 percent test, there is a look-through rule for any subsidiaries of the parent. Under the rule, the value of any stock and debt in any subsidiary is disregarded, and the parent corporation is “deemed to own its ratable share of the subsidiary’s assets, and to conduct its ratable share of the subsidiary’s activities.”¹⁴⁰ For this purpose, a corporation will be considered a subsidiary if the parent owns more than “50 percent of the combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote, or more than 50 percent in value of all outstanding stock, of such corporation.”¹⁴¹ Further, a corporation will be deemed to fail the 80 percent test for any period during which “more than 10 percent of the value of its assets (in excess of liabilities) consists of stock or securities in other corporations which are not subsidiaries of such corporation,”¹⁴² other than assets that would be considered working capital under section 1202(e)(6), as defined later. The latter restriction is intended to ensure that a corporation does not hold a passive portfolio of stock or securities that will not be reasonably used in the conduct of the active trade or business.

For purposes of the 80 percent test, any assets that are reasonably required for the working capital needs of the trade or business will be treated as used in the active conduct of a qualified trade or business. These working capital assets are described as any assets that are held for (1) “reasonably required working capital needs of a qualified trade or business of the corporation,”¹⁴³ or (2) “investment and are reasonably expected to be used within 2 years to finance research and experimentation in a qualified trade or business

or increases in working capital needs of a qualified trade or business.”¹⁴⁴ If the corporation has been in existence for at least two years, no more than 50 percent of the assets of the corporation under this working capital safe harbor will be considered used in the active conduct of a qualified trade or business.¹⁴⁵

It should be noted that under some accounting conventions, working capital includes inventory because working capital could be defined as “the excess of current assets over current liabilities and identifies the relatively liquid portion of total enterprise capital which constitutes a margin or buffer for meeting obligations within the ordinary operating cycle of the business.”¹⁴⁶ Some active trade or businesses involved in the retail or grocery industry often have a large percentage (70 percent or more) of their current assets in inventory. Section 1202 was never intended to preclude these types of businesses from the definition of a qualified trade or business. Thus, it is reasonable to conclude that working capital is more narrowly defined.

Here again, the guidance surrounding QOZs is instructive. Commentators to the 2020 final QOZ regulations asserted that “inventory should never be treated as qualified opportunity zone business property because such inventory (i) is a transitory asset, (ii) does not add value to the QOZ, and (iii) does not meet the requirements for either the original use or substantial improvement requirement.”¹⁴⁷ Ultimately, those final regulations provide that for purposes of the 90 percent investment standard and the 70 percent tangible property standard, a QOF may choose to include or exclude the inventory in the calculation, but once a QOF makes that choice, it must do so consistently. Further, the final QOZ regulations adopt the definition of working capital provided in section 1397C(e)(1). Section 1397C(e)(1) excludes from the definition of nonqualified financial property reasonable

¹⁴⁰ Section 1202(e)(5)(A).

¹⁴¹ Section 1202(e)(5)(C).

¹⁴² Section 1202(e)(5)(B).

¹⁴³ Section 1202(e)(6)(A).

¹⁴⁴ Section 1202(e)(6)(B).

¹⁴⁵ Section 1202(e)(6) (flush language).

¹⁴⁶ Accounting Research Bulletin 43(3), para. 3 (codified in Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification 210-10-05 through 210-10-60, 310-10-45, 340-10-05, 470-10-45 through 470-10-60, and 958-210-60).

¹⁴⁷ Preamble to T.D. 9889, 85 F.R. 1866, 1904 (Jan. 13, 2020).

amounts of working capital held in cash, cash equivalents, or debt instruments with a term of 18 months or less.¹⁴⁸

For purposes of the 80 percent test, some assets used in start-up activities and for research will be deemed to be used in the active conduct of a trade or business. Assets used for (1) "start-up activities described in section 195(c)(1)(A),"¹⁴⁹ (2) "activities resulting in the payment or incurring of expenditures that may be treated as research and experimental expenditures deductible under section 174,"¹⁵⁰ and (3) "activities with respect to in-house research expenses described in section 41(b)(4)"¹⁵¹ will be treated as used in the active conduct of a qualified trade or business, regardless of whether the corporation has "any gross income from such activities at the time of the determination."¹⁵²

A corporation will not be treated as meeting the 80 percent test for any period during which "more than 10 percent of the total value of its assets consists of real property which is not used in the active conduct of a qualified trade or business."¹⁵³ For those purposes, "the ownership of, dealing in, or renting of real property shall not be treated as the active conduct of a qualified trade or business."¹⁵⁴

Specific to technology companies, for purposes of the 80 percent test, some computer

¹⁴⁸ See reg. section 1400Z2(d)-1(d)(3) (reference to section 1397C(b)(8) and prop. reg. section 1.1400Z-2(d)-1(d)(5)(iii)).

¹⁴⁹ Section 1202(e)(2)(A). Section 195(c)(1)(A) broadly defines a start-up expenditure as any amount paid or incurred in connection with (1) "investigating the creation or acquisition of an active trade or business," (2) "creating an active trade or business," or (3) "any activity engaged in for profit and for the production of income before the day on which the active trade or business begins, in anticipation of such activity becoming an active trade or business." Section 195(c)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

¹⁵⁰ Section 1202(e)(2)(B). Section 13206(a) of the TCJA amended section 174, requiring specified research or experimental expenditures, including software development expenditures, to be capitalized and amortized (rather than immediately deductible at the election of the taxpayer), generally, over a five-year period. The amendment applies to amounts paid or incurred in tax years beginning after December 31, 2021. It is unclear whether or how this amendment may affect how the 80 percent test is to be applied under section 1202.

¹⁵¹ Section 1202(e)(2)(C). Section 41(b)(4) provides that a taxpayer is treated as meeting the trade or business requirement if "at the time such in-house research expenses are paid or incurred, the principal purpose of the taxpayer in making such expenditures is to use the results of the research in the active conduct of a future trade or business." Section 41(b)(4).

¹⁵² Section 1202(e)(2) (flush language).

¹⁵³ Section 1202(e)(7).

¹⁵⁴ *Id.*

software assets that produce royalties will be deemed to be used in the active conduct of a trade or business. This applies only to "rights to computer software which produces active business computer software royalties (within the meaning of section 543(d)(1))."¹⁵⁵ Generally, active business computer software royalties apply only to corporations that are actively engaged in the computer software business.¹⁵⁶

4. Qualified trade or business defined.

A qualified trade or business is defined by negation. It is any trade or business, other than any:

1. "trade or business involving the performance of services in the fields of health, law, engineering, architecture, accounting, actuarial science, performing arts, consulting, athletics, financial services, brokerage services, or any trade or business where the principal asset of such trade or business is the reputation or skill of one or more of its employees;"¹⁵⁷
2. "banking, insurance, financing, leasing, investing, or similar business;"¹⁵⁸
3. "farming business (including the business of raising or harvesting trees);"¹⁵⁹
4. "business involving the production or extraction of products that would provide depletion deductions under sections 613 and 613A"¹⁶⁰ (for example, oil, natural gas, and minerals); or
5. "business operating a hotel, motel, restaurant, or other similar businesses."¹⁶¹

Notwithstanding the exclusion of some companies performing "services" in specified fields like health, the IRS has ruled that companies that deploy technology, manufacturing assets, or other intellectual property to provide services exclusively to clients in the healthcare industry

¹⁵⁵ Section 1202(e)(8).

¹⁵⁶ See section 543(d)(2).

¹⁵⁷ Section 1202(e)(3)(A).

¹⁵⁸ Section 1202(e)(3)(B).

¹⁵⁹ Section 1202(e)(3)(C).

¹⁶⁰ Section 1202(e)(3)(D).

¹⁶¹ Section 1202(e)(3)(E).

would nonetheless qualify for QSBS status.¹⁶² LTR 201436001 involved a company that worked exclusively with clients in the pharmaceutical industry to commercialize experimental drugs. Specifically, the company's activities included research on drug formation effectiveness, pre-commercial testing procedures, and manufacturing of drugs. The IRS explained that "the thrust of section 1202(e)(3) is that businesses are not qualified trades or businesses if they offer value to customers primarily in the form of services, whether those services are the providing of hotel rooms, for example, or in the form of individual expertise (law firm partners)." The IRS ruled:

Company is not in the business of offering service in the form of individual expertise. Instead, Company's activities involve the deployment of specific manufacturing assets and intellectual property assets to create value for customers. Essentially, Company is a pharmaceutical industry analogue of a parts manufacturer in the automobile industry. Thus, although Company works primarily in the pharmaceutical industry, . . . Company does not perform services in the health industry within the meaning of section 1202(e)(3).

LTR 201717010 involved a company that was formed to provide more complete and timely information to healthcare providers. In particular, the company owned and deployed patents and other technology for the detection of B, in accordance with which it performed X testing, analyzed the results of X testing, and prepared laboratory reports for healthcare providers. In ruling that the company qualified for QSBS status, the IRS noted that the company simply provided lab results to healthcare professionals, did not discuss diagnoses or treatment, did not discuss lab tests with patients, and had contact with patients only for billing purposes. Further, the skills of the company's employees were not useful in performing the tests, and they were not subject to state licensing requirements as healthcare

professionals. Finally, none of the company's revenue was earned in connection with patients' medical care.

It is not clear whether all those factors must exist for a company that works in excluded service fields to have QSBS status, but it seems important that there be a physical asset, process, proprietary method, technology, patent, or other IP such that the company is not a trade or business whose principal asset is the reputation or skill of one or more of its employees.¹⁶³

5. Guidance from section 199A final regulations.

No regulations have been issued under section 1202 on the meaning and scope of the trades or businesses that would not qualify for QSBS status because they involve the "performance of services" in enumerated fields. However, section 199A(d)(2)(A) defined an SSTB as any trade or business described in section 1202(e)(3)(A), with the exclusion of engineering and architecture. Section 199A(d)(2)(B) also provides that an SSTB is any trade or business that involves the performance of services that consist of investing and investment management, trading, or dealing in securities, partnership interests, or commodities. Section 1202(e)(3)(B) excludes banking, insurance, financing, leasing, investing, or "similar business."

Given that the IRS has issued the final section 199A regulations, practitioners might infer how these definitions may be interpreted for QSBS purposes. A detailed discussion of the final regulations is beyond the scope of this report, but they provide interesting insights (in many instances drawing on guidance from section 448(d)(2)¹⁶⁴) about how some enumerated personal service fields will be defined.

a. Trade or business.

Sections 1202 and 199A apply to a trade or business, but neither provides a definition of the term. The term is defined in several different code

¹⁶² LTR 201717010.

¹⁶⁴ Section 448(d)(2) addresses limitation on the use of the cash method of accounting for qualified personal service corporations, which generally includes a corporation that involves the performance of services in the "fields of health, law, engineering, architecture, accounting, actuarial science, performing arts, or consulting." Section 448(d)(2)(A).

¹⁶² See LTR 201436001 and LTR 201717010.

sections, but the final section 199A regulations conclude that section 162 provides the most appropriate definition of a trade or business. The final section 199A regulations provide that a trade or business means “a trade or business that is a trade or business under section 162 . . . other than the trade or business of performing services as an employee.”¹⁶⁵ The courts have held that under section 162, a trade or business requires that the taxpayer carry on activities with a good-faith intention to make a profit or in the belief that a profit can be made from the activity.¹⁶⁶ Also, the courts have held that the scope of activities should be sufficient to be considered a trade or business, and they have generally held that the offering of goods and services to the public suffices to be considered a trade or business. To that end, in the field of investments (which would be excluded from QSBS consideration under section 1202(3)(B)), a dealer who purchases and sells securities for the accounts of others is generally considered to be in a trade or business, whereas an investor who trades on his own account is not in a trade or business.¹⁶⁷ Further, whether a trader who manages his own account is considered to have a trade or business is based on the amount of activity and whether the activity is considerable, regular, and continuous.¹⁶⁸

b. Health.

“Performance of services in the field of health” means “the provision of medical services by individuals such as physicians, pharmacists, nurses, dentists, veterinarians, physical therapists, psychologists, and other similar healthcare professionals performing services in their capacity as such.”¹⁶⁹ It does not include the “provision of services not directly related to a medical services field, even though the services provided may purportedly relate to the health of the service recipient”¹⁷⁰ — for example, the operation of health clubs or health spas that

provide physical exercise or conditioning to their customers; payment processing; or research, testing, and manufacture or sales of pharmaceuticals or medical devices.

c. Law.

“Performance of services in the field of law” means “the performance of services by individuals such as lawyers, paralegals, legal arbitrators, mediators, and similar professionals performing services in their capacity as such.”¹⁷¹ It does not include “the provision of services that do not require skills unique to the field of law; for example, the provision of services in the field of law does not include the provision of services by printers, delivery services, or stenography services.”¹⁷²

d. Accounting.

“Performance of services in the field of accounting” means “the provision of services by individuals such as accountants, enrolled agents, return preparers, financial auditors, and similar professionals performing services in their capacity as such.”¹⁷³ The preamble to the proposed section 199A regulations¹⁷⁴ explains that the provision of services in the field of accounting is not limited to services requiring state licensure as a CPA. The aim is to capture the common understanding of accounting, which includes tax return and bookkeeping services, even though the provision of those services may not require the same education, training, or mastery of accounting principles as a CPA. As such, the field of accounting does not include payment processing and billing analysis.

e. Actuarial science.

“Performance of services in the field of actuarial science” means “the provision of services by individuals such as actuaries and similar professionals performing services in their capacity as such.”¹⁷⁵ The preamble to the proposed section 199A regulations explains that the field of actuarial science does not include the provision of

¹⁶⁵ Reg. section 1.199A-1(b)(14).

¹⁶⁶ See *Doggett v. Burnet*, 65 F.2d 191 (D.C. Cir. 1933), *rev'd Doggett v. Commissioner*, 23 B.T.A. 744 (1931).

¹⁶⁷ See *King v. Commissioner*, 89 T.C. 445 (1987); *Crissey v. Commissioner*, T.C. Summ. Op. 2017-44; and *Kay v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo. 2011-159.

¹⁶⁸ See, e.g., *Kay*, T.C. Memo. 2011-159.

¹⁶⁹ Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(ii).

¹⁷⁰ *Id.*

¹⁷¹ Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(iii).

¹⁷² *Id.*

¹⁷³ Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(iv).

¹⁷⁴ REG-107892-18.

¹⁷⁵ Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(v).

services by analysts, economists, mathematicians, and statisticians not engaged in analyzing or assessing the financial costs of risk or uncertainty of events.

f. Performing arts.

"Performance of services in the field of the performing arts" means "the performance of services by individuals who participate in the creation of performing arts, such as actors, singers, musicians, entertainers, directors, and similar professionals performing services in their capacity as such."¹⁷⁶ It does not include "the provision of services that do not require skills unique to the creation of performing arts, such as the maintenance and operation of equipment or facilities for use in the performing arts."¹⁷⁷ Nor does it include "the provision of services by persons who broadcast or otherwise disseminate video or audio of performing arts to the public."¹⁷⁸

g. Consulting.

"Performance of services in the field of consulting" means "the provision of professional advice and counsel to clients to assist the client in achieving goals and solving problems."¹⁷⁹ The final section 199A regulations specifically provide that consulting includes "providing advice and counsel regarding advocacy with the intention of influencing decisions made by a government or governmental agency and all attempts to influence legislators and other government officials on behalf of a client by lobbyists and other similar professionals performing services in their capacity as such."¹⁸⁰ It does not include "the performance of services other than advice and counsel, such as sales (or economically similar services) or the provision of training and educational courses."¹⁸¹ For those purposes, the determination of whether a person's services are sales or economically similar services is based on

all the facts and circumstances of that person's business,¹⁸² including, for example, how the taxpayer is compensated for the services provided.¹⁸³ Further, consulting does not include "the performance of consulting services embedded in, or ancillary to, the sale of goods or performance of services on behalf of a trade or business that is otherwise not an SSTB (such as typical services provided by a building contractor) if there is no separate payment for the consulting services."¹⁸⁴

h. Athletics.

"Performance of services in the field of athletics" means "the performance of services by individuals who participate in athletic competition such as athletes, coaches, and team managers in sports such as baseball, basketball, football, soccer, hockey, martial arts, boxing, bowling, tennis, golf, skiing, snowboarding, track and field, billiards, and racing."¹⁸⁵ It does not include "the provision of services that do not require skills unique to athletic competition, such as the maintenance and operation of equipment or facilities for use in athletic events"¹⁸⁶ or "the provision of services by persons who broadcast or otherwise disseminate video or audio of athletic events to the public."¹⁸⁷

i. Financial services.

"Performance of services in the field of financial services" means "the provision of financial services to clients including managing wealth, advising clients with respect to finances, developing retirement plans, developing wealth transition plans, the provision of advisory and other similar services regarding valuations, mergers, acquisitions, dispositions, restructurings (including in title 11 or similar cases), and raising financial capital by underwriting, or acting as a client's agent in the issuance of securities and similar services."¹⁸⁸ It includes services provided

¹⁷⁶ Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(vi).

¹⁷⁷ *Id.*

¹⁷⁸ *Id.*

¹⁷⁹ Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(vii).

¹⁸⁰ *Id.*

¹⁸¹ *Id.*

¹⁸² *Id.*

¹⁸³ *Id.*

¹⁸⁴ *Id.*

¹⁸⁵ Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(viii).

¹⁸⁶ *Id.*

¹⁸⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸⁸ Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(ix).

by financial advisers, investment bankers, wealth planners, and retirement advisers and other similar professionals. It does not include taking deposits or making loans, but it does include “arranging lending transactions between a lender and borrower.”¹⁸⁹ Section 1202(e)(3)(A) includes the term “financial services” but then separately lists banking in section 1202(e)(3)(B). For that reason, the preamble to the proposed section 199A regulations points out that the term “financial services” does not include banking services.

j. Brokerage services.

“Performance of services in the field of brokerage services” includes services in which “a person arranges transactions between a buyer and a seller with respect to securities (as defined in section 475(c)(2)) for a commission or fee.”¹⁹⁰ This includes services provided by stock brokers and other similar professionals but specifically does not include services provided by real estate agents and brokers, or insurance agents and brokers.¹⁹¹

k. Investing and investment management.

“Performance of services that consist of investing and investment management” refers to a “trade or business involving the receipt of fees for providing investing, asset management, or investment management services, including providing advice with respect to buying and selling investments.”¹⁹² It does not include directly managing real property. The preamble to the proposed section 199A regulations states that investing and investment management would include a trade or business that receives a commission, a flat fee, or an investment management fee calculated as a percentage of assets under management.

l. Reputation or skill of one or more of its employees.

Any trade or business whose principal asset is the reputation or skill of one or more of its employees means:

any trade or business that consists of any of the following (or any combination thereof): (A) A trade or business in which a person receives fees, compensation, or other income for endorsing products or services, (B) A trade or business in which a person licenses or receives fees, compensation or other income for the use of an individual’s image, likeness, name, signature, voice, trademark, or any other symbols associated with the individual’s identity, (C) Receiving fees, compensation, or other income for appearing at an event or on radio, television, or another media format.¹⁹³

The final section 199A regulations provide two examples, one involving a celebrity chef and another involving a well-known actor. In the first example,¹⁹⁴ the chef receives an endorsement fee for the use of their name on a line of cooking utensils and cookware. The regulations conclude that the chef’s restaurant business is a trade or business that is not an SSTB, but the chef’s endorsement business is an SSTB. In the other example,¹⁹⁵ the actor enters into a partnership with a shoe company, under which she contributes her likeness and the use of her name. The regulations conclude that the actor’s income from the partnership is an SSTB.

G. QSB Defined

Under section 1202(d), a QSB is a domestic C corporation¹⁹⁶ that meets the following requirements (collectively, the aggregate gross asset requirement): (1) the aggregate gross assets of the corporation (or any predecessor) “at all times” on or after August 10, 1993, and before the issuance did not exceed \$50 million;¹⁹⁷ and (2) the aggregate gross assets of the corporation “immediately after the issuance (determined by taking into account amounts received in the issuance)” do not exceed \$50 million.¹⁹⁸ Aggregate

¹⁸⁹*Id.*

¹⁹⁰Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(x).

¹⁹¹*Id.*

¹⁹²Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(xi).

¹⁹³Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(2)(xiv).

¹⁹⁴Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(3)(xv), Example 15.

¹⁹⁵Reg. section 1.199A-5(b)(3)(xvi), Example 16.

¹⁹⁶Section 1202(d)(1).

¹⁹⁷Section 1202(d)(1)(A).

¹⁹⁸Section 1202(d)(1)(B).

gross assets means the “amount of cash and the aggregate adjusted bases of other property held by the corporation.”¹⁹⁹ However, for this purpose, the adjusted basis of any property contributed to the corporation (or other property with a basis determined in whole or in part by reference to the adjusted basis of the contributed property) is determined as if the basis of the contributed property (immediately after the contribution) were equal to its FMV at the time of the contribution.²⁰⁰

On the other hand, unrealized appreciation in an asset that is contributed in a section 351 exchange that qualifies as a purchase of section 1202 stock is not ignored — and it may need to be permanently taken into account going forward. For example, consider a controlling shareholder who contributed property (not stock) to a newly formed corporation. The property had an adjusted tax basis of \$20 million and an FMV of \$40 million. The shares issued in that contribution would be eligible for QSBS status, in part because the adjusted basis of the company assets was \$40 million — less than the \$50 million threshold. If, however, the company later raises \$30 million of cash in a single round of equity financing, the company’s tax basis in its assets immediately after the capital raise would be \$70 million, and none of those shares would qualify for QSBS treatment, even though books would show only \$30 million of cash on the balance sheet. This information is often not readily available in normal financial statements, and advisers need to be alert to this issue to avoid foot faults.

The “at all times” language requires taxpayers to be vigilant about the size and timing of different rounds of financing. By way of example, if a corporation is expected to need \$60 million in funds, rather than doing a single round of financing (none of which would be considered a QSB because it violates the aggregate gross asset requirement), the corporation could do an initial round at \$40 million and a second round at \$20 million. The initial round would presumably qualify for QSBS status (assuming all other requirements are met), and the second round may

or may not. It depends on whether the corporation’s aggregate gross assets exceeded \$50 million before and after the second issuance.

Read literally, the “at all times” requirement would require that the corporation meet the aggregate gross asset requirement not only when that stock is issued but also every day in between issuances. Although unrecognized appreciation in corporate assets will not alone cause a corporation to exceed the aggregate gross asset requirement because the \$50 million figure is based generally on adjusted basis, a taxable sale of assets and revenue might cause the corporation to exceed the cap, perhaps just temporarily. For example, a corporation has cash and assets with an aggregate tax basis equal to \$45 million. The FMV of the corporation’s assets is \$60 million. Assuming no appreciated property was contributed to the corporation, the corporation still meets the aggregate gross asset requirement. The corporation sells an asset that has a tax basis of \$5 million for \$11 million of cash, resulting in an increase of \$6 million of cash at closing. Because the aggregate gross asset requirement ignores liabilities, the \$6 million increase in cash causes the calculation to increase to \$51 million, even though the net after-tax figure would increase the figure to only \$49.74 million (\$6 million gain minus 21 percent corporate income tax liability equals \$4.74 million net after-tax proceeds). Can this really mean that the corporation has violated the aggregate gross asset requirement, and all future stock issuances of stock would no longer be considered QSBS? Does “at all times” truly mean each day, or can corporations satisfy this requirement if they are able to show that they meet the requirement on average or at the end of fiscal year in between issuances and at the time of issuances? Again, some IRS guidance would be appreciated.

Although the aggregate gross asset requirement is sometimes interpreted to mean that only corporations that have assets of \$50 million in value or less can issue QSBS-eligible stock, that is not technically correct. For example, a series of investors contribute \$20 million of cash in exchange for shares in a newly formed corporation. The shares received would obviously not violate the aggregate gross asset requirement. Assume that the cash is invested in property that

¹⁹⁹ Section 1202(d)(2)(A).

²⁰⁰ Section 1202(d)(2)(B).

appreciates to \$50 million in value. In a second round of financing, investors contribute an additional \$30 million in exchange for additional shares in the corporation. Although the corporation at that time would already have assets valued at \$80 million after this issuance, the shares received in the second round would still be eligible for QSBS status. The appreciation occurring within the corporation is ignored for purposes of the calculation.

On the other hand, unrealized appreciation in an asset that is contributed in a section 351 exchange is not ignored. For example, a controlling shareholder contributes property to a newly formed corporation. The property has an adjusted tax basis of \$20 million and an FMV of \$40 million. The shares issued in this contribution would be eligible for QSBS status. If, however, the shareholder subsequently contributes an additional \$30 million of cash to the corporation, the shares received in this additional round of financing would violate the aggregate gross asset requirement, and these shares would not be eligible for QSBS treatment. As discussed later, a conversion of a passthrough entity like a partnership to a C corporation is, in effect, a contribution of the partnership assets to a newly formed C corporation in exchange for the shares of the corporation in a section 351 exchange. Under those circumstances, taxpayers need to be sure that the value of the partnership property at the time of conversion has a value of \$50 million or less. If the assets are more than \$50 million in value, none of the shares received in the exchange will be eligible for QSBS treatment.

For purposes of determining whether a corporation is a QSB, all corporations that are part of the same parent-subsidiary controlled group as defined in section 1563(a)(1) are treated as one corporation, except that "more than 50 percent" is substituted for the "at least 80 percent" requirement in that section. As such, a parent-subsidiary controlled group means one or more corporations connected through stock ownership with a common parent if (1) stock possessing more than 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote (or more than 50 percent of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of each of the corporations), except the common parent corporation, is owned

by one or more of the other corporations; and (2) the common parent corporation owns stock possessing more than 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote (or more than 50 percent of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of at least one of the other corporations), excluding, in computing that voting power or value, stock owned directly by those other corporations.²⁰¹

The parent-subsidiary controlled group restriction prevents a parent corporation with assets greater than \$50 million from avoiding a violation of the aggregate gross asset requirement by contributing assets to other corporations in exchange for stock in that corporation. The aggregate gross asset requirement and the parent-subsidiary limitation apply only to corporations, not to passthrough entities (for example, upper- and lower-tier partnerships). Further, there is no corresponding rule under section 1202 for a brother-sister controlled group, as defined in section 1563(a)(2).²⁰²

Section 1202(d)(1)(C) includes an additional qualification: To be considered a QSB, a corporation must agree "to submit such reports to the Secretary and to shareholders as the Secretary may require to carry out the purposes of this section."²⁰³ Under section 6652(k), if a corporation fails to make the report that contains the required information on the date prescribed (determined with regard to any extension of time for filing), it is subject to a penalty of \$50 (per year covered). If the failure is attributable to negligence or intentional disregard, the penalty is \$100. However, no penalty will be imposed for a failure that is shown to be attributable to reasonable cause and not willful neglect.²⁰⁴

²⁰¹ In applying these tests, stock ownership includes constructive ownership under section 1563(d)(1) (constructive ownership from options and attribution from partnerships, estates, and trusts). See section 1563(e). Also, affiliated companies are not treated as a separate group for purposes of the QSB test. Section 1202(d)(3)(B).

²⁰²"Two or more corporations if 5 or fewer persons who are individuals, estates, or trusts own . . . stock possessing more than 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote or more than 50 percent of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of each corporation, taking into account the stock ownership of each such person only to the extent such stock ownership is identical with respect to each such corporation." Section 1563(a)(2).

²⁰³ Section 1202(d)(1)(C).

²⁰⁴ Section 6652(k).

H. Tax-Free Exchanges

If a taxpayer exchanges QSBS for other stock in a transaction described in section 351 (contributions to a controlled corporation) or section 368 (corporate reorganizations), the taxpayer will retain QSBS status over the newly acquired stock, even if the newly acquired stock is not otherwise QSBS.²⁰⁵ If the newly acquired stock is not QSBS, the section 1202(a) exclusion will apply only to the extent of the gain that would have been recognized at the time of the transfer "if section 351 or 368 had not applied at such time."²⁰⁶ In other words, the gain exclusion benefits are capped at the amount of gain rolled into the non-QSBS, determined at the time of the exchange. For example, QSBS is exchanged for shares in a non-QSBS company, and the unrealized gain is \$1 million at that time. If those newly acquired shares are then sold and the taxpayer recognizes \$1.5 million in gain, \$1 million will be excluded section 1202 gain, and \$500,000 will be non-section 1202 gain (taxable at 23.8 percent). If the newly acquired stock is QSBS, all those shares will be entirely QSBS.²⁰⁷

There is no limit to the number of section 351 and section 368 transactions under which QSBS status will be preserved. However, after the first exchange of QSBS for non-QSBS, stock received in any subsequent transaction will be subject to the limitation noted above based on the first exchange for non-QSBS.²⁰⁸ For a transaction described in section 351, the preservation of QSBS status will apply only if, immediately after the transaction, the corporation issuing the stock owns, directly or indirectly, stock representing control of the corporation whose stock was exchanged.²⁰⁹ Control is defined as "the ownership of stock possessing at least 80 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote and at least 80 percent of the total number of shares of all other classes of stock of the corporation."²¹⁰ In other words, the issuing

corporation must, after the transaction, hold at least 80 percent of the contributed QSB corporation.

If the newly acquired stock qualifies as QSBS, the foregoing limitations on the exclusion benefit do not apply (other than the per-issuer limitation). As such, an exchange in a reorganization described in section 368(a)(1)(F) ("a mere change in identity, form, or place of organization of one corporation, however effected") would extend QSBS status without any limitations.²¹¹ Section 1202(h)(3) provides that "rules similar to the rules of section 1244(d)(2) shall apply for purposes of this section." Section 1244(d)(2) provides, in part, that a successor corporation in a reorganization described in section 368(a)(1)(F) will be treated as the same corporation as its predecessor.

Section 1202(f) provides that if any stock in a corporation is acquired solely through the conversion of other stock in the corporation that is QSBS in the hands of the taxpayer, (1) the acquired stock will be treated as QSBS in the hands of the taxpayer, and (2) it will be treated as having been held during the period during which the converted stock was held. As such, an exchange of stock in a reorganization described in section 368(a)(1)(E) (a recapitalization) would extend QSBS status without any limitation.

If the stock received in an exchange described in section 351 or any other section 368 reorganization is also QSBS, QSBS status is extended without limitation (provided the additional control requirement is met).²¹² The IRS has ruled favorably on a divisive D reorganization under sections 368(d)(1)(D) and 355. In that ruling, the QSB (Distributing) formed a new corporation (Controlled), contributed one of Distributing's lines of business into Controlled, and then spun Controlled off to some of the shareholders of Distributing. The IRS ruled that stock of Controlled received by the Distributing shareholders would remain QSBS under section 1202(h)(4)(A), and the shareholders would be able to tack the holding period in Distributing to their Controlled stock.²¹³ ■

²⁰⁵ Section 1202(h)(4)(A).

²⁰⁶ Section 1202(h)(4)(B).

²⁰⁷ *Id.*

²⁰⁸ Section 1202(h)(4)(C).

²⁰⁹ Section 1202(h)(4)(D).

²¹⁰ Section 368(c).

²¹¹ LTR 201603011, LTR 201603010, and LTR 201636003.

²¹² Section 1202(h)(4)(A).

²¹³ LTR 9810010.

Qualified Small Business Stock: Quest For Quantum Exclusions, Part 2

by Paul S. Lee, L. Joseph Comeau,
Julie Miraglia Kwon, and Syida C. Long



Paul S. Lee



L. Joseph Comeau



Julie Miraglia Kwon



Syida C. Long

Paul S. Lee is the global fiduciary strategist for The Northern Trust Company, L. Joseph Comeau is a managing director at Andersen, Julie Miraglia Kwon is a partner at McDermott Will & Emery LLP, and Syida C. Long is a managing director at Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC. The authors thank Daniel Zucker, Gary Karch, Kevin Hall, Alejandro Ruiz, and Harry Dao of McDermott for their substantial guidance and assistance with this article.

In this three-part report, the authors analyze the section 1202 qualified small business stock (QSBS) exclusion and planning with QSBS. Part 1 reviewed the shareholder- and corporate-level qualifications under section 1202. This second installment examines the section 1045 rollover provisions for individual taxpayers and partnerships, QSBS planning with C corporation formations and passthrough entity conversions, federal reporting requirements, and state income tax treatment of QSBS. Part 2 of the report continues the discussion of shareholder and corporate qualifications, covering Section II, headers I through L.

Portions of these materials were originally prepared for the 53rd annual Heckerling Institute on Estate Planning (2019) and published by LexisNexis Matthew Bender, and are reprinted with the permission of the Heckerling Institute and the University of Miami.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of the institution that each represents. The information herein is provided solely for educational purposes. While this material is based on information believed to be reliable, no warranty is given as to its accuracy or completeness and it should not be relied upon as such. Information and opinions provided herein are as of the date of this material only and are subject to change without notice.

Copyright 2020 Paul S. Lee, L. Joseph Comeau, Julie Miraglia Kwon, and Syida C. Long.
All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

II.	Shareholder and Corporate Qualifications	218
I.	Section 1045 Rollover	218
J.	C Corporation Formation or Conversion	226
K.	Reporting Requirements and Statute of Limitations	234
L.	State Income Tax Treatment	235

II. Shareholder and Corporate Qualifications

I. Section 1045 Rollover

1. Generally.

Section 1045 allows a taxpayer to sell qualified small business stock (QSBS) and defer the recognition of gain by rolling the proceeds of the first sale into a new acquisition of QSBS within 60 days of the sale. To qualify for the rollover, the taxpayer must have held the original QSBS for more than six months at the time of the sale, and the taxpayer must elect the application of section 1045 to the original sale.¹ If these conditions are met, the taxpayer has a 60-day period beginning on the date of the original sale to purchase the replacement QSBS.²

Section 1045 was enacted in 1997,³ and originally this rollover provision applied only to individual taxpayers, which did not match the eligible qualified taxpayers under section 1202. In 1998 section 1045 was amended so that it applies to any “taxpayer other than a corporation,”⁴ and that amendment became effective as though it had been included when the section was originally enacted.⁵

It seems that rollover under section 1045 can be used to multiply or stack the per-issuer limitation. By way of example, a taxpayer may be able to sell QSBS and exclude a portion of the gain, subject to the taxpayer’s per-issuer limitation for the original QSBS. The portion of

the gain that is not excluded (that is, the eligible gain that exceeds the taxpayer’s per-issuer limitation for the tax year, the non-section 1202 gain) can be rolled over under section 1045. If the proceeds are used to purchase QSBS in three different replacement qualified small business (QSB) corporations, it seems that each replacement acquisition will be treated as a new issuance of QSBS under section 1202. Because the per-issuer limitation is calculated per corporation,⁶ the taxpayer would seem to acquire three new per-issuer limitations in the replacement QSBS of each corporation. There do not seem to be any limitations under sections 1202 and 1045 to prevent this result.⁷

Rollover treatment is available to the noncorporate partners of a partnership that holds QSBS. Section 1045(b)(5) provides that rules similar to section 1202(g) dealing with passthrough entities will apply for purposes of rollover. Final regulations were issued on the availability of the section 1045 rollover election to partnerships and their eligible partners, applicable for sales of QSBS after August 13, 2007.⁸ To date, regulations have not been issued under section 1202(g) regarding passthrough entities. It is unclear whether the regulatory guidance issued under section 1045 would also be applicable in the context of section 1202. These regulations and planning issues with partnerships are discussed later.

2. Calculating gain rollover.

Gain from the original sale will be recognized “only to the extent that the amount realized on such sale exceeds the cost of any qualified small business stock purchased by the taxpayer”⁹ (within the 60-day period), reduced by any portion of that cost previously taken into account

¹Section 1045(a).

²See section 1045(a)(1).

³See Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997, P.L. 105-34, section 313(a).

⁴Section 1045(a).

⁵See Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998, P.L. 105-206, section 6005(f)(1).

⁶See section 1202(b)(1). As an aside, section 1045 rollover can only result in a reduction of basis in the replacement QSBS. Thus, the prohibition against any “addition to basis” is not violated. See section 1202(b) (flush language).

⁷Section 1045(b)(5) provides that “rules similar to the rules of subsections (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), and (k) of section 1202 shall apply.” None of the foregoing subsections would seem to restrict the creation of a new per-issuer limitation.

⁸T.D. 9353.

⁹Section 1045(a) and (a)(1).

under section 1045.¹⁰ To illustrate, consider the following examples:

Example 1: A acquires QSBS for \$1 million. In the same year, but more than six months later, A sells the stock for \$1.5 million, realizing a gain of \$500,000. A month later, A purchases QSBS in another company for \$1.5 million and elects rollover treatment under section 1045. A recognizes no gain.

Example 2: Same facts as Example 1, except A purchases \$1.4 million of replacement QSBS in another company. A recognizes \$100,000 in gain.

Example 3: B acquires two blocks of QSBS, the first block for \$100,000 and a second block for \$500,000. The following year, B sells the first block for \$800,000 (realizing a gain of \$700,000) and then sells the second block for \$700,000 (realizing a gain of \$200,000). A month later B acquires replacement QSBS for \$1 million. If B elects rollover treatment, B will not recognize any gain on the sale of the first block of stock (thereby deferring \$700,000 of gain). On the second sale, the maximum amount that can be recognized is the excess of the amount realized of \$700,000 over the cost of the new QSBS reduced by the cost previously taken on the first sale, which is \$200,000 (\$1 million - \$800,000). Under the formula of section 1045(a), no more than \$500,000 can be recognized, but the second sale resulted only in \$200,000 of gain. As a result, B recognizes \$200,000. The end result is: (1) B invested \$600,000 in the first QSBS company; (2) sold that investment for \$1.5 million; (3) reinvested \$1 million in a second QSBS company, retaining \$500,000 in cash; and (4) recognized only \$200,000 in gain.

Example 4: Same facts as Example 3, except B sells the second block first (realizing \$200,000 of gain), followed by a sale of the first block (realizing \$700,000 of gain). B will not recognize any gain on the sale of the second block of stock (thereby deferring \$200,000 of gain). For the sale of the first block, under the formula of section 1045(a), the maximum amount that can be recognized is the amount realized of \$800,000 over the cost of the new QSBS reduced by the cost previously taken on the previous sale, which is

\$300,000 (\$1 million - \$700,000), so B will recognize \$500,000 of gain on the sale of the first block. The end economic result here is the same as in Example 3, except B recognized \$500,000 of gain, rather than \$200,000.

As one can see, under the basis rules applicable to the sale of stock, if a taxpayer is practicing separate lot accounting on adequately identified blocks of stocks,¹¹ the taxpayer can avoid the result in Example 4 by ensuring that the first block is sold before the second block. If the taxpayer fails to adequately identify the lots sold in each transaction, a first-in, first-out accounting convention is used to determine gain or loss,¹² which would have negated the result in Example 4.

3. Rollover basis rules.

When a taxpayer elects to roll over proceeds of a sale of QSBS, to the extent that gain goes unrecognized, it reduces the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the replacement QSBS.¹³ If the taxpayer purchases replacement QSBS in more than one transaction, the basis reduction is applied in the order acquired.¹⁴ To illustrate, in Example 3, B's new basis in the replacement QSBS is \$300,000. The cost for the replacement QSBS is \$1 million, which is then reduced by the amount of the unrecognized gain of \$700,000. Section 1045 did not defer any of the gain on the subsequent sale of the second block of stock. In Example 4, B's new basis in the replacement stock is \$600,000 (\$1 million - \$200,000 unrecognized gain from the sale of the second block - \$200,000 of unrecognized gain from the sale of the first block).

4. Holding period rules.

As mentioned, to qualify for rollover under section 1045, the taxpayer must have held the QSBS for more than six months before the sale. The code provides two modifications: (1) the

¹¹ See reg. section 1.1012-1(c).

¹² Reg. section 1.1012-1(c)(1)(i). The election to use the average basis method is likely unavailable to shareholders of a QSBS because the regulations provide that it applies only to identical shares of stock (requiring a Committee on Uniform Security Identification Procedures number or other security identifier number as permitted in published guidance of general applicability, like a private placement number) deposited with a custodian in connection with a dividend reinvestment plan. See reg. section 1.1012-1(e).

¹³ Section 1045(b)(3).

¹⁴ Id.

¹⁰ Section 1045(a)(2).

taxpayer's holding period for that stock is determined without regard to section 1223; and (2) only the first six months of the taxpayer's holding period in the stock is taken into account in applying section 1202(c)(2). To the extent gain from the sale of QSBS is rolled over under section 1045, for the holding period of the replacement QSBS, the code provides:

In determining the period for which the taxpayer has held property the acquisition of which resulted under section 1045 . . . in the nonrecognition of any part of the gain realized on the sale of other property, there shall be included the period for which such other property has been held as of the date of such sale.¹⁵

5. Rollover election (other than a partnership).

To get the rollover benefits, the taxpayer must make an election on or before the due date (including extensions) for filing the income tax return for the tax year in which the QSBS is sold.¹⁶ A taxpayer must report the sale on Form 8949, "Sales and Other Dispositions of Capital Assets," as if the taxpayer is not making the rollover election. The taxpayer must then file Schedule D accordingly. The instructions for Schedule D provide that if a rollover election is made, the taxpayer should "enter the amount of postponed gained as a negative number" in column (g).¹⁷ A taxpayer who has more than one sale of QSBS in a tax year may make a rollover election for any or all of the sales.¹⁸ The election is revocable only with the prior written consent of the IRS, which a taxpayer must obtain by submitting a request for a private letter ruling.¹⁹

6. Partnership regulations.

a. Generally.

As mentioned, final regulations were issued on the availability of the section 1045 rollover election to partnerships and their eligible

partners.²⁰ These regulations use particular terms that should be noted. It refers to QSBS as "QSB stock" and clarifies that it "does not include an interest in a partnership that purchases or holds QSB stock."²¹ The term "replacement QSB stock" is any QSBS purchased within 60 days beginning on the date of a sale of QSBS.²² An "eligible partner" is a "taxpayer other than a C corporation that holds an interest in a partnership on the date the partnership acquires the QSB stock and at all times thereafter for more than 6 months until the partnership sells or distributes the QSB stock."²³ For purposes of the foregoing, a taxpayer "who acquires from a partner (other than a C corporation) by gift or at death an interest in a partnership that holds QSB stock is treated as having held the acquired interest in the partnership during the period the partner (other than a C corporation) held the interest in the partnership."²⁴ The regulations provide that these terms apply for purposes of section 1045, but the terms "by gift" and "at death" are likely references to transfers under section 1202(h)(2)(A) and (B). A "purchasing partnership" is a partnership, different from the partnership selling the QSBS (1) that purchases replacement QSBS and (2) in which the taxpayer is "partner (directly or through an upper-tier partnership) on the date on which the partnership acquires the replacement QSB stock."²⁵ A "selling partnership" is a partnership that sells QSBS.

Under the regulations, if a partnership is involved in the purchase or sale of QSBS, there are three options under which a taxpayer can get the benefits of rollover under section 1045:

1. "a partnership that holds QSB stock . . . for more than 6 months, sells such QSB stock, and purchases replacement QSB stock may elect to apply section 1045;"²⁶

²⁰T.D. 9353.

²¹Reg. section 1.1045-1(g)(1).

²²Reg. section 1.1045-1(g)(2).

²³Reg. section 1.1045-1(g)(3)(i). The regulations also provide rules for tiered partnerships under which the upper-tier (parent) partnership is disregarded and the partners of the upper-tier partnership are treated as owning an interest in the lower-tier partnership directly. See reg. section 1.1045-1(g)(3)(iii) and (iv).

²⁴Reg. section 1.1045-1(g)(3)(ii).

²⁵Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(1)(i).

²⁶Reg. section 1.1045-1(a).

¹⁵Section 1223(13).

¹⁶Rev. Proc. 98-48, 1998-2 C.B. 367, section 3.01.

¹⁷See 2017 Instructions for Schedule D, "Capital Gains and Losses," and 2017 Instructions for Form 8949.

¹⁸Rev. Proc. 98-48, section 3.03.

¹⁹See Rev. Proc. 98-1, 1998-1 C.B. 7, and Rev. Proc. 2018-1, 2018-1 IRB 1 (the current version of the revenue procedure).

2. “an eligible partner . . . of a partnership that sells QSB Stock may elect to apply section 1045 if the eligible partner purchases replacement QSB stock directly or through a purchasing partnership;”²⁷ and
3. “a taxpayer (other than a C corporation) that holds QSB stock for more than 6 months, sells such QSB stock, and purchases replacement QSB stock through a purchasing partnership, may elect to apply section 1045.”²⁸

The section 1045 election, whether made by a partnership, eligible partner, or taxpayer, is revocable only with the prior written consent of the IRS, which the person who made the election must obtain by submitting a request for a private letter ruling.²⁹

b. Partnership section 1045 election.

If a partnership elects to apply section 1045 (the first option noted above), each eligible partner will not recognize its distributive share of any partnership section 1045 gain.³⁰ Partnership section 1045 gain is equal to the partnership’s gain from the sale of the QSB stock reduced by the greater of (1) any amount of gain from the sale of the QSBS that is treated as ordinary income;³¹ or (2) the excess of the amount realized by the partnership on the sale over the total cost of all replacement QSBS purchased by the partnership (excluding the cost of any replacement QSBS purchased by the partnership that is otherwise taken into account under section 1045).³²

A partner’s distributive share of the partnership section 1045 gain “shall be in the same proportion as the partner’s distributive share of the partnership’s gain from the sale of the

QSB stock.”³³ These amounts are determined without regard to basis adjustments under section 743(b) (inside basis adjustments upon the sale of a partnership interest or the death of a partner) and reductions in the basis of replacement QSBS, discussed later.³⁴ An inside basis adjustment under section 743(b) is inappropriate in the context of QSBS because allowable transfers during lifetime must be by gift, and although transfers at death are permissible, basis adjustments under section 1014 (or any adjustments after original issuance) are ignored for section 1202 purposes.³⁵

The regulations provide that the adjusted basis of an eligible partner’s interest in the partnership (outside basis) will not be increased under section 705(a)(1) by gain from a partnership’s sale of QSBS that is not recognized by the partner as the result of a partnership election under section 1045.³⁶ This is appropriate because if the entire amount realized on the sale of QSBS stock is rolled over to replacement QSBS, no gain will be recognized and, by definition, no sale proceeds would be available for distribution to the partner. In contrast, if a partnership sells QSBS for cash and all the gain is eligible for a 100 percent exclusion under section 1202(a)(4), the excluded gain will result in an increase in outside basis under section 705(a)(1)(B) (increase in outside basis for partner’s distributive share of partnership income exempt from tax).

For purposes of determining the partnership’s basis in replacement QSBS, the regulations provide that the basis is “reduced (in the order acquired) by the amount of gain from the partnership’s sale of QSB stock that is not recognized by an eligible partner as a result of the partnership’s election under section 1045.”³⁷ This rule mirrors the same rule provided in section 1045(b)(3), discussed earlier. However, the regulations clarify that this basis reduction in the replacement QSBS is “with respect to that partner

²⁷*Id.*

²⁸*Id.*

²⁹*Id.* See Rev. Proc. 2007-1, 2007-1 C.B. 1, and Rev. Proc. 2018-1 (the current version of the revenue procedure).

³⁰Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(1).

³¹Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(1)(i). This provision mirrors the flush language of section 1045(a), which provides that the nonrecognition of gain will not apply to “any gain which is treated as ordinary income for purposes of this title.”

³²Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(1)(ii). This provision mirrors the calculation in section 1045(a)(1) and (2) if the amount realized exceeds the cost of any QSBS purchased by the taxpayer, reduced by any portion of that cost previously taken into account under the section.

³³Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(2).

³⁴*Id.*

³⁵See section 1202(h)(2)(A) and (B); section 1202(b)(1) (flush language); and reg. section 1.1045-1(g)(3)(ii).

³⁶Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(3)(i).

³⁷Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(3)(ii)(A).

only”³⁸ under the modified principles set forth in reg. section 1.743-1(g), (h), and (j).

As mentioned, inside basis adjustments under section 743(b) relate to a sale of a partnership interest or the death of a partner that results in a disparity between the outside basis of the transferee and the transferee’s share of the partnership’s basis in its assets (inside basis). Under both circumstances, the transferee receives an outside basis equal to the cost of the purchase or equal to the fair market value of the partnership interest.³⁹ When a section 754 election is in effect, section 743(b) adjusts for those disparities by making notional adjustments in the transferee partner’s share of partnership property. These adjustments are applicable only to the transferee. As such, inside basis adjustments under section 743(b) do not change or affect capital accounts,⁴⁰ and because the adjustments apply only to the transferee, they are not made to the common basis of the partnership.⁴¹ The partnership will compute its taxable income, gain, loss, and deduction without regard to the inside basis adjustments under section 743(b), and then allocate these amounts among all the partners under the principles of section 704(b). At this point, the inside basis adjustments then come into consideration. The partnership will adjust the transferee partner’s distributive share of income, gain, loss, and deduction to reflect the adjustments.

For example, if the partnership sells an asset that has an inside basis adjustment, the amount of the adjustment will reduce or increase the transferee’s distributive share of the gain or loss from the sale of the asset.⁴² Also, if a positive adjustment is made to depreciable (or amortizable) property, the adjustment will increase the transferee’s share of depreciation (or

amortization) from that property. In effect, the transferee is treated as if he or she purchased new property for a price equal to the adjustment.⁴³

With these principles in mind, the regulations provide that the basis adjustment that carries over to the replacement QSBS will be reduced (but not below zero) by the eligible partner’s distributive share of the excess, if any, of the greater of the reductions to QSBS basis, mentioned earlier, over the partnership’s gain from the sale of the QSBS (determined without regard to basis adjustments under section 743 or reductions in the basis of replacement QSBS).⁴⁴ This excess amount that reduces the basis adjustment will be accounted for as gain in accordance with reg. section 1.743-1(j)(3).⁴⁵

The regulations provide a detailed example that is worth reproducing because it shows how the inside and outside basis adjustments would work with both eligible and non-eligible partners⁴⁶:

Partnership sale of QSB stock and purchase and sale of replacement QSB stock. (i) On January 1, 2008, A, an individual, X, a C corporation, and Y, a C corporation, form PRS, a partnership. A, X, and Y each contribute \$250 to PRS and agree to share all partnership items equally. PRS purchases QSB stock for \$750 on February 1, 2008. On November 3, 2008, PRS sells the QSB stock for \$1,500. PRS realizes \$750 of gain from the sale of the QSB stock (none of which is treated as ordinary income) and allocates \$250 of gain to each of A, X, and Y. PRS purchases replacement QSB stock (replacement QSB1 stock) for \$1,350 on December 15, 2008. On its timely filed return for the taxable year during which the sale of the QSB stock occurs, PRS makes an election

³⁸*Id.*

³⁹“The basis of a partnership interest acquired from a decedent is the [FMV] of the interest at the date of his death or at the alternate valuation date, increased by his estate’s or other successor’s share of partnership liabilities, if any, on that date, and reduced to the extent that such value is attributable to items constituting income in respect of a decedent (see section 753 and paragraph (c)(3)(v) of section 1.706-1 and paragraph (b) of section 1.753-1) under section 691.” Reg. section 1.742-1.

⁴⁰Reg. section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m).

⁴¹Reg. section 1.743-1(j)(1). There is a limited exception for specified distributions to a transferee partner. See reg. section 1.734-2(b)(1).

⁴²Reg. section 1.743-1(j)(3).

⁴³Reg. section 1.743-1(j)(4).

⁴⁴Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(3)(ii)(A).

⁴⁵“The amount of a transferee’s income, gain, or loss from the sale or exchange of a partnership asset in which the transferee has a basis adjustment is equal to the transferee’s share of the partnership’s gain or loss from the sale of the asset . . . minus the amount of the transferee’s positive basis adjustment for the partnership asset . . . or plus the amount of the transferee’s negative basis adjustment for the partnership asset.” Reg. section 1.743-1(j)(3).

⁴⁶Reg. section 1.1045-1(i), Example 5.

to apply section 1045. A does not make an election to apply section 1045 with respect to the November 3, 2008, sale of QSB stock. PRS knows that X and Y are C corporations. On March 30, 2009, PRS sells replacement QSB1 stock for \$1,650. PRS realizes \$300 of gain from the sale of replacement QSB1 stock (none of which is treated as ordinary income) and allocates \$100 of gain to each of A, X, and Y. A does not make an election to apply section 1045 with respect to the March 30, 2009, sale of replacement QSB1 stock.

(ii) Under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the partnership section 1045 gain from the November 3, 2008, sale of QSB stock is \$600 (\$750 gain less \$150 (\$1,500 amount realized on the sale of QSB stock less \$1,350 cost of replacement QSB1 stock)). This amount must be allocated among the partners in the same proportions as the entire gain from the sale of QSB stock is allocated to the partners, $\frac{1}{3}$ (\$200) to A, $\frac{1}{3}$ (\$200) to X, and $\frac{1}{3}$ (\$200) to Y.

(iii) Because neither X nor Y is an eligible partner under paragraph (g)(3) of this section, X and Y must each recognize its \$250 distributive share of partnership gain from the sale of QSB stock. Because A is an eligible partner under paragraph (g)(3) of this section, A may defer recognition of A's \$200 distributive share of partnership section 1045 gain. A is not required to separately elect to apply section 1045. A must recognize A's remaining \$50 distributive share of the partnership's gain from the sale of QSB stock.

(iv) Under section 705(a)(1), the adjusted bases of X's and Y's interests in PRS are each increased by \$250. Under section 705(a)(1) and paragraph (b)(3)(i) of this section, the adjusted basis of A's interest in PRS is not increased by the \$200 of partnership section 1045 gain that was not recognized by A, but is increased by A's remaining \$50 distributive share of gain.

(v) PRS must decrease its basis in the replacement QSB1 stock by the \$200 of partnership section 1045 gain that was

allocated to A. This basis reduction is a reduction with respect to A only. PRS then adjusts A's distributive share of gain from the sale of replacement QSB1 stock to reflect the effect of A's basis adjustment under paragraph (b)(3)(ii) of this section. In accordance with the principles of [reg.] section 1.743-1(j)(3), the amount of A's gain from the March 30, 2009, sale of replacement QSB1 stock in which A has a \$200 negative basis adjustment equals \$300 (A's share of PRS' gain from the sale of replacement QSB1 stock (\$100), increased by the amount of A's negative basis adjustment for replacement QSB1 stock (\$200)). Accordingly, upon the sale of replacement QSB1 stock, A recognizes \$300 of gain, and X and Y each recognize \$100 of gain.

(vi) Assume the same facts as in paragraph (i) of this Example 5, except that PRS purchases replacement QSB stock (replacement QSB2 stock) on April 15, 2009, for \$1,150 and PRS makes an election to apply section 1045 with respect to the March 30, 2009, sale of replacement QSB1 stock. Under paragraph (b)(3)(ii)(A) of this section, PRS' \$200 basis adjustment in QSB1 stock relating to the November 3, 2008, sale of QSB stock carries over to the basis adjustment for QSB2 stock. This basis adjustment is an adjustment with respect to A only. The \$200 basis adjustment is reduced by A's distributive share of the excess of \$500 (the greater of the amount determined under paragraph (b)(1)(i), \$0, or (ii) of this section, \$500 (\$1,650 amount realized on the sale of QSB1 stock less \$1,150 cost of replacement QSB2 stock)) over \$300 (PRS' gain from the sale of QSB1 stock), or \$67 (\$200 (\$500 minus \$300) divided by 3). Under paragraph (b)(3)(ii)(A), A must account for the \$67 excess amount that reduces PRS' basis adjustment in QSB2 stock as gain in accordance with [reg.] section 1.743-1(j)(3). Therefore, A now has a \$133 negative basis adjustment with respect to replacement QSB2 stock ((-\$200) negative basis adjustment from the November 3,

2008, sale of QSB stock plus \$67 positive basis adjustment from the March 30, 2009, sale of QSB1 stock). A also recognizes the \$100 of gain allocated by PRS to A from the March 30, 2009, sale of replacement QSB1 stock for total gain recognition of \$167 (\$100 plus \$67).

As the foregoing example points out, eligible partners and non-eligible partners are treated very differently. To that end, the regulations provide: "A partnership must presume that a partner did not recognize that partner's distributive share of the partnership section 1045 gain as a result of the partnership's section 1045 election unless the partner notifies the partnership to the contrary."⁴⁷ If a partnership knows that a particular partner is classified as a C corporation for federal tax purposes, the partnership may presume that the partner did not defer recognition of its distributive share of the partnership section 1045 gain, even in the absence of notification by the partner.⁴⁸ If a partnership makes an election under section 1045, but an eligible partner opts out of the election and provides notification to the partnership, no basis adjustments are required for that partner.⁴⁹

If a partnership makes any adjustments for replacement QSBS, it must attach a statement to the partnership tax return setting forth the computation of the adjustment, the replacement QSBS to which the adjustment is made, the date on which the QSBS was acquired by the partnership, and the amount of the adjustment that is allocated to each partner.⁵⁰ Further, a partnership that makes a section 1045 election must notify all its partners of the election and of the purchase of replacement QSBS "in accordance with the applicable forms and instructions, and separately state each partner's distributive share of partnership section 1045 gain from the sale of QSB stock under section 702."⁵¹ Each partner is required to determine whether it is an eligible

partner and report its distributive share of partnership section 1045 gain, including gain not recognized.⁵² Any partner that must recognize all or any part of the partner's distributive share of partnership section 1045 gain "must notify the partnership, in writing, of the amount of partnership section 1045 gain that is recognized by the partner."⁵³

An eligible partner may opt out of a partnership section 1045 election "either by recognizing the partner's distributive share of the partnership section 1045 gain, or by making a partner section 1045 election"⁵⁴ (discussed later). If an eligible partner opts out, it is required to notify the partnership, in writing, that it is opting out.⁵⁵

c. Partner section 1045 elections.

A partner can elect to apply section 1045 in three specified circumstances⁵⁶:

1. an eligible partner of a selling partnership may elect to apply section 1045 if the eligible partner directly purchases replacement QSBS;
2. an eligible partner of a selling partnership may elect to apply section 1045 if replacement QSBS is purchased through a purchasing partnership; and
3. a taxpayer other than a C corporation that sells QSBS held for more than six months at the time of the sale may elect to apply section 1045 if replacement QSBS is purchased by a purchasing partnership (including a selling partnership).

Subject to the nonrecognition limitation, defined later, if an eligible partner of a selling partnership elects to apply section 1045 to a direct purchase of replacement QSBS, the eligible partner must recognize its distributive share of gain from the sale of QSBS by the selling partnership only to the extent of the greater of (1) the amount of the eligible partner's distributive share of the selling partnership's gain from the sale of the QSBS that is treated as ordinary income; or (2) the "excess of the eligible partner's

⁴⁷ Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(3)(ii)(A).

⁴⁸ *Id.*

⁴⁹ *Id.* The regulations also provide rules for tiered partnerships that require the basis adjustments to be segregated and allocated to the eligible partner. See reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(3)(ii)(B).

⁵⁰ Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(3)(ii)(C).

⁵¹ Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(5)(i).

⁵² *Id.*

⁵³ Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(5)(ii).

⁵⁴ Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(4).

⁵⁵ Reg. section 1.1045-1(b)(5)(ii).

⁵⁶ Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(1).

share of the selling partnership's amount realized on that partnership's sale of the QSB stock (excluding the cost of any replacement QSB stock purchased by the selling partnership) over the cost of any replacement QSB stock purchased by the eligible partner (excluding the cost of any replacement QSB stock that is otherwise taken into account under section 1045).⁵⁷

The eligible partner's share of the amount realized by the selling partnership on the sale of QSBS (excluding the cost of any replacement QSBS otherwise taken into account under section 1045) is equal to the partnership's amount realized multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the eligible partner's distributive share of the partnership's realized gain from the sale of the QSBS, and the denominator of which is the partnership's realized gain on the sale of the QSBS.⁵⁸ The regulations also provide a modification of the foregoing if the purchasing partnership does not realize a gain or realizes a loss from the subsequent sale of replacement QSBS and if the eligible partner's interest in the purchasing partnership is reduced after the sale of QSBS and the purchasing partnership realizes a gain from the sale of replacement QSBS.⁵⁹

Subject to the nonrecognition limitation, if an eligible partner elects to apply section 1045 to replacement QSBS purchased by a purchasing partnership, the eligible partner must recognize its distributive share of gain from the selling partnership's sale of QSBS (and gain from the subsequent sale of replacement QSBS)⁶⁰ only to the extent of the greater of (1) the amount of the eligible partner's distributive share of the selling partnership's gain from the sale of the QSBS that is treated as ordinary income; or (2) the excess of the eligible partner's share of the selling partnership's amount realized on its sale of the QSBS (excluding the cost of any replacement QSBS purchased by the selling partnership) over the cost of any replacement QSBS purchased by the eligible partner (excluding the cost of any

replacement QSBS otherwise taken into account under section 1045).⁶¹

Subject to the nonrecognition limitation, if a taxpayer other than a C corporation elects to apply section 1045 to replacement QSBS purchased by a purchasing partnership, the taxpayer must recognize gain only to the extent of the greater of (1) the amount of gain from the sale of the QSBS that is treated as ordinary income; or (2) the excess of the amount realized by the taxpayer on the sale of the QSBS over the partner's share of the purchasing partnership's cost of the replacement QSBS (excluding the cost of any QSBS otherwise taken into account under section 1045).⁶² For purposes of the foregoing, a partner's share of the cost of replacement QSBS purchased by a purchasing partnership is the percentage of the partnership's future income and gain, if any, "that is reasonably expected to be allocated to the partner (determined without regard to any adjustment under section 1045) with respect to the replacement QSB stock that was purchased by the partnership, multiplied by the cost of that replacement QSB stock."⁶³

The amount of gain that an eligible partner does not recognize cannot exceed the nonrecognition limitation.⁶⁴ The nonrecognition limitation is equal to the product of (1) the partnership's realized gain from the sale of the QSBS, determined without regard to any basis adjustment under section 734(b) or 743(b)⁶⁵ (other than the inside basis adjustments described earlier); and (2) the eligible partner's "smallest percentage interest in partnership capital."⁶⁶ The latter is the partner's percentage share of capital determined at the time of the acquisition of the QSBS as adjusted before the QSBS is sold to reflect any reduction in the capital of the eligible partner, "including a reduction as a result of a disproportionate capital contribution by other partners, a disproportionate capital distribution to the eligible partner or the transfer of an interest

⁵⁷ Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(1)(ii)(B).

⁵⁸ Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(2)(i).

⁵⁹ See reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(2)(ii) and (iii).

⁶⁰ See reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(5).

⁶¹ Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(1)(iii)(A)(2).

⁶² Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(1)(iii)(B)(2).

⁶³ Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(3).

⁶⁴ Reg. section 1.1045-1(d)(1).

⁶⁵ Reg. section 1.1045-1(d)(1)(i).

⁶⁶ Reg. section 1.1045-1(d)(1)(ii).

by the eligible partner, but excluding income and loss allocations.⁶⁷

The regulations provide that the outside basis of an eligible partner's interest in a selling partnership is increased by the partner's distributive share of gain.⁶⁸ If the selling partnership is also a purchasing partnership, the eligible partner's outside basis may be reduced.⁶⁹ Under reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(4)(ii), a partner's basis in any replacement QSBS that is purchased by the partner, as well as the adjusted basis of any replacement QSBS that is purchased by the purchasing partnership, must be reduced (in the order acquired) by the partner's distributive share of the gain on the sale of the selling partnership's QSBS that is not recognized by the partner, or by the gain on a sale of QSBS by the partner that is not recognized under section 1045, as applicable. If the purchasing partnership purchases replacement QSBS, that partnership maintains its adjusted basis in the replacement QSBS, but the eligible partner (in computing its distributive share of income, gain, loss, and deduction for the replacement QSBS) must take into account the variation between the adjusted basis in the QSBS (reduced as described previously) and the adjusted basis determined without the reduction.⁷⁰

A partner that treats its interest in QSBS purchased by a purchasing partnership as the partner's replacement QSBS must reduce (in the order acquired) the adjusted basis of the partner's outside basis in the purchasing partnership by the partner's distributive share of the gain on the sale of the selling partnership's QSBS that the partner defers, or by the gain on a sale of QSBS by the partner that the partner defers under section 1045, as applicable.⁷¹ The regulations provide that if the partner or the purchasing partnership sells or exchanges replacement QSBS, the amount recognized by the partner is determined by taking

into account the basis adjustments described in reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(4)(ii).⁷²

A partner making an election under section 1045 must do so on the partner's timely filed (including extensions) federal income tax return for the tax year during which the partner takes into account the partner's distributive share of the partnership's gain from the sale of the QSBS under section 706. Also, a partner making an election under section 1045 must do so in accordance with the applicable forms and instructions.⁷³

J. C Corporation Formation or Conversion

1. Generally.

As noted, by definition, QSB and QSBS status require that the issuer of the stock be a C corporation. Further, QSBS must be acquired at its original issuance either in exchange for money or other property (other than stock) or as compensation for services provided to the corporation. When property is transferred to a C corporation in exchange for stock in the corporation, gain or loss is generally recognized by the contributing shareholder. The notable exception to this rule is outlined in section 351, generally describing transfers to controlled corporations.

QSBS companies are sometimes initially formed as C corporations, and the initial shareholders look to section 351 to avoid recognition of gain if appreciated property is contributed to the corporation in exchange for shares of its stock. More often than not, however, companies that eventually become QSBS companies start as limited liability companies, partnerships, or other business entities that are either taxed as partnerships or treated as disregarded entities for federal income tax purposes. These passthrough entities will often, for several business and tax reasons, eventually convert to C corporations. The owners of the passthrough entity also hope that the conversion

⁶⁷ Reg. section 1.1045-1(d)(2). The regulations also provide that if an eligible partner owns an interest in a tiered partnership, the eligible partner's percentage interest in the purchasing partnership must be proportionately adjusted to reflect the eligible partner's percentage interest in the upper-tier partnership. See reg. section 1.1045-1(d)(3).

⁶⁸ Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(4)(i).

⁶⁹ See reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(4)(iii).

⁷⁰ *Id.*

⁷¹ Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(4)(iii).

⁷² Reg. section 1.1045-1(c)(5). Also, a partner in an upper-tier partnership that owns an interest in a lower-tier partnership that holds replacement QSBS must take into account the same basis adjustments in determining the amount recognized by the partner on a sale of the interest in the lower-tier partnership by the upper-tier partnership or the partner's distributive share of gain from the upper-tier partnership.

⁷³ Reg. section 1.1045-1(h)(1).

itself will not be considered a recognition event for income tax purposes, often relying on section 351. A direct contribution of property to a C corporation in exchange for shares of stock and a conversion have many similarities, but there are some differences that should be noted.

2. Section 351.

Under section 351(a), no gain or loss is recognized by a transferor of property to a corporation solely in exchange for stock of the corporation (other than nonqualified preferred stock)⁷⁴ if immediately after the transfer, the transferor and all other persons who transfer property to the corporation are in control of the corporation. Control is defined as "the ownership of stock possessing at least 80 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote and at least 80 percent of the total number of shares of all other classes of stock of the corporation."⁷⁵

If section 351(a) would apply but for the transferor's receipt of consideration (including nonqualified preferred stock) other than qualified stock of the transferee corporation, the transferor recognizes any gain realized up to the FMV of that other consideration (boot) but does not recognize any loss realized.⁷⁶ If a transferor transfers multiple properties and receives boot, the boot and the qualifying stock must be allocated pro rata among the different types of property transferred, and loss realized on the transfer of one property may not be offset against gain realized on the transfer of another property.⁷⁷

If a shareholder transfers property that has a relatively small value compared with the value of the stock the shareholder already owns in the

transferee corporation, that shareholder is not to be included in the group of transferors if the primary purpose of that shareholder's transfer of property is to qualify exchanges of property by other persons for stock in the corporation under section 351.⁷⁸ The IRS has ruled that it will not treat property as being relatively small in value compared with the value of the stock already owned if the FMV of the property transferred is at least 10 percent of the FMV of the stock already owned.⁷⁹

If the issuing corporation assumes a liability of the transferor, the assumption generally is not treated as boot. For this purpose, taking property subject to a liability generally is treated as an assumption of the liability.⁸⁰ If, however, the amount of liabilities assumed exceeds the transferor's basis in the property transferred to the transferee corporation, the transferor generally must recognize gain equal to the excess of the liabilities assumed over the basis of the property.⁸¹ For this purpose, the amount of liabilities assumed generally does not include a liability whose payment would be deductible or would be a distribution in liquidation of a partnership interest, unless the incurrence of a liability created or increased the basis of any property of the transferor.⁸² If the transferor's principal purpose regarding the assumption of any liability either was to avoid federal income tax on the transfer or was not a bona fide business purpose, the total amount of the transferor's liabilities assumed is treated as boot.⁸³

A transferor's basis in stock of the transferee corporation received by the transferor in a section 351 transaction generally is the same as the transferor's basis in the property or properties transferred to the corporation, reduced by (1) the amount of money received as boot; (2) the amount of liabilities assumed by the transferee corporation, excluding any liabilities not taken into account for purposes of applying section

⁷⁴ See section 351(g)(2). Nonqualified preferred stock is stock that is (1) limited and preferred as to dividends; (2) does not participate in corporate growth to any significant extent; and (3) either (a) can be put to the issuer or a related person, (b) must be purchased by the issuer or a related person, (c) is callable by the issuer or a related person (and it is more likely than not on the issue date that the call will be exercised); or (d) has a dividend rate that varies with reference to interest rates, commodity prices, or other similar indices. The put, mandatory purchase obligation, or call will not cause stock to be considered nonqualified preferred stock if the right or obligation may not be exercised within 20 years after the issue date or is subject to a contingency that makes exercise a remote possibility. Section 351(g)(2)(A) and (B).

⁷⁵ See sections 351(a) and 368(c).

⁷⁶ Section 351(b).

⁷⁷ Rev. Rul. 68-55, 1968-1 C.B. 140.

⁷⁸ Reg section 1.351-1(a)(1)(ii).

⁷⁹ Rev. Proc. 77-37, 1977-2 C.B. 568.

⁸⁰ Section 357(a) and (d).

⁸¹ Section 357(c).

⁸² Section 357(c)(3).

⁸³ Section 357(b) and reg. section 1.357-1(c).

357(c), as discussed in the previous paragraph; and (3) the FMV of any other boot received, and increased by the amount of any gain recognized by the transferor.⁸⁴

Section 358(h) provides that if, after applying the basis rules above, the basis of the stock received exceeds its FMV because, for example, the transferee corporation has assumed or otherwise has contingent liabilities not taken into account for federal income tax purposes, the basis of the stock received can be further reduced (but not below its FMV) to take into account contingent liabilities of the transferor assumed in the transaction.⁸⁵ This reduction, however, does not apply if the business (or substantially all the assets) with which the contingent liability is associated are transferred to the corporation.⁸⁶

The corporation's basis in the property contributed by the transferor in a section 351 transaction is generally the same as the transferor's basis, increased by the amount of gain recognized by the transferor.⁸⁷ However, if the transferor recognizes gain as a result of the assumption of a liability, the transferee corporation's increase to the basis of the property to account for the gain recognized as a result of the liability assumption may not cause the corporation's basis to exceed the property's FMV.⁸⁸ Also, if the aggregate FMV of the property transferred by a transferor is less than the aggregate basis of the property, the transferee corporation's basis in the transferred property is limited to the property's aggregate FMV immediately after the transaction, unless both the transferor and the transferee corporation elect for the transferor's basis in the stock received in the transaction to be limited to its FMV.⁸⁹ This last provision is intended to prevent the transferee corporation and the transferor from both obtaining a deduction for the same built-in loss upon subsequent dispositions of the property transferred and the stock received in the section

351 transaction. Note that under section 1202(d), the aggregate gross asset requirement is based generally on the adjusted bases of corporate property, and if property is contributed to the corporation, for purposes of this requirement, that property will be deemed to have a basis equal to its FMV at the time of the contribution.⁹⁰ Thus, it seems that the foregoing election would not be required, by way of example, if the corporation's shareholders desired QSBS status but the corporation was at risk of violating the aggregate gross asset requirement because of excess basis above the FMV of contributed assets.

A transferor's holding period for stock received in a section 351 transaction is the same as the transferor's holding period for the property exchanged for the stock, if the property was a capital asset or section 1231 property (for example, real property and depreciable property used in a trade or business in the transferor's hands and held for more than one year).⁹¹ The holding period for stock received for property that is not a capital asset or section 1231 property does not include the holding period of the transferred property. As a result, when a transferor transfers some property that is a capital asset or section 1231 property and other property that is not, the stock received will have a split holding period.⁹² The transferee corporation's holding period for property received in a section 351 transaction includes the transferor's holding period for the property, because the corporation's basis in the property is determined by reference to the transferor's basis.⁹³ As discussed later, section 1223(1) (tacking of holding periods) should not apply in determining the acquisition date for QSBS purposes and does not apply for purposes of the five-year holding requirement.

The depreciation recapture rules of sections 1245 and 1250 do not require the recognition of gain in a section 351 transaction when the property is contributed to the corporation.⁹⁴ In contrast, the transfer of a debt instrument

⁸⁴ Section 358(a)-(d).

⁸⁵ Section 358(h).

⁸⁶ Section 358(h)(2).

⁸⁷ Section 362(a).

⁸⁸ Section 362(d).

⁸⁹ Section 362(e)(2).

⁹⁰ Section 1202(d)(2)(B).

⁹¹ Section 1223(1).

⁹² Rev. Rul. 85-164, 1985-2 C.B. 117.

⁹³ Section 1223(2).

⁹⁴ Sections 1245(b)(3) and 1250(d)(3); and reg. sections 1.1245-4(c) and 1.1250-3(c).

acquired at a market discount will cause taxation of the accrued but previously unrecognized market discount.⁹⁵

A taxpayer who contributes multiple properties with different tax bases in a section 351 transaction will not be able to claim separate lot accounting on adequately identified blocks of stocks in the corporation. Separate lot accounting applies to stock that the taxpayer purchased or acquired on different dates or at different prices.⁹⁶ In a section 351 transaction, the IRS ruled, a taxpayer may not select specific items to be exchanged for particular stock or securities in order to allocate the high bases of specific assets to the securities received and the low bases of other assets to the stock received. The taxpayer must use the general rule of allocating according to relative FMVs.⁹⁷ This rule applies even if the transfers are made at different times, as long as they were part of a single integrated transaction. Exchanging for different classes of stock does not apparently change this rule. The regulations provide that if a transferor receives stock of more than one class, the basis of the property transferred to the corporation is allocated among all of the classes of stock received in proportion to the FMV of the stock of each class.⁹⁸ However, separate lot accounting is available over different rounds of funding, which would presumably be at different times (and not part of an integrated plan) and at different prices. Separate lot account or tracing (as it is sometimes coined) is available in some section 351 transactions, but only when stock is contributed to the issuing corporation.⁹⁹ By definition, however, QSBS status is available to stock in an original issuance in exchange for money or property, other than stock,¹⁰⁰ so these tracing rules are not available.

⁹⁵ Section 1276(d)(1)(C).

⁹⁶ See reg. section 1.1012-1(c).

⁹⁷ Rev. Rul. 85-164.

⁹⁸ Reg. section 1.358-2(b)(2) and prop. reg. section 1.358-1(g) ("the aggregate basis of the property transferred shall be allocated among all of the shares of stock received in proportion to the fair market values of each share of stock").

⁹⁹ Prop. reg. section 1.358-2(g)(2), REG-143686-07, 74 F.R. 3509, 3512-3513 (Jan. 21, 2019). The preamble provides that the IRS and Treasury will continue to study the issue of tracing in section 351 exchanges. 74 F.R. at 3512.

¹⁰⁰ Section 1202(c)(1)(B)(i).

3. Conversion of passthrough entity.

a. Generally.

A C corporation conversion of an entity taxable as a partnership,¹⁰¹ regardless of entity form, can be accomplished with a check-the-box election to be an association taxed as a corporation.¹⁰² The election is considered a transfer of all the partnership's assets to the association (corporation) and a distribution of the corporate stock by the partnership to the partners (in liquidation of the partnership).¹⁰³ This, as discussed herein, is an assets-over transaction. When a state law corporation is preferred (for example, Delaware), Rev. Rul. 84-111, 1984 C.B. 88, provides that the taxpayer may choose among three options to effectuate the conversion to a corporation:

1. a transfer of assets by the partnership to the corporation in exchange for stock of the corporation, followed by a partnership liquidation (an assets-over transaction);
2. a liquidation of the partnership followed by a transfer of the assets by the partners to the corporation in exchange for stock of the corporation (an assets-up transaction); or
3. a transfer of all the partnership interests to the corporation followed by a liquidating distribution of the partnership assets to the corporation (an interests-up transaction).¹⁰⁴

As one can see, each of the options generally involves a contribution of assets to a corporation in exchange for stock in the corporation, and assuming the other requirements are met, section 351 is available. Under most circumstances, the end result is that the original partners receive shares in the new C corporation equal to the

¹⁰¹ See reg. section 301.7701-3(a) (Unless the unincorporated entity elects otherwise, a domestic eligible entity is a partnership if it has two or more owners or a disregarded entity if it has (or is deemed to have) a single owner.).

¹⁰² See reg. section 301.7701-3(b)(1).

¹⁰³ Reg. section 301.7701-3(g)(1)(i). A conversion of a disregarded entity to a corporation is treated as if the owner of the disregarded entity contributed all the assets and liabilities of the entity to the association (corporation) in exchange for stock in the corporation. Reg. section 301.7701-3(g)(1)(iv).

¹⁰⁴ For partnership merger or division purposes, interests-up transactions are not valid, but apparently they can be used to convert a partnership to a corporation. See T.D. 8925.

inside basis of the assets of the partnership or to the outside basis in their partnership interests (but without credit for partnership liabilities reflected in the outside basis). When the inside basis of the partnership's assets differs from the aggregate outside basis of the partnership interests, the method chosen may affect the corporation's basis in the partnership's assets. Although incorporation of a partnership with liabilities involves either a deemed distribution of each partner's share of the liabilities, or a transfer of that share, the incorporation generally should not result in recognition of ordinary income, regardless of form, unless there is boot or the liabilities exceed the aggregate basis of the assets, as previously mentioned.

As discussed in more detail, each of the conversion options involves a liquidation of the partnership. Generally, in a liquidating distribution, the distributed assets take the outside basis of the partner receiving those assets. The resulting basis of the liquidated assets will have a direct or indirect effect on the tax basis the partner will have in the corporation. A partner has a unitary basis in his or her partnership interest, even if the partner has different classes of partnership interest (general and limited, preferred and common, etc.), and even if the partner acquired the partnership interests in different transactions.¹⁰⁵ This is in contrast to the separate lot rules applicable to shares of corporate stock. Under this unitary basis concept, basis in property is generally allocated in relation to the FMV of different interests when determining if that basis allocation is relevant (for example, the sale of a partnership interest or a distribution of property in redemption of a partnership interest). A partner will have a split holding period in his or her partnership interest if the partner acquires that interest by contributing assets with different holding periods or by subsequent contributions. The split holding periods are allocated generally in proportion to the FMV of the property in question.¹⁰⁶

Unitary basis is determined on a partnership-by-partnership basis, seemingly even if a partner

has an interest in two or more partnerships that are identical in all respects (including the interests of other partners) except perhaps the assets in the partnership. There does not seem to be a statutory rule that the unitary basis of the partner must be aggregated. This may have important planning implications in the QSBS arena because it might make sense for taxpayers to segregate low-basis and high-basis assets into different partnerships in a tax-free partnership division¹⁰⁷ before converting to a C corporation.

In estate planning, it is common for grantors to simultaneously own interests in partnerships individually and deem to own, for income tax purposes, partnership interests in an intentionally defective grantor trust (IDGT) because of grantor trust status. This assumes that grantor trust status equates to the IDGT being disregarded or ignored for income tax purposes, and thus, the grantor will be treated for all income tax purposes as the owner of the trust assets. This apparently is the position of the government. Rev. Rul. 85-13, 1985-1 C.B. 184, provides that a defective grantor trust will be ignored for income tax purposes. Assuming an IDGT is ignored for income tax purposes, because of the unitary basis rule, later contributions of high-basis property by the grantor will result in proportional increases (in a pro rata partnership) to the outside basis of the IDGT partnership interests (or vice versa). This in turn will have a direct effect on the basis in C corporation stock received in a subsequent conversion of the partnership.

Because each conversion transaction involves a contribution of property (assets or partnership interests) to a corporation in exchange for shares in the corporation, advisers should consider whether and to what extent the FMV of the contributed assets should include valuation discounts because of lack of marketability or other factors (for example, an interests-up conversion involves the contribution of partnership interests). Also, consideration should be given to whether value can or should be attributed to the goodwill of the business. As mentioned, FMV, however determined, has a direct effect on how the 10-times-basis limitation and the aggregate gross asset requirement are calculated.

¹⁰⁵ Rev. Rul. 84-53, 1984-1 C.B. 159. Cf. LTR 200909001 (the unitary basis rule does not apply to publicly traded partnership interests).

¹⁰⁶ See reg. section 1.1223-3.

¹⁰⁷ See section 708(b)(2)(B) and T.D. 8925.

b. Assets-over conversion.

Generally, an assets-over transaction involves a transfer of partnership assets to a newly created corporation in exchange for shares of stock in the corporation. If the partnership and the partners are in control of the corporation, the contribution and exchange will qualify for nonrecognition treatment under section 351, except to the extent of boot.¹⁰⁸ If the entire partnership (assets and liabilities) are contributed to the corporation, the transfer of liabilities will be considered only if the aggregate liabilities exceed the aggregate bases of the assets.¹⁰⁹ As noted, under section 362(e), the transferee corporation's tax basis in the contributed assets may be reduced for any built-in net loss in the assets transferred (subject to the election).¹¹⁰

As discussed, the transferor partnership's basis in the corporate stock is equal to the inside basis of the assets transferred, reduced by the liabilities transferred, and its holding period is tacked to the extent attributable to capital assets and section 1231 property, but not to the extent attributable to other assets. The partnership's inside basis in the corporate shares becomes the partners' basis in the shares when distributed in liquidation, subject to the effect of each partner's outside basis (as reduced for the deemed distribution from the partner's being relieved of its share of partnership liabilities on the transfer).

Liquidating distributions (whether in one distribution or a series of distributions) terminate the liquidated partner's entire interest in a partnership.¹¹¹ Generally neither the partner nor the partnership will recognize any gain or loss upon a distribution of property.¹¹² The basis of property distributed in a liquidating distribution will be equal to the partner's outside basis (reduced by any money distributed in the transaction, including any change in the partner's

share of liabilities as a result of the distribution).¹¹³ The transfer of the liabilities from the partnership to the corporation (before the liquidating distribution) is a deemed distribution by the partnership to its partners, reducing their outside basis. That may result in gain to any partners whose shares of liabilities exceed basis.¹¹⁴ The holding period of the distributed property (shares in the corporation) includes the holding period of the partnership (which in turn may include the holding period of the contributed assets if the assets are capital assets and section 1231 property).¹¹⁵ As such, the holding period of the partner's interest in the partnership is generally irrelevant when determining the holding period of distributed property.

When the transfer of partnership assets includes an assumption of a section 358(h) liability, the basis of the stock received is reduced (but not below FMV) by the amount of the liability, and the outside bases of the partners are reduced by the same amount.¹¹⁶ If the reduction is more than a partner's outside basis, it will result in the partner recognizing gain.¹¹⁷ The reduction in basis is to the corporate stock only and does not affect the basis of the partnership assets contributed to the corporation (and thus the basis the corporation has in those assets).

c. Assets-up conversion.

Generally, an assets-up conversion involves a distribution of assets to the partners in liquidation, and then a contribution of those assets to a newly created corporation in exchange for shares of the corporation. As just discussed, the basis of assets distributed in a liquidating distribution is determined by the outside basis of the liquidated partner. Effectively, this means that the corporation's basis in the assets is essentially determined by the partners' outside basis in their partnership interests, not the partnership's inside basis in those assets.

¹⁰⁸ Because section 751 (concerning hot or ordinary income assets of the partnership) applies only to transfers of partnership interests and distributions, the partnership's contribution of the assets to the corporation does not implicate section 751, and the general rule of nonrecognition under section 351 applies.

¹⁰⁹ Section 357(c).

¹¹⁰ See reg. section 1.362-4(b).

¹¹¹ Section 761(d).

¹¹² Section 731(a)-(b); and reg. section 1.731-1(a)-(b).

¹¹³ Section 732(b).

¹¹⁴ Section 752(b).

¹¹⁵ Section 735(b).

¹¹⁶ See reg. section 1.752-7, which incorporates by reference section 358(h)(3).

¹¹⁷ See reg. section 1.358-7(b) and (e), Example 2.

The distribution of the partners' shares of assets and liabilities is entitled to nonrecognition for both the partnership and the partners. However, the distribution of the assets to the partners may result in gain under the mixing bowl rules or the disguised sale rules if the distributed property includes assets that were contributed within two to seven years of the distribution.¹¹⁸ The transfer of liabilities will generally be a wash because although the deemed distribution will result in a reduction in the partner's share of partnership liabilities, there is an increase for the deemed contribution as a result of taking on the same liability individually. Assuming that each partner receives a proportionate share of each asset, there are no section 751 implications dealing with disproportionate distributions of hot or ordinary income assets.

The partner's basis in the distributed property is determined by the outside basis of the partnership interest (including the liability share and any gain under the mixing bowl rules), which may differ significantly from the share of inside basis, particularly if there is no section 754 election in place. As noted, the partners' holding period on the property distributed is the same as the partnership's holding period, and the holding period of their partnership interests is irrelevant.

The partners' basis in the corporate shares received upon the contribution of assets to the corporation is determined under section 351, as discussed. When a partner's share of partnership liabilities exceeds outside basis, reflecting a negative capital account (or a purchaser who succeeded to a capital account greater than the net purchase price net of liabilities transferred), there may be gain under section 357(c) (which treats liabilities transferred over the aggregate basis of property transferred as boot). If the assets transferred by a partner have a built-in loss, under section 362(e) the transferee corporation has a downward adjustment to basis for the net built-in loss, unless both the transferor partner and the transferee corporation elect to adjust the basis of the corporate stock. If the transfer of former

partnership assets includes the assumption of a section 358(h) liability, the basis of the corporate stock received by the partner is immediately reduced (but not below the FMV of the stock) by the amount of the liability.

d. Interests-up conversion.

Generally, an interests-up conversion involves the transfer of all (or a portion) of the partnership interest to a newly formed corporation in exchange for shares in the corporation. The corporation, now owning all the partnership interests, terminates the partnership, or if it owns less than all of the partnership interests, the partnership subsequently liquidates the corporation's interest in the partnership. It is essentially a mixture of the assets-over and assets-up conversions. The corporation has an exchanged basis in the assets determined by the basis of the partnership interests (not reduced for liabilities) and a tacked holding period based on the partnership assets.

The partners' basis in their corporate shares is measured by their basis in their partnership interests, reduced for liabilities transferred, but there is a split holding period to the extent the partnership interest is attributable to section 751 property (not a capital asset or section 1231 property).¹¹⁹ The mixing bowl rules do not apply to an interests-up conversion.¹²⁰ When a partner's share of liabilities exceeds outside basis in the transferred interest, gain will be recognized,¹²¹ likely under section 357(c) as the receipt of boot.¹²² This boot is allocated in proportion to the FMV of the property transferred.¹²²

4. Acquisition date for QSBS purposes on formation or conversion.

As mentioned in part 1 of this report, after its initial enactment in 1993, section 1202 was

¹¹⁸ See LTR 9537013.

¹²⁰ Reg. sections 1.704-4(c)(5) and 1.737-2(c).

¹²¹ See Rev. Rul. 80-323, 1980-2 C.B. 124, and section 752.

¹²² See Rev. Rul. 68-55 and Rev. Rul. 85-164. When there is boot, section 751(a) treats the gain as ordinary income to the extent allocable to the partner's share of section 751 property, with the balance allocated to the partnership interest, possibly producing generally capital gain but no loss. The allocable share of corporate stock should not, however, result in ordinary income under section 751(a), even though that section provides for ordinary income on receipt of money or property, with no reference to section 351 or any other nonrecognition provision. The nonrecognition provision of section 351 should control.

¹¹⁸ See sections 707(c)(1)(B) and 737 (the mixing bowl rules) and section 707(a)(2)(B) (the disguised sale provision). A discussion of these rules is beyond the scope of this report.

amended in 2009 and 2010 to increase the exclusion from 50 percent to 75 percent or 100 percent, depending on the acquisition date of the QSBS. After the 75 percent and 100 percent exclusions were enacted, each of the respective subsections was amended in 2012¹²³ to include the following flush language: "In the case of any stock which would be described in the preceding sentence (but for this sentence), the acquisition date for purposes of this subsection shall be the first day on which such stock was held by the taxpayer determined after the application of section 1223."¹²⁴ Section 1223 now has 15 subsections, most of which provide for the tacking of holding periods depending on the transaction in question.

For section 1045 rollover purposes, section 1223(13) provides that in determining the period for which the taxpayer has held property whose acquisition resulted under section 1045 in the nonrecognition of any part of the gain realized on the sale of other property, "there shall be included the period for which such other property has been held as of the date of such sale."¹²⁵ For section 351 purposes, section 1223(1) provides:

In determining the period for which the taxpayer has held property received in an exchange, there shall be included the period for which he held the property exchanged if, under this chapter, the property has, for the purpose of determining gain or loss from a sale or exchange, the same basis in whole or in part in his hands as the property exchanged, and, in the case of such exchanges the property exchanged at the time of such exchange was a capital asset as defined in section 1221 or property described in section 1231.¹²⁶

Except for section 1223(10), which concerns the holding period of property acquired upon the death of a decedent, all the subsections in section 1223 provide for the inclusion of time before an

exchange (or other transaction) and a return back to a prior ownership. As such, the flush language of section 1202(a)(3) and (4) is broad enough to say that in determining the QSBS acquisition date, section 1223 could predate the creation of the C corporation if properties are contributed under section 351 (including a conversion of a partnership to a C corporation). Thus, if a partnership that purchased property in 2005 converts to a C corporation in 2011, the flush language would imply that for QSBS purposes, a portion of the stock received in the conversion would have an acquisition date of 2005 and, as such, that stock would be entitled to only a 50 percent exclusion, not 100 percent.

Contrary to the foregoing, section 1202(i)(1) provides: "In the case where the taxpayer transfers property (other than money or stock) to a corporation in exchange for stock in such corporation . . . such stock shall be treated as having been acquired by the taxpayer on the date of such exchange."¹²⁷ The code makes clear that this rule applies "for purposes of this section"¹²⁸ — namely for purposes of section 1202. Section 1202(i) was enacted with the original statute in 1993, and as noted earlier, the 75 percent and 100 percent exclusions were added in 2009 and 2010, respectively, but the flush language was added in 2012.¹²⁹ The issue is whether the flush language overrides section 1202(i), which we believe it does not, or whether the flush language applies for some purpose other than for determining the acquisition date of the QSBS.

The authors of an excellent article on QSBS¹³⁰ researched the legislative history and have, we believe, rightfully concluded that the flush language applies only for section 1045 rollover purposes (not for section 351 purposes). The authors point to the Senate report,¹³¹ which focused on section 1045 rollovers, and to the Joint Committee on Taxation's report, which includes

¹²⁷ Section 1202(i)(1) and (1)(A).

¹²⁸ Section 1202(i).

¹²⁹ ATRA section 324(b)(1).

¹³⁰ Janet Andolina and Kelsey Lemaster, "Candy Land or Sorry: Thoughts on Qualified Small Business Stock," *Tax Notes*, Jan. 8, 2018, p. 205.

¹³¹ S. Rep. No. 112-208, at 74-76 (Aug. 8, 2012) (the Senate's version of the eventual bill was S. 3521, the Family and Business Tax Cut Certainty Act of 2012).

¹²³ American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (ATRA), P.L. 112-240, section 324(b)(1).

¹²⁴ Sections 1202(a)(3) (flush language) and 1202(a)(4) (flush language).

¹²⁵ Section 1223(13).

¹²⁶ Section 1223(1).

the following statement: "The provision is not intended to change the acquisition date determined under Section 1202(i)(1)(A) for certain stock exchanged for property."¹³² Just as persuasively, the authors point out that if the flush language applied for property contribution purposes (section 351), it would create inconsistent results that were never intended. They write, "Interpreting the flush language to apply to property contributions could lead to inconsistent outcomes for founders and investors. For example, if a founder contributed a patent obtained before September 28, 2010, to a new corporation that is capitalized by an investor, the investor would get the 100 percent exclusion, but the founder would not."¹³³ Suffice it to say, clear guidance from the IRS on this issue would be greatly appreciated.

K. Reporting Requirements and Statute of Limitations

The reporting requirements for QSBS benefits belie the significant benefits that are available to QSBS shareholders. When a corporation that would qualify as a QSB issues stock to an investor, there is no proactive election required to claim QSBS status upon an eventual sale of the stock. Also, there are no requirements that the corporation inform a shareholder whether the stock issued has been issued by a company that would be considered a QSB. QSBS status is not elective. When a shareholder sells stock in a corporation, the stock either qualifies as eligible gain of a QSB, in whole or in part, or it does not (which is more likely the case). As discussed, given the federal rate applicable to section 1202 gain (31.8 percent) versus other long-term gain (23.8 percent), the difference can be significant for taxpayers.

As discussed earlier, to be considered a QSB, the corporation must agree to "submit such reports to the Secretary and to shareholders as the Secretary may require to carry out the purposes"¹³⁴ of section 1202. To date, no guidance

has been issued, other than that the reports that may be mandated upon audit of a particular transaction.

A shareholder is required to report the sale of QSBS on Schedule D and Form 8949 like any other capital gain. The amount of the exclusion is shown as a negative number on Form 8949 in column (g),¹³⁵ and in completing Schedule D, a taxpayer is instructed to complete the 28 percent rate gain worksheet with the appropriate amount that would be taxable at 28 percent depending on the percentage exclusion.¹³⁶

There are special instructions for reporting gain from an installment sale of QSBS. According to the instructions:

If all payments aren't received in the year of sale, a sale of QSB stock that isn't traded on an established securities market generally is treated as an installment sale and is reported on Form 6252. . . . Figure the allowable section 1202 exclusion for the year by multiplying the total amount of the exclusion by a fraction, the numerator of which is the amount of eligible gain to be recognized for the tax year and the denominator of which is the total amount of eligible gain.¹³⁷

Under these instructions, a fractional portion of the 28 percent taxable gain would need to be reported each year depending on the percentage exclusion. As will be discussed in part 3 of this report, these instructions may not be appropriate in all circumstances.

Generally, under section 6501(a), the IRS must assess tax within three years after a taxpayer files his or her tax return. Under section 6501(e)(1), that period is extended to six years if the taxpayer omits from gross income an amount exceeding 25 percent of the gross amount of income claimed on the return. In a chief counsel advice memorandum,¹³⁸ the IRS ruled that in determining the 25 percent threshold under section 6501(e)(1), the excluded amount under

¹³² JCT, "General Explanation of Tax Legislation Enacted in the 112th Congress," JCS-2-13, at 185 n.490 (Feb. 2013).

¹³³ Andolina and Lemaster, *supra* note 130, at 223.

¹³⁴ Section 1202(d)(1)(C).

¹³⁵ 2019 Instructions for IRS Form 8949, columns (f) and (g).

¹³⁶ 2019 Instructions for IRS Schedule D, "Exclusion of Gain on Qualified Small Business (QSB) Stock."

¹³⁷ *Id.*

¹³⁸ ILM 200609024.

section 1202(a) is not included. The memorandum concludes that gross income "for purposes of section 6501(e) does not include the portion of capital gain excluded by section 1202." The practical effect is that for taxpayers claiming a QSBS exclusion, particularly those who properly claim a 100 percent exclusion, it's likely that the IRS will be limited to the three-year statute of limitations.

L. State Income Tax Treatment

For residents in states that do not impose an individual income tax (for example, Florida, Nevada, Texas, and Washington) or do not impose a capital gains tax (for example, Tennessee), the availability of QSBS status for state income tax purposes is unimportant. Generally, on the sale of stock in a C corporation, jurisdiction and situs for state income tax purposes is based on the residence of the selling shareholder at the time of the sale. However, a large majority of states impose an income tax, and although almost none of them makes any state-level adjustments to federal adjusted gross income or taxable income (thereby allowing the benefit of QSBS exclusion to the taxpayer), some states specifically make adjustments or opt out of the exclusion for state income tax purposes.

Notably, effective January 1, 2013, California — the state with the highest marginal state income tax rate and in which many technology companies have been founded — has disallowed the QSBS exclusion benefit and the section 1045 rollover provisions.¹³⁹ Before enacting that complete disallowance, California had, instead of conforming to the federal treatment of QSBS, enacted its own similar (but not identical) requirements for exclusion benefits. The exclusion benefit was applicable only to companies that met specified qualifications, including whether their assets and activities were in California. Ultimately, the California appeals court held that the California statutory provisions for the exclusion or deferral of gain on QSBS were a violation of the U.S. Constitution's commerce clause, because they improperly favored

investment in California companies (defined as corporations using 80 percent of their assets in the conduct of business in California and maintaining 80 percent of their payrolls in California) over investments in non-California companies.¹⁴⁰

Like California, some states (for example, Pennsylvania) completely disallow the QSBS exclusion benefit, while other states (for example, Hawaii, Massachusetts, and New Jersey) make state modifications to the exclusion.¹⁴¹ That being said, a large proportion of the states follow the federal treatment, so for many taxpayers the QSBS benefits apply in full for state tax purposes. ■

¹³⁹ Ca. Rev. & Tax Code section 18152; and California Franchise Tax Board Notice 2012-03.

¹⁴⁰ *Cutler v. Franchise Tax Board*, 208 Cal. App. 4th 1247 (2012).

¹⁴¹ For a summary of each state's QSBS treatment as of April 15, 2018, see Benetta P. Jenson and Stuart J. Kohn, "Maximize Qualified Small Business Stock Exclusion," 40 *Estate Plan* 3 (Oct. 2018).

Qualified Small Business Stock: Quest for Quantum Exclusions, Part 3

by Paul S. Lee, L. Joseph Comeau,
Julie Miraglia Kwon, and Syida C. Long



Paul S. Lee



L. Joseph Comeau



Julie Miraglia Kwon



Syida C. Long

Paul S. Lee is the chief tax strategist of The Northern Trust Company; L. Joseph Comeau is a managing director at Andersen; Julie Miraglia Kwon is a partner at McDermott Will & Emery LLP; and Syida C. Long is a managing director at Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC. The authors thank Daniel Zucker, Gary Karch, Kevin Hall, Alejandro Ruiz, and Harry Dao of McDermott for their substantial guidance and assistance with this report.

In this three-part report, the authors analyze the section 1202 qualified small business stock (QSBS) exclusion and planning with QSBS. This final installment closely examines and analyzes the most controversial issues surrounding QSBS planning, including defining transfers "by gift," multiplying the QSBS exclusion benefits, S corporation planning with QSBS, carried interest, the treatment of installment sales, and whether QSBS and qualified Opportunity Zone investments can be combined.

Portions of these materials were originally prepared for the annual Heckerling Institute on Estate Planning in 2019 and published by LexisNexis Matthew Bender, and are reprinted with the permission of the Heckerling Institute and the University of Miami.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of the institution that each represents. The information herein is provided solely for educational purposes. While this material is based on information believed to be reliable, no warranty is given as to its accuracy or completeness and it should not be relied upon as such. Information and opinions provided herein are as of the date of this material only and are subject to change without notice.

Copyright 2020 Paul S. Lee, L. Joseph Comeau, Julie Miraglia Kwon, and Syida C. Long.
All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

III. Queries and Qualms for Quantum Exclusions	.410
A. How Are Various Transfers Defined?	.410
B. Can You 'Stack' and 'Pack' the Per-Issuer Limitation?	.418
C. Can a Preexisting Trade or Business Become a QSB?	.423
D. Can S Corporation Shareholders Benefit From QSBS?	.425
E. Can You Get the Benefit of QSBS Through Carried Interest?	.427
F. How Should Installment Sales Be Treated?	.430
G. When to Die With QSBS or Donate It?	.432
H. Can QSBS and QOZ Investments Be Combined?	.435
IV. Conclusion	.439
Appendix	.440

III. Queries and Qualms for Quantum Exclusions

A. How Are Various Transfers Defined?

1. Transfers by gift or at death.

Section 1202 provides that a transfer "by gift" or "at death" to a transferee will be treated as if the transferee had acquired the stock in the same manner as the transferor (continuing to satisfy the original issuance requirement) and as having a tacked holding period for the stock.¹ Transfers by gift and at death are not defined in section 1202, although the instructions to Schedule D refer to a transfer at death as an "inheritance."² The term "gift" is defined in chapter 12 of the code,³ and chapter 11 broadly describes how specific transfers of property at the death of a decedent will be taxed for estate tax purposes.⁴ Some commentators have asserted that transfers "by

gift" and "at death" refer to whether the transfers would be considered taxable gifts for gift tax purposes or transfers subject to estate tax. We respectfully disagree. Section 1202 is an income tax section under chapter 1, and we believe the definition of gift should be determined under income tax principles, not transfer tax principles.

There is no definition of gift or a transfer at death in chapter 1. Rather, for income tax purposes, whether a gift has occurred is a question of fact.⁵ The Supreme Court wrote, "The meaning of the term 'gift' as applied to particular transfers has always been a matter of contention. Specific and illuminating legislative history on the point does not appear to exist. Analogies and inferences drawn from other revenue provisions, such as the estate and gift taxes, are dubious."⁶ The courts have consistently held that a gift for income tax purposes should be defined and interpreted without reliance on how it is defined for transfer tax purposes. In one opinion the Second Circuit wrote:

But we find nothing in this decision to show that a transfer, taxable as a gift under the gift tax, is ipso facto to be treated as a gift in construing the income tax law.... In our opinion the income tax provisions are not to be construed as though they were in pari materia with either the estate tax law or the gift tax statutes.... Because of this we think that a transfer which should be classed as a gift under the gift tax law is not necessarily to be treated as a gift income-tax-wise.⁷

In another opinion, the Ninth Circuit wrote: We are not here concerned with the interpretation of statutes defining gift tax obligations. Our problem involves section 102(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, pertaining to taxable income. We are not necessarily bound by the

¹Section 1202(h)(1), (h)(2)(A), and (B).

²See 2017 Instructions for Schedule D, "Capital Gains and Losses."

³The regulations provide, for example, that a gift, for gift tax purposes, includes "any transaction in which an interest in property is gratuitously passed or conferred upon another, regardless of the means or device employed." Reg. section 25.2511-1(c). Further, a gift, for gift tax purposes, is not limited to a gift under the common law, which is a voluntary transfer without consideration. Reg. section 25.2511-8.

⁴See sections 2031 through 2046.

⁵*See Commissioner v. Duberstein*, 363 U.S. 278 (1960).

⁶*Id.* at 284. See also *United States v. Davis*, 370 U.S. 65, 69 (1962) ("In interpreting the particular income tax provisions here involved, we find ourselves unfettered by the language and considerations ingrained in the gift and estate tax statutes.").

⁷*Farid-Es-Sultaneh v. Commissioner*, 160 F.2d 812, 814-815 (2d Cir. 1947).

considerations which might result in the finding of a taxable gift under section 2512 of the Code.⁸

In most instances, the determination of whether someone has received a gift or a bequest/inheritance for income tax purposes is to resolve the question of who should be taxed on the income of the property, based on the facts and circumstances and income tax section at issue. Under section 102, gross income of the transferee does not include the value of property acquired by gift, bequest, devise, or inheritance.⁹ The issue is often whether a particular transfer is a gift or bequest, on one hand, or a sale of an asset or the payment of compensation, a dividend, interest, or other taxable income to the transferee, on the other hand. Importantly, when a transferor makes a gift or a bequest, the transferor is no longer the taxpayer for income tax purposes. After the gift or bequest, the transferee has responsibility for the payment of tax on any taxable income related to the property.

On the question of adjusted basis of the transferred property, under section 1015(a), if a transferor gifts property, the transferee's basis in the property will be the same as it would be in the hands of the donor (carryover basis).¹⁰ If the fair market value of the gift is less than the donor's basis, the donee's basis on a subsequent sale of the property will depend on whether the sale creates a gain or a loss. If the donee recognizes a loss, the donee's basis for purposes of determining the recognizable amount of that loss is the FMV of the property at the time of the gift. If the donee recognizes a gain, the donee's basis for purposes of determining the recognizable amount of that gain is the donor's basis at the time of the gift.¹¹ Under section 1014, if a transferee acquires property "from a decedent or to whom the property passed from a decedent," the transferee's basis in the property will be the FMV

of the property "at the date of the decedent's death."¹²

With the foregoing in mind, we believe a transfer "by gift" under section 1202(h)(2)(A) is properly interpreted to mean a transfer that has the following elements:

1. the transfer is recognized for income tax purposes but is not a taxable sale or exchange;
2. after the transfer, a different taxpayer becomes the owner of the stock for income tax purposes, and thereafter the taxpayer has responsibility for the payment of tax on any taxable income related to the stock; and
3. the transferee's basis in the stock will be the same as it would be in the hands of the transferor under section 1015.

Thus, any transfer to a grantor trust, regardless of whether it is considered a taxable gift for gift tax purposes, should simply be ignored, and clearly no transfer "by gift" has occurred. Further, an installment sale to an intentionally defective grantor trust (IDGT) would also be ignored. The IRS's position over the past few decades has consistently been that a grantor trust is not treated as a separate entity from the grantor for federal income tax purposes.¹³ As such, these transfers are not recognized for income tax purposes, and none of them result in a different taxpayer-transferee.¹⁴ The regulations acknowledge that the transfer of property to a grantor trust may be considered a gratuitous transfer without regard to whether the transfer is treated as a gift for gift tax purposes.¹⁵ The IRS has also ruled that the termination of grantor trust status results in a transfer, for income tax purposes, of the underlying assets held by the grantor trust.¹⁶ Consequently, the loss of grantor trust status, whether caused by the

⁸ *Hamberg v. Commissioner*, 400 F.2d 435, 438 (9th Cir. 1968).

⁹ Section 102(a).

¹⁰ Section 1015(a). The basis of the property is increased by any federal gift tax paid attributable to any appreciation in the property transferred. Section 1015(d).

¹¹ See reg. section 1.1015-1(a)(1) and (2). A sale at an amount somewhere in between the basis for determining loss and the basis for determining gain results in no gain or loss recognized.

¹² Section 1014(a)(1).

¹³ See section 671; Rev. Rul. 85-13, 1985-1 C.B. 184; Rev. Rul. 2007-13, 2007-1 C.B. 684; ILM 201343021; and reg. section 1.1001-2(c). But see *Rothstein v. United States*, 735 F.2d 704 (2d Cir. 1984); and Rev. Rul. 74-243, 1974-1 C.B. 106.

¹⁴ See also LTR 9508007 and LTR 9535026 (There is no change in the adjusted basis and holding period of stock "sold" to a grantor trust).

¹⁵ Reg. section 1.671-2(e)(2)(i). Section 2511(c) also provides that "a transfer in trust shall be treated as a transfer of property by gift, unless the trust is treated as wholly owned by the donor or the donor's spouse" under the grantor trust rules of sections 671 through 679.

death of the grantor or some other reason (for example, the release of a power,¹⁷ a change in trustees,¹⁸ or a repayment of borrowed trust assets¹⁹) is considered a transfer that would qualify as a transfer by gift under section 1202(h)(2)(A).²⁰ If the stock in the grantor trust is subject to debt, taxable gain will be recognized to the extent that the debt encumbering the property exceeds its tax basis. Under that circumstance, the IRS could take the position that the transfer should be considered part gift, part sale. The result would be that the sale portion of the transfer would be a disqualifying transfer for qualified small business stock purposes, but the gift portion would be a permissible transfer retaining QSBS status.

The IRS has yet to affirmatively rule on the resulting tax basis of property in a grantor trust (specifically, an IDGT, whose assets generally will not be includable in the grantor's estate²¹) when grantor trust status is terminated, particularly if the termination event is the death of the grantor.²² Some notable commentators believe that the assets qualify for a step-up in basis under section 1014.²³ Most practitioners and commentators take the position that whatever assets happen to be in the IDGT at the time of the grantor's death carry their historical tax basis (carryover basis), because

¹⁶ See Rev. Rul. 77-402, 1977-2 C.B. 122; *Madorin v. Commissioner*, 84 T.C. 667 (1985); reg. section 1.1001-2(c), Example 5; TAM 200011005; and GCM 37228 (Aug. 23, 1977).

¹⁷ E.g., section 675(4)(C) power.

¹⁸ E.g., section 674(c) power.

¹⁹ E.g., section 675(c).

²⁰ See, e.g., *Crane v. Commissioner*, 331 U.S. 1 (1947); see also reg. section 1.1001-2(a)(4)(v) and (c), Example 5; and Rev. Rul. 77-402 in the partnership context. The IRS could take the position that in this instance the transfer would be considered part gift, part sale.

²¹ In 2015 the IRS put on its no-rule list the issue of "whether the assets in a grantor trust receive a section 1014 basis adjustment at the death of the deemed owner of the trust for income tax purposes when those assets are not included in the gross estate of that owner." Rev. Proc. 2015-37, 2015-26 IRB 1196.

²² See ECC 200937028, dealing with a taxpayer who transferred assets into a trust and reserved the power to substitute assets. In the ruling, the chief counsel cites reg. section 1.1014-1(a) and concludes, "it would seem that the general rule is that property transferred prior to death, even to a grantor trust, would not be subject to section 1014, unless the property is included in the gross estate for federal estate tax purposes as per section 1014(b)(9)."

²³ Jonathan G. Blattmachr, Mitchell M. Gans, and Hugh H. Jacobsen, "Income Tax Effects of Termination of Grantor Trust Status by Reason of the Grantor's Death," 97 J. Tax'n 149 (2002); and Elliott Manning and Jerome M. Hesch, "Deferred Payment Sales to Grantor Trusts, GRATs and Net Gifts: Income and Transfer Tax Elements," 24 Tax Mgmt. Est., Gifts & Tr. J. 3 (1999).

the assets are treated as if they had been transferred by gift under section 1015(a) (or section 1015(b), as proposed in a recent article²⁴). The IRS has implied this result already. For example, it has ruled that when property transferred to a grantor trust is transferred to the grantor under the terms of the trust instrument at the termination of the trust, its basis is the same as the basis of the property in the hands of the grantor upon the original contribution.²⁵

Based on the foregoing, we believe a transfer by gift under section 1202(h)(2)(A) would include:

1. a gratuitous transfer of QSBS to another individual;
2. a gratuitous transfer of QSBS to a non-grantor trust (including a non-grantor charitable lead trust), regardless of whether that transfer is considered a taxable gift for gift tax purposes;
3. a gratuitous transfer of QSBS to a charitable remainder trust;
4. a distribution of QSBS from a grantor or non-grantor trust to an individual beneficiary, other than the grantor;
5. a distribution of QSBS from a grantor or non-grantor trust to another non-grantor trust that is a separate taxpayer from the distributing trust, under a decanting or otherwise;²⁶
6. a transfer of QSBS from a trust under the exercise of a limited (and, as discussed later, a general) power of appointment,²⁷ in favor of an individual or another non-grantor trust that is treated as a separate taxpayer;

²⁴ See Austin Bramwell and Stephanie Vara, "Basis of Grantor Trust Assets at Death: What Treasury Should Do," Tax Notes, Aug. 6, 2018, p. 793. The authors argue that section 1015(b) specifically should apply to determine the basis of assets in IDGTs when termination of grantor trust status is caused by the death of the grantor. For purposes of this report, the result is the same: carryover basis to the transferee, provided no taxable sale or exchange has occurred.

²⁵ Rev. Rul. 72-406, 1972-2 C.B. 462. See also *Du Pont v. Commissioner*, 18 B.T.A. 1028 (1930).

²⁶ Also assuming the trust is not subject to the multiple trust rules under section 643(f), as discussed later.

²⁷ Restatement Third of Property: Wills and Other Donative Transfers, section 17.1, comment c, provides: "A power of appointment traditionally confers the authority to designate recipients of beneficial ownership interests in or powers of appointment over property that the [powerholder] does not own." Upon the exercise of a power of appointment, the doctrine of relation back provides that the appointed property passes directly from the donor to the appointee. The power holder's appointment is deemed to relate back to and become part of the donor's original instrument. The power holder is akin to the donor's agent.

7. a segregation of stock that is being held for the benefit of a group of beneficiaries into a separate share for the benefit of one or more of those beneficiaries,²⁸ provided the separate share is treated as a separate taxpayer for income tax purposes, having its own tax identification number and filing a separate tax return; and
8. a deemed transfer upon a termination of grantor trust status for any reason, including the death of the grantor (provided there is no deemed taxable event because of debt exceeding basis).

Of course, the foregoing means that any transfer or transaction that is ignored for income tax purposes will *not* be considered a transfer by gift under section 1202(h)(2)(A) (or any other type of transfer for income tax purposes). As such, any transfer of QSBS from a grantor to a grantor trust will be disregarded, including a contribution to a revocable living trust, a taxable gift to an IDGT, an installment sale to an IDGT, and a contribution to a grantor charitable lead trust. Further, in contrast to the IRS's position on the termination of grantor trust status (that is, a recognized transfer for income tax purposes), the IRS has ruled that the conversion from non-grantor trust to grantor trust status is not a transfer.²⁹ Thus, the conversion is ignored for income tax purposes. It should be noted that all of the foregoing disregarded or ignored transfers are predicated on the grantor being deemed the owner of the entire trust rather than just a portion of the trust.³⁰

This analysis regarding a transfer by gift is supported by the 2020 final qualified Opportunity Zone (QOZ) regulations. Gain deferred under an investment in a qualified opportunity fund under section 1400Z-2(a) will be included in income if that investment is sold or exchanged before December 31, 2026.³¹ Despite the "sold or

exchanged" language of the code, the final regulations restate "sold or exchanged" in terms of an "inclusion event."³² An inclusion event is generally any transfer to a different taxpayer and includes a "taxpayer's transfer of a qualifying investment by gift, as defined for purposes of chapter 12 . . . whether outright or in trust, . . . regardless of whether that transfer is a completed gift for Federal gift tax purposes, and regardless of the taxable or tax-exempt status of the donee of the gift."³³

Regarding grantor trusts, the 2020 QOZ final regulations provide:

If the owner of a qualifying investment contributes it to a trust and, under subpart E of part I of subchapter J of chapter 1 of subtitle A of the Code (the grantor trust rules), the contributing owner of the investment is the deemed owner of the trust (grantor trust), the contribution to the grantor trust is not an inclusion event. Similarly, a transfer of the investment by the grantor trust to the trust's deemed owner is not an inclusion event.³⁴

Notably, the 2020 final QOZ regulations expand the foregoing rule originally set out in the 2019 QOZ proposed regulations to provide, in addition, "Such contributions may include transfers by gift or any other type of transfer between the grantor and the grantor trust that is a nonrecognition event as a result of the application of the grantor trust rules."³⁵ In other words, an inclusion event would not include, for example, a grantor's sale of a QOZ investment to his or her IDGT. The preamble to the 2020 final QOZ regulations states, "The Treasury Department and the IRS note that a defective grantor trust is a grantor trust for Federal income tax purposes, so its funding does not change the conclusion that the transfer is not an inclusion event under section 1400Z-2." As to "sales" to an IDGT, the preamble provides:

²⁸ See section 663(c); and reg. section 1.663(c)-3(c).

²⁹ LTR 201730018 (ruling that the conversion of a non-grantor charitable lead annuity trust to grantor charitable lead annuity trust is "not a transfer of property held by Trust to Grantor as settlor of Trust for income tax purposes") and ILM 200923024 (ruling that the conversion of a non-grantor trust to a grantor trust would not result in taxable income to the grantor). In ILM 200923024, the IRS did not offer an opinion on whether a transfer is deemed to occur upon such a conversion, but it relied in part on Rev. Rul. 85-13 and essentially said no taxable event occurred upon the conversion.

³⁰ See reg. section 1.671-3.

³¹ Section 1400Z-2(b)(1).

³² Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c).

³³ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(3).

³⁴ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(5)(i).

³⁵ *Id.*

A commenter also requested clarification that non-gift transactions between a grantor trust and its deemed owner that are not recognition events for Federal income tax purposes are not inclusion events, and that such transactions do not start a new holding period for purposes of section 1400Z. In such transactions, the deemed owner of the trust continues, for Federal income tax purposes, to be the taxpayer liable for the Federal income tax on the qualifying investment. Thus, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that, like transfers by the deemed owner to the grantor trust, these transactions (including transfers from the grantor trust to its deemed owner) are not inclusion events.

Regarding changes in grantor trust status, the 2020 final QOZ regulations provide, "In general, a change in the income tax status of an existing trust owning a qualifying investment in a QOF, whether the termination of grantor trust status or the creation of grantor trust status, is an inclusion event."³⁶ If grantor trust status is changed because of the death of the grantor, it is not considered an inclusion event, but some rules applicable to the death of a taxpayer otherwise apply.³⁷

Defining a transfer "at death" under section 1202(h)(2)(B) is a bit more circumspect. No authority addresses the scope of transfers that qualify as transfers at death for section 1202 purposes. Given this silence, we looked for additional income tax rules that might support a reasonable construction of this criterion. Section 1014, describing the basis of property acquired from a decedent or to whom the property is passed from a decedent, provides the closest analog to define transfers at death in the income tax context. The class of property that could be treated as "acquired from" or "passing from" a decedent could be extremely broad based on the general meaning of those terms. However, section 1014(b) specifically defines the types of transfers considered to pass from or be acquired from a decedent, and the basis adjustment is limited to

those categories.³⁸ Thus, while helpful in providing a close conceptual comparison, interpretation of section 1202(h)(2)(B) by analogy to section 1014(b) may be conservative.

Practitioners often describe the basis adjustment under section 1014(b) by shorthand reference as the adjustment for transfers subject to inclusion in the gross estate for estate tax purposes. However, that inclusion is only one potential ground for the adjustment, and the full list applicable to decedents now dying includes the following³⁹:

1. property acquired from the decedent by bequest, devise, or inheritance, or by the decedent's estate;
2. property the decedent transferred during lifetime in trust to pay the income for life to, or on the decedent's order or direction, if the decedent reserved the right at all times before his death to revoke the trust;
3. property the decedent transferred during lifetime in trust to pay the income for life to, or on the decedent's order, or direction, if the decedent reserved the right at all times before his death to make any change in the enjoyment thereof through the exercise of a power to alter, amend, or terminate the trust;⁴⁰
4. property passing without full and adequate consideration under a general power of appointment the decedent exercised by will;
5. property representing the surviving spouse's one-half share of community property held by the decedent and the surviving spouse, if at least half of the

³⁶ *Collins v. United States*, 318 F. Supp. 382 (C.D. Cal. 1970), aff'd per curiam, 448 F.2d 787 (9th Cir. 1971) (rejecting a spouse's argument that section 1014(b) applies to additional transfers caused by a decedent's death that are not identified in the statute because the statutory categories are not exclusive).

³⁷ See section 1014(b). Further, section 1014(b)(5) also treats as acquired or passing from a decedent who died after August 26, 1937, and before January 1, 2005, property acquired by bequest, devise, or inheritance or by the decedent's estate from the decedent, if the property consists of stock or securities of a foreign corporation, which for its tax year next preceding the date of the decedent's death was, under the law applicable to that year, a foreign personal holding company. That property is excluded from the separate section 1014(b)(9) category for transfers of property included in the gross estate for federal estate tax purposes. Section 1014(b)(9)(B).

³⁸ For decedents dying after December 31, 1951. Section 1014(b)(3).

³⁶ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(5)(ii).

³⁷ See reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(5)(ii) and (c)(4).

- whole community property interest was includable in the decedent's gross estate for federal estate tax purposes;⁴¹
6. property acquired from the decedent because of death, the form of ownership, or other conditions (including property acquired through the exercise or non-exercise of a power of appointment), if as a result the property is included in the decedent's gross estate for federal estate tax purposes, except for some annuities and property described in any other paragraph of section 1014(b);⁴² and
 7. property of a marital qualified terminable interest property trust includable in the decedent's gross estate under section 2044.

The examples in this statutory list reflect a broad class characterized by the common element that they are effective at a decedent's death, even if the decedent did not directly own the property and if it was held in trust. Section 1014(b) makes clear that the transfer tax (that is, the gift, estate, or generation-skipping transfer tax) character or consequence of a transfer is not the sole or even primary determinant of the basis adjustment for income tax purposes. In fact, the estate tax inclusion category specifies that if it overlaps with any other category (which it certainly does), the other provision operates first to define the grounds for a basis adjustment. In the absence of more specific guidance regarding the meaning of "at death" under section 1202, application of the categories treated as transfers passing or acquired from a decedent for section 1014 purposes seems reasonable. These categories describe more of the transfers typical in modern planning than simple bequests. The expansiveness of the categories to include cases beyond the simple direct transfer at death from a decedent's estate (for example, by powers of appointment) is helpful.

Given the foregoing, we believe that a permissible transfer at death would reasonably include, at the least, a transfer that (1) is recognized for income tax purposes (but not a taxable sale or exchange), (2) results in a different taxpayer becoming the owner of the stock for

income tax purposes, and (3) provides the transferee with basis determined under section 1014. As such, a permissible transfer at death includes:

1. a distribution of QSBS from the estate of the decedent who acquired the QSBS during lifetime to an individual beneficiary or testamentary trust;
2. a distribution of QSBS from a revocable living trust created and funded by a decedent who acquired the QSBS during lifetime to an individual beneficiary or trust created upon the death of the decedent;
3. a transfer of ownership in QSBS upon the death of a joint tenant who acquired the QSBS during lifetime, whether in accordance with a joint tenancy with right of survivorship or a joint tenancy by the entirety; and
4. any other transfer of ownership created upon the death of an individual who acquired the QSBS during lifetime under a beneficiary designation, transfer on death provision, or other similar method of transferring ownership.

2. Transfers related to partnerships.

As discussed previously, distributions of QSBS from a partnership to a partner are permissible transfers that allow for tacking of the holding period and retention of the QSBS status of the stock owned by the partnership, provided specific requirements and limitations are met.⁴³ There is no provision that allows for a transfer from a partner to a partnership. Thus, a contribution of QSBS stock by an eligible QSBS shareholder to a partnership in exchange for an interest in that partnership, followed by a sale of that stock by the partnership, would certainly not allow the partnership (or its partners) to get the exclusion benefit. The legislative history makes that clear.⁴⁴

⁴¹For decedents dying after December 31, 1947. Section 1014(b)(6).

⁴²For decedents dying after December 31, 1953. Section 1014(b)(9).

⁴³Section 1202(h)(2)(C). The requirements generally provide that the exclusion benefits of section 1202 will be limited by the interest "held by the taxpayer on the date on which such pass-thru entity acquired such stock," and may not exceed the amount that would have been excludable "by reference to the interest the taxpayer held in the pass-thru entity on the date the qualified small business stock was acquired." Section 1202(g).

Less clear, however, is the situation in which a partner contributes QSBS to a partnership in a nontaxable exchange,⁴⁵ but before any sale of the QSBS, the stock is distributed back to the contributing partner. Presumably the contribution and distribution would not be a taxable event.⁴⁶ If the QSBS is then sold by the original taxpayer who acquired it by original issuance, shouldn't that taxpayer still be allowed to claim the QSBS exclusion benefit under section 1202(a)? It seems that no tax policy is violated in this instance, and this might be a way to "save" inadvertent contributions of QSBS to family limited partnerships. In other words, whether specific transfers are disqualifying might best be determined at the time of sale. Again, guidance would be appreciated on this issue.

In contrast, if an individual QSBS shareholder contributed the QSBS to a wholly owned limited liability company that is treated as a disregarded entity, the contribution of the QSBS in exchange for interests in the disregarded entity would not be a transfer for income tax purposes.⁴⁷ QSBS status would be retained, unless and until the LLC became another taxable entity like a partnership, at which time it is possible the conversion would disqualify the stock.⁴⁸ The IRS has provided guidance on the tax issues involved in a conversion of a disregarded entity to a partnership. In both of the illustrated situations, the IRS ruled that the conversion is treated as if the underlying assets in the disregarded entity are contributed to a newly formed partnership in exchange for an interest in the partnership. Thus, a conversion may be treated as a contribution to a partnership by a partner, which is not a permissible transfer. However, as just discussed,

if the QSBS is distributed back to the "contributing" partner because of a conversion before the sale of QSBS and that QSBS is sold by that partner, shouldn't the partner be entitled to the QSBS exclusion benefit?

It is unclear whether a permissible transfer "by gift" includes a gratuitous transfer of an interest in a partnership that holds properly acquired QSBS at original issuance. For a partner to be afforded exclusion benefits on partnership QSBS, section 1202(g)(2)(B) requires not only that the partnership interest be "held by the taxpayer" on the date the QSBS was acquired but also that the partnership interest be held "at all times thereafter before the disposition of such stock by such pass-thru entity."⁴⁹ If a donor gifts an interest in the partnership to a grantor trust, the transfer will be ignored, and QSBS status is retained because the donor remains the taxpayer for section 1202 purposes. If, on the other hand, a donor gifts an interest in the partnership to another taxpayer, on its face, the donor did not "at all times thereafter" hold the partnership interest. Thus, the gift of the partnership interest could have disqualified the stock, at least for the gifted portion of the partnership interest. This seems a particularly harsh result because the QSBS could have been distributed to the original taxpayer and then gifted to the donee, and QSBS status would be retained for the benefit of the donee. The partnership rules provide several mechanisms to ensure that any built-in gain or loss or other economic interest associated with the transferred interest passes to a transferee. For example, regulations provide:

If a contributing partner transfers a partnership interest, built-in gain or loss must be allocated to the transferee partner as it would have been allocated to the transferor partner. If the contributing partner transfers a portion of the partnership interest, the share of built-in gain or loss proportionate to the interest transferred must be allocated to the transferee partner.⁵⁰

⁴⁴"Transferees in other cases are not eligible for the exclusion. Thus, for example, if qualified small business stock is transferred to a partnership and the partnership disposes of the stock, any gain from the disposition will not be eligible for the exclusion." H.R. Rep. No. 103-213, at 526 (1993) (conference report on the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993).

⁴⁵See Section 721(a).

⁴⁶This is true even if the distribution occurred within seven years of its original contribution, because the mixing bowl provisions do not apply if the contributed property is distributed back to the contributing partner. See sections 707(c)(1)(B) and 737.

⁴⁷The entity is "disregarded as an entity separate from its owner if it has a single owner," and this applies for federal tax purposes. Reg. section 301.7701-3(b)(1)(ii).

⁴⁸See Rev. Rul. 99-5, 1999-1 C.B. 434.

⁴⁹Section 1202(g)(2)(B).

Also, the regulations provide that in defining an eligible partner for section 1045 rollover purposes, "A taxpayer who acquires from a partner . . . by gift or at death an interest in a partnership that holds QSBS stock is treated as having held the acquired interest in the partnership during the period the partner . . . held the interest in the partnership."⁵¹ This regulation may not, however, apply for all section 1202 purposes. Thus, until the IRS provides guidance on this issue, practitioners should avoid making gifts of interests of partnerships that hold QSBS.

On the other hand, the transfer of an interest in a disregarded entity holding QSBS to a grantor trust, like a grantor-retained annuity trust (GRAT), would not be considered a transfer for income tax purposes unless and until the disregarded entity became another taxable entity, like a partnership. If, for example, the GRAT term expires, and the disregarded entity shares are distributed to another grantor trust for the benefit of the grantor's children, QSBS status would be retained. On the other hand, if (1) the GRAT term expires and a portion of the disregarded entity shares are distributed to children or a non-grantor trust, or (2) the grantor dies, thereby terminating grantor trust status, the disregarded entity will convert to a different taxable entity (that is, a partnership), and QSBS status is lost. Given the risk of losing QSBS status, practitioners should consider liquidating the disregarded entity before the event that will cause it to convert to a different taxable entity.

3. Powers of appointment.

It is unclear how a transfer under the exercise or lapse of a testamentary general power of appointment should be treated for these purposes. As noted earlier, a transfer under a limited power of appointment would qualify in part as a transfer by gift because the powers of appointment under common law are treated as if the power holder is acting as the agent of the donor. Upon the exercise of a power of

appointment, the doctrine of relation back provides that the appointed property passes directly from the donor to the appointee, without any ownership by the power holder. This applies whether the power of appointment is limited or general. For transfer tax purposes, a general power of appointment, whether exercised or not, causes estate and gift tax inclusion of the assets subject to the power, resulting in a step-up in basis under section 1014,⁵² and the power holder is deemed the transferor.⁵³ For income tax purposes, however, the regulations provide that for a grantor trust, if a power holder exercises a general power of appointment (not a lapse) in favor of a transferee trust, the power holder is treated as the grantor of the transferee trust.⁵⁴

Ultimately, we believe that the step-up in basis resulting from the exercise of a testamentary general power of appointment does not alter the treatment of the transfer as one "by gift." This is because the step-up in basis is caused by inclusion at the power holder's death, not the original donor's death (which is needed, in our opinion, for the transfer to be considered a permissible transfer at death under section 1202(h)(2)(B)). Indeed, the transfer of QSBS under the exercise of an *inter vivos* general power of appointment would provide a carryover basis to the transferee. Thus, we conclude that the transfer of QSBS under the exercise of a limited or a general power of appointment, whether exercised during the lifetime or at the death of the power holder, is a permissible transfer by gift under section 1202(h)(2)(A). The lapse of a general or limited power is ignored for QSBS purposes, and it makes no difference whether the transferee acquires a carryover basis or a step-up in basis on the QSBS.

4. Summary of movement of QSBS shares.

The appendix summarizes how different transfers or deemed transfers are treated for section 1202 purposes, denoting when the transfer is (1) a permissible transfer, (2) a disqualifying transfer that results in the loss of QSBS status, (3) an ignored transfer that retains QSBS status, or (4)

⁵⁰ Reg. section 1.704-3(a)(7). Also, the regulations provide that "upon the transfer of all or a part of an interest in the partnership, the capital account of the transferor that is attributable to the transferred interest carries over to the transferee partner." Reg. section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(I) and (b)(5), Example 13.

⁵¹ Reg. section 1.1045-1(g)(3)(ii).

⁵² Sections 2041, 1014(b)(9), and 1014(b)(4).

⁵³ See section 2652(a); and reg. section 26.2652-1(a).

⁵⁴ Reg. section 1.671-2(e)(5).

a transfer that results in an additional \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation for the transferee.

B. Can You 'Stack' and 'Pack' the Per-Issuer Limitation?

1. Generally.

As discussed previously, the per-issuer limitation is on a per-issuer (per-corporation), per-taxpayer basis. Further, the per-issuer limitation has two mutually exclusive limitations: (1) the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation, and (2) the 10-times-basis limitation. At an initial glance, it may seem that taxpayers are limited to one or the other, but a careful reading of the statute makes it clear that taxpayers are entitled to both the limitations, not just the greater of the two. Section 1202(b)(1) provides that the QSBS exclusion benefit "for the taxable year" may not exceed the greater of the two limitations. Thus, each tax year in which the taxpayer has eligible gain on QSBS, either the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation or the 10-times-basis limitation will be applied (the greater of the two of them).

The \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation is reduced by eligible gains taken in previous tax years, and once the taxpayer has recognized an aggregate of \$10 million of eligible gain under this limitation, the taxpayer no longer has this limitation available. On the other hand, the 10-times-basis limitation is taken into account only for the tax year in question, and it is not reduced by eligible gains taken in previous years. This means that the order in which QSBS is sold is extremely important. Assume taxpayer A acquires two lots of QSBS: lot 1 (100 shares) for \$800,000 in 2011, and lot 2 (also 100 shares) for \$1.2 million in 2012 (each qualifying for the 100 percent exclusion with an aggregate tax basis of \$2 million). Assume that A holds both lots of QSBS for more than five years, and A's total holdings in QSBS are worth \$30 million (each lot is worth \$15 million).

Scenario 1: If A sells all the QSBS for \$30 million in 2018, the total realized gain is \$28 million. The greater of the two limitations is the 10-times-basis limitation, allowing A to exclude \$20 million (excluded section 1202 gain) and recognize \$8 million of long-term capital gain (non-section 1202 gain).

Scenario 2: If A sells lot 1 for \$15 million in 2018 (realizing \$14.2 million of gain) and lot 2 for \$15 million the next year (realizing \$13.8 million of gain), the per-issuer limitation would be applied in the following manner: Lot 1 has a tax basis of \$800,000, and the 10-times-basis limitation would be only \$8 million. Therefore, in 2018 the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation must be applied, and A recognizes \$4.2 million of gain. Lot 2 has a tax basis of \$1.2 million, and the 10-times-basis limitation would be \$12 million. Therefore, in 2019 A recognizes \$1.8 million. Over the two years, A recognizes an aggregate of \$6 million of gain.

Scenario 3: If A sells lot 2 for \$15 million in 2018 (realizing \$13.8 million of gain) and lot 1 for \$15 million in 2019 (realizing \$14.2 million of gain), the per-issuer limitation would be applied in the following manner: Lot 2 has a tax basis of \$1.2 million, and the greater of the two limitations is the 10-times-basis limitation (\$12 million). Therefore, on the sale of lot 2 in 2018, A recognizes \$1.8 million of gain. Lot 1 has a tax basis of \$800,000, and the 10-times-basis limitation would be only \$8 million. Thus, one would hope to use the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation. However, the code says the \$10 million cap is "reduced by the aggregate amount of eligible gain taken into account by the taxpayer under [section 1202(a)] for prior taxable years."⁵⁵ In this example, A excluded \$12 million of gain in 2018, and as a result, A no longer has any of the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation remaining. Thus, for the sale of lot 1 in 2019, only \$8 million can be excluded, and A recognizes \$6.2 million of gain. Over the two years, A recognizes an aggregate of \$8 million (the same result as in Scenario 1).

As one can see, if a taxpayer holds 100 percent exclusion shares of QSBS, the taxpayer should seek to use the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation first, choosing to sell the lowest-tax-basis lots first until that limitation is exhausted. Afterward, only the 10-times-basis limitation will be available, and selling higher-basis lots in those subsequent sales obviously increases the amount of eligible gain that can be excluded. If, however, a taxpayer holds 50 percent, 75 percent, and 100

⁵⁵ Section 1202(b)(1)(A).

percent exclusion shares of QSBS in the same issuer, the calculation of which lot to sell becomes more complicated because the 50 percent and 75 percent exclusion shares will create section 1202 gain, which is taxable at a maximum rate of 28 percent (31.8 percent), and will also reduce a taxpayer's \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation if sold first. The determination of which lots to sell becomes even more complicated if appreciated assets are exchanged for QSBS in a section 351 exchange, since the unrecognized built-in gain inherent in the shares will not be excluded at all because they are non-section-1202 gain, which is taxable at the long-term capital gain tax rate.

2. 'Stacking' or multiplying the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation.

One method of maximizing the potential section 1202 exclusion benefit is by multiplying the number of taxpayers entitled to the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation. As discussed, each transfer by gift or at death to another taxpayer would create another \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation. Further, as noted, as long as the transferee of that transfer is an eligible QSBS shareholder like an individual or a non-grantor trust (but not a partnership, S corporation, or other passthrough entity), QSBS status is retained in the hands of the transferee. Subject to the multiple trust rules, discussed later, each transfer to a non-grantor trust would allow each trust to claim a separate \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation (in addition to the 10-times-basis limitation).

If QSBS is contributed to a non-grantor trust and the transfer is taxable for gift tax purposes, the donor will be able to take advantage of the temporary doubling of the "applicable exclusion amount" under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.⁵⁶ If a portion of the taxable gift qualifies for the annual gift tax exclusion because one or more of the trust beneficiaries has a *Crummey*⁵⁷ power to withdraw a portion of the QSBS contribution, and that power lapses, the IRS has ruled that the beneficiary will be treated as a part owner of the trust under section 678(a).⁵⁸ Under those circumstances, the donor's contribution of the

QSBS to the trust will be treated as a permissible transfer by gift, and that transfer will result in at least two different taxpayers: the non-grantor trust and the deemed partial owner-beneficiaries under section 678(a). Those taxpayers would all presumably be able to claim a separate \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation.

For taxpayers who do not wish to make a taxable gift but desire to make a transfer by gift for section 1202 purposes, one possibility seems to be a transfer of QSBS to an "incomplete gift, non-grantor trust." Practitioners have used these trusts mostly for state income tax purposes, often taking advantage of the laws of Delaware (Delaware incomplete non-grantor trusts, or DINGs) and Nevada (Nevada incomplete non-grantor trusts, or NINGs).⁵⁹ These DINGs and NINGs ostensibly allow a donor to make a nontaxable gift of assets to a non-grantor trust that is treated as a separate taxpayer from the donor for income tax purposes, even though the donor is a permissible beneficiary of that trust.

Before 1997, a self-settled trust (a trust that provides for the benefit of the grantor) would not have qualified as a non-grantor trust. The regulations provide: "Under section 677 a grantor is, in general, treated as the owner of a portion of a trust whose income is, or in the discretion of the grantor or a nonadverse party, or both, may be applied in discharge of a legal obligation of the grantor."⁶⁰ Thus, if under state law creditors of the grantor can reach the assets of the trust, the trust will be considered a grantor trust for income tax purposes. Before 1997, all the states provided that creditors of a grantor could reach the assets of any self-settled trust. Since 1997, several states like Delaware and Nevada have enacted "domestic asset protection trust" statutes that allow grantors to create self-settled trusts but prohibit creditors of the grantor from reaching the assets in the trust.

⁵⁶ See LTR 200747002, LTR 200104005, LTR 200022035, LTR 200011058, LTR 200011054, LTR 200011056, LTR 199942037, LTR 199935046, LTR 199935047, and LTR 9812006.

⁵⁷ See Michael Gordon, "Using Self-Settled Asset Protection Trusts for Tax Planning Purposes," 53d Annual Southern Federal Tax Institute, at Outline Y (Oct. 2018); Peter Melcher and Steven J. Oshins, "New Private Letter Ruling Breathes Life Into Nevada Incomplete Gift Non-Grantor Trusts," *Wealthmanagement.com*, Apr. 16, 2013; and Oshins, "NING Trusts Provide Tax and Asset Protection Benefits," *CCH Estate Planning Review — The Journal*, at 150 (Aug. 20, 2013).

⁵⁸ Reg. section 1.677(a)-1(d).

⁵⁶ Section 2010(c)(3).

⁵⁷ *Crummey v. Commissioner*, 397 F.2d 82 (9th Cir. 1968).

The contribution to the trust is deemed nontaxable because of specific powers of appointment, retained consent powers, and the imposition of distribution committees.⁶¹

A full discussion of DINGs and NINGs is beyond the scope of this report, but for QSBS purposes, the planning implications are straightforward. A transfer to a DING or NING would be a permissible transfer by gift, thereby allowing the DING or NING to claim its own \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation, even though the donor is a permissible beneficiary of that trust.

As mentioned, the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation is reduced to \$5 million per spouse if spouses file separately. So a transfer of QSBS to a spouse who files separately will not "stack" the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation. This reduction does not apply to spouses filing jointly. Thus, a transfer of QSBS to a spouse with spouses filing jointly seemingly works to double the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation. Because taxable gifts to spouses automatically qualify for the gift tax marital deduction,⁶² this could be an easy way to double the exclusion limitation without incurring gift tax. For practitioners wary about uncertainty in the QSBS treatment of spouses filing jointly, a gift to an *inter vivos* QTIP trust can also be used.⁶³

3. Multiple trust rules.

Section 643(f) authorizes Treasury to issue regulations under which two or more trusts would be treated as one trust if (1) the trusts have substantially the same grantor or grantors and substantially the same primary beneficiary or beneficiaries; and (2) a principal purpose of the trust is the avoidance of a tax.⁶⁴ For this purpose, spouses are treated as one person.⁶⁵ Treasury recently issued final regulations under section 643(f),⁶⁶ in conjunction with its release of the final

section 199A regulations. The new section 643(f) final regulations provide:

For purposes of subchapter J of chapter 1 of Title 26 of the United States Code, two or more trusts will be aggregated and treated as a single trust if such trusts have substantially the same grantor or grantors and substantially the same primary beneficiary or beneficiaries, and if a principal purpose for establishing such trusts or for contributing additional cash or other property to such trusts is the avoidance of Federal income tax. For purposes of applying this rule, spouses will be treated as one person.⁶⁷

The proposed section 643(f) regulations issued in 2018⁶⁸ provided a "principal purpose" provision, which read: "A principal purpose for establishing or funding a trust will be presumed if it results in a significant income tax benefit unless there is a significant non-tax (or non-income tax) purpose that could not have been achieved without the creation of these separate trusts."⁶⁹ This provision and the examples noted below were stricken from the final regulations. The preamble, in response to comments to the proposed regulations, explained:

The Treasury Department and the IRS have removed the definition of "principal purpose" and the examples illustrating this rule that had been included in the proposed regulations, and are taking under advisement whether and how these questions should be addressed in future guidance. This includes questions of whether certain terms such as "principal purpose" and "substantially identical grantors and beneficiaries" should be defined or their meaning clarified in regulations or other guidance, along with providing illustrating examples for each of these terms. Nevertheless, the position of the Treasury Department and the IRS remains that the determination of whether

⁶¹ See, e.g., LTR 201310002, LTR 201426014, LTR 201510006, LTR 201550005, LTR 201507008, and LTR 201614006.

⁶² See sections 2523(a) and section 1041 ("No gain or loss shall be recognized on a transfer of property from an individual to (or in trust for the benefit of) a spouse. . . . The property shall be treated as acquired by the transferee by gift.").

⁶³ Section 2523(f).

⁶⁴ Section 643(f).

⁶⁵ *Id.* (flush language).

⁶⁶ T.D. 9847.

⁶⁷ Reg. section 1.643(f)-1(a).

⁶⁸ REG-107892-18.

⁶⁹ Prop. reg. section 1.643(f)-1(b).

an arrangement involving multiple trusts is subject to treatment under section 643(f) may be made on the basis of the statute and the guidance provided regarding that provision in the legislative history of section 643(f), in the case of any arrangement involving multiple trusts entered into or modified before the effective date of these final regulations.

The proposed regulations under section 643(f) provided two examples. The first was a straightforward example in which multiple and nearly identical trusts were created solely to maximize the section 199A deduction, and the trusts were aggregated into a single trust.⁷⁰ The second example read as follows:

Example 2. (i) X establishes two irrevocable trusts: one for the benefit of X's son, G, and the other for X's daughter, H. G is the income beneficiary of the first trust and the trustee is required to apply all income currently to G for G's life. H is the remainder beneficiary of the first trust. H is an income beneficiary of the second trust and the trust instrument permits the trustee to accumulate or to pay income, in its discretion, to H for H's education, support, and maintenance. The trustee also may pay income or corpus for G's medical expenses. H is the remainder beneficiary of the second trust and will receive the trust corpus upon G's death.

(ii) Under these facts, there are significant non-tax differences between the substantive terms of the two trusts, so tax avoidance will not be presumed to be a principal purpose for the establishment or funding of the separate trusts.

Accordingly, in the absence of other facts or circumstances that would indicate that a principal purpose for creating the two separate trusts was income tax avoidance, the two trusts will not be aggregated and treated as a single trust for Federal income tax purposes under this section.⁷¹

Even though that example was removed, it seems to imply that the aggregation of multiple trusts into one trust would not be applicable if, for example, a grantor created separate trusts for each of his or her children (and their descendants as remainder beneficiaries) even if each of the trust provisions were otherwise identical. Moreover, if significant differences existed between different trusts for the same group of beneficiaries, it would seem that aggregation would not be applicable either. The issue is how significant must these nontax differences be to avoid the aggregation of the trusts.

The effective date for the final section 643(f) regulations applies to tax years ending after August 16, 2018.⁷² Although the preamble to the proposed regulations explains that it could apply to arrangements and trusts created before that point, "In the case of any arrangement involving multiple trusts entered into or modified before August 16, 2018, the determination of whether an arrangement involving multiple trusts is subject to treatment under section 643(f) will be made on the basis of the statute and the guidance provided regarding that provision in the legislative history of section 643(f)."⁷³ Significantly, the preamble to the proposed regulations points out that "the application of proposed [reg.] section 1.643(f)-1, however, is not limited to avoidance of the limitations under section 199A and proposed [reg.] sections 1.199A-1 through 1.199A-6."⁷⁴ As such, it is reasonable to expect these rules to be applied to some attempts to stack the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation by using multiple trusts.

4. 'Packing' or maximizing the 10-times-basis limitation.

Because each QSBs taxpayer may ultimately exhaust its \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation and there are practical limitations on the amount of stacking or multiplying of different taxpayers that can be achieved, the 10-times-basis limitation is often more valuable to taxpayers. As mentioned, for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, if a taxpayer contributes property (other than money or stock) to a qualified small

⁷⁰ Prop. reg. section 1.643(f)-(1)(c), Example 1.

⁷¹ Prop. reg. section 1.643(f)-(1)(c), Example 2.

⁷² Prop. reg. section 1.643(f)-(1)(b).

⁷³ Preamble to REG-107892-18, 83 F.R. 40884, 40902 (Aug. 16, 2018).

⁷⁴ *Id.*

business (QSB), the basis "shall in no event be less than the fair market value of the property exchanged."⁷⁵ This gives taxpayers an opportunity to greatly increase the per-issuer limitation by contributing appreciated property in a section 351 nonrecognition transaction (including a conversion of a partnership to a corporation).

It is common for founders to contribute intellectual property to their start-up companies, and as such, the founders should be able to claim FMV of the property as their basis for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation. From a planning standpoint, it is important that the values used be consistent with the values that are used for other purposes, including those used for section 409A purposes⁷⁶ and for different rounds of investor funding. Also, contributions of appreciated property need to be coordinated with the aggregate gross asset requirement (that is, \$50 million). Although the aggregate gross asset requirement is based on the cash and adjusted bases of property held by the corporation, for this purpose the basis of contributed property is equal to its FMV at the time of the contribution.⁷⁷

Often founders of companies will start their business as an entity taxed as a partnership (or a disregarded entity) so that the losses that are incurred at the beginning of the enterprise can be used by the founders on their individual income tax returns. When private equity or venture capital funding becomes available, they will often set a pre-funding, pre-money valuation for the enterprise. If, for example, the enterprise is valued at a pre-funding value of \$40 million, the conversion of the partnership to a C corporation before the funding would set the per-issuer limitation for the founders at \$400 million (\$40 million FMV of enterprise value, which is contributed in exchange for shares in the QSB, multiplied by the 10-times-basis limitation). It is critical in the planning process that taxpayers properly document this conversion, including

obtaining contemporaneous valuation appraisals. As noted earlier, one of the qualifications to be a QSB is that the corporation must agree to "submit such reports to the Secretary and to shareholders as the Secretary may require."⁷⁸

5. 'Packing' the 10-times-basis limitation with non-eligible gain.

An interesting way to "pack" or maximize the 10-times-basis limitation is to coincide the taxable sale of QSBS that creates eligible gain (that is, five-year holding period QSBS) with the taxable sale of QSBS that is not eligible gain (that is, QSBS held for less than five years) in the same tax year. The code defines the 10-times-basis limitation as "10 times the aggregate adjusted bases of qualified small business stock issued by such corporation and disposed of by the taxpayer during the taxable year."⁷⁹ The code does *not* require that in calculating the aggregate adjusted bases of QSBS disposed of by the taxpayer during the tax year, it include only the bases of QSBS that would create eligible gain. Eligible gain includes only gain from QSBS that has been held for more than five years.⁸⁰ Therefore, a taxpayer can increase the 10-times-basis limitation by selling high-tax-basis QSBS that does not satisfy the five-year holding requirement (recognizing little or no gain) with very-low-tax-basis QSBS that does satisfy the five-year holding requirement.

This situation is not as unusual as it may seem at first. For example, imagine a founder of a corporation who has a great idea that has significant value but no tax basis (for example, a patent, copyright, process, or other type of IP). The value of the founder's shares is worth \$30 million today, and over the years, her stake has been diluted by many rounds of financing over time. To keep the founder motivated, the company has granted her stock options. The stock options, if exercised, give the founder the right to purchase \$4 million of stock at a strike price of \$1 million (\$3 million of ordinary income on exercise). The corporation is about to be sold to a buyer through a tender offer. If the founder sells her shares in the corporation along with the stock

⁷⁵Section 1202(i)(1)(B).

⁷⁶See section 409A (determining gross income on nonqualified deferred compensation). If a privately held company issues options to a service provider at a valuation below the FMV, section 409A applies. See T.D. 9321 and reg. sections 1.409A-1 to -9.

⁷⁷Section 1202(d)(2)(A) and (B).

⁷⁸Section 1202(d)(1)(C).

⁷⁹Section 1202(b)(1)(B).

⁸⁰See section 1202(b)(2).

options, she would be limited to the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation on the sale of the stock, and the options would not qualify for QSBS treatment at all.⁸¹ Instead, the founder exercises the options before the sale and then immediately sells the newly acquired stock, along with the original stock held by her, to the buyer. The stock option shares are QSBS but do not meet the five-year holding requirement. However, because the founder is selling the option QSBS in the same tax year in which she is selling QSBS that satisfies the five-year holding period (that is, the zero-basis founder's stock), for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, the founder can use \$4 million of aggregate adjusted bases to exclude as much as \$40 million of eligible gain. As a result, \$30 million of gain is excluded, at the cost of \$3 million of ordinary income.

C. Can a Preexisting Trade or Business Become a QSB?

Some practitioners are surprised to discover that a preexisting business, even one that was in existence before the enactment of section 1202, can nonetheless become a QSB and provide its shareholders with the benefits of QSBS. Section 1202(c)(1) provides that QSBS is "any stock in a C corporation which is originally issued after the date of enactment of the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1993." Of course, QSBS status also requires that the corporation meet the other requirements of section 1202. None of the other qualifications (that is, the active business requirement, the aggregate gross asset requirement, and the original issuance requirement) mandate that a QSB be a newly created start-up business. Further, as discussed earlier, in determining the acquisition dates for QSBS purposes, the legislative history makes it clear that historical holding periods of assets contributed to a QSB (under section 1223) do not apply for purposes of the formation of a C corporation or the conversion of a preexisting passthrough entity to a corporation.

Individuals, disregarded entities, and other noncorporate taxpayers doing business as sole

proprietorships can contribute cash or property to a newly formed C corporation in a section 351 transaction, and the shares acquired will qualify for potential QSBS treatment. This can be accomplished in a series of exchanges, and as long as the corporation continues to meet the aggregate gross asset requirement at each issuance and the active business requirement, the shares acquired will continue to qualify as QSBS.

Partnerships are eligible holders of QSBS and have the option of contributing cash and other property to a newly created (or controlled) C corporation in a section 351 transaction in exchange for QSBS shares.⁸² Those shares can be retained by the partnerships or distributed to the partners without jeopardizing their QSBS status.⁸³ Preexisting business entities taxed as partnerships (S corporations are discussed in the next section of this report) can also meet the original issuance requirement by converting to a C corporation. As noted earlier, that can be accomplished by making the appropriate check-the-box election or converting to a corporation under an assets-over, assets-up, or interests-up conversion. Typically, the owners of the partnership prefer to convert to a C corporation in a nontaxable manner, relying on section 351. Note, however, that built-in gain on appreciated property that is contributed to a QSB is non-section-1202 gain and, as such, is not excludable under section 1202. Owners should consider offsetting gains with losses if at all possible, before the contribution, thereby increasing the adjusted tax basis of contributed assets and reducing the non-section-1202 gain in the QSBS shares.

As already mentioned, each of the foregoing conversion transactions essentially involves a contribution of property to a newly formed corporation and liquidation of the partnership, but each involves a different contribution of property and in a different order. Each transaction can result in the corporation and the shareholders receiving different adjusted tax bases in their exchanged assets. Each transaction can also result in gain or loss being recognized either upon liquidation of the partnership or as a result of the

⁸¹ See *Natkunananathan v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo. 2010-15, aff'd, 479 Fed. App'x 775 (9th Cir. 2012).

⁸² Section 1202(g)(4)(A).

⁸³ Section 1202(g) and (h)(2)(C).

mixing bowl or disguised sale rules. Understanding these nuances is important because tax basis is critically important in calculating the aggregate gross asset requirement and the 10-times-basis limitation.

Because the aggregate gross asset requirement is calculated on the FMV of contributed assets, partnerships that have more than \$50 million in assets will need to reduce the value of the assets contributed in the conversion. This can be accomplished in several ways, including simply contributing less than \$50 million in property to the corporation under section 351 in a check-the-box, assets-over, or assets-up conversion, or distributing partnership property to the partners before the conversion to a C corporation. Distributions of property are generally nontaxable events,⁸⁴ but they result in a reduction of the outside basis of the distributee partner.⁸⁵ The parent-subsidiary limitation of section 1202(d)(3) applies only to corporations, so any transaction that has the effect of reducing the value of the assets below \$50 million is allowable, provided the reduction occurs before the conversion to a C corporation (the deemed original issuance).

A restructuring of a preexisting partnership that exceeds the \$50 million aggregate gross asset requirement can also be accomplished through a partnership division under section 708(b)(2)(B).⁸⁶ A partnership division is any transaction that converts a single partnership into two or more resulting partnerships. Like conversions to a corporation, a division of a partnership can be accomplished in several different ways — assets-over, assets-up, and interests-over.⁸⁷ The regulations issued under sections 708 and 752 in 2001⁸⁸ provide that the IRS will not respect the

interests-over form of partnership division. Also, although both an assets-over and an assets-up division are respected, there is a preference to treat the transaction as an assets-over division.⁸⁹ In the assets-over form, the divided partnership transfers assets to the recipient partnership in exchange for interest in the recipient partnership, followed by a distribution of the recipient partnership interests to the partners.⁹⁰

In a “vertical slice” division, both of the resulting partnerships retain the same ownership as the original partnership. The distribution of the recipient partnership interest to the partners will be current distributions rather than liquidating distributions because no partner is terminating his or her interest in the divided partnership. Because of this parity of ownership, it is unlikely that the mixing bowl transaction will trigger any gain or loss.⁹¹ Further, the preamble to the regulations points out that when a division results in a pro rata division, there are no section 704(c) implications.⁹² Similarly, given the parity of ownership before and after the division, there should be no gain resulting from a deemed distribution of cash under section 752 because the division will not result in a change in the share of the partners’ liabilities. The resulting basis that the partners have in their respective interests in the divided partnership and the recipient partnership depend on what assets and liabilities are contributed and distributed as a result of the division.

In a division, the regulations provide that a “resulting partnership”⁹³ (a partnership that has at least two partners from the prior partnership) will be considered a continuation of the prior partnership if the partners in the resulting partnership had an interest of more than 50 percent in the capital and profits of the prior partnership.⁹⁴ All resulting partnerships that are

⁸⁴ See section 731(a) and (b); and reg. section 1.731-1(a)-(b).

⁸⁵ Section 733.

⁸⁶ See also reg. section 1.708-1(d).

⁸⁷ Cassady V. Brewer, “Coming Together and Breaking Apart: Planning and Pitfalls in Partnership Mergers and Divisions,” 43d Annual Southern Federal Tax Institute, Outline F, F-13 (2008).

⁸⁸ T.D. 8925.

⁸⁹ See reg. section 1.708-1(d)(3).

⁹⁰ Reg. section 1.708-1(d)(3)(i)(A). The divided partnership’s transitory ownership of all the interests in the recipient partnership is ignored. Reg. section 1.708-1(d)(5), examples 3-6.

⁹¹ Sections 704(c)(1)(B) and 737; and reg. sections 1.704-4(c)(4) and 1.737-2(b)(2).

⁹² Preamble to T.D. 8925, 66 F.R. 715, 718 (Jan. 4, 2001). Non-pro-rata divisions are still being reviewed.

⁹³ Reg. section 1.708-1(d)(4)(iv).

⁹⁴ Reg. section 1.708-1(d)(1).

considered a continuation of the prior partnership are subject to all preexisting tax elections (for example, a section 754 election) that were made by the prior partnership.⁹⁵ Thus, in pro rata divisions in which all the partners retain the same ownership in the resulting partnerships, all the resulting partnerships will be considered continuing partnerships, retaining all prior tax elections of the divided partnership.⁹⁶

Thus, a vertical slice division can be used to divide a preexisting business into two smaller partnerships with identical ownership at the partner level, one or both of which can be converted to a C corporation and possibly qualify as a QSB. As mentioned, the parent-subsidiary aggregation rule of section 1202(d)(3) likely does not apply to partnerships, and significantly, section 1202 does not have any rule regarding brother-sister entities. Thus, a division like this is a nontaxable reorganization that can qualify a preexisting business into one or more QSBs. For example, consider a partnership that has a trade or business related to healthcare. It derives revenue by directly providing medical services to patients but uses proprietary software to maximize the revenue from those services. As noted, a trade or business involving the performance of services in the field of health is not considered a qualified trade or business for QSBS purposes.⁹⁷ However, a software company would be considered a qualified trade or business. In that instance, a vertical slice division would allow the partnership to divide into a healthcare services partnership and a software company that can be converted to a C corporation that would qualify as a QSB.

If a partnership has over \$50 million in assets, a division could possibly be used to qualify the trade or business as a QSB before its conversion to a C corporation. For example, consider a partnership that has a trade or business that, in aggregate, is worth \$80 million. The trade or business consists of a manufacturing division that is worth \$45 million and a distribution division that is worth \$35 million. A vertical slice division

of the partnership into a manufacturing partnership and a distribution partnership would reduce the value of each partnership to allow each of the separate businesses to qualify as a QSB upon conversion to a C corporation.

D. Can S Corporation Shareholders Benefit From QSBS?

Section 1202(c)(1) requires that shareholders acquire their QSBS through original issuance by a C corporation, the foregoing requirement embedded in the definition of a QSB.⁹⁸ Thus, shareholders of existing S corporations who were issued shares when the corporation was an S corporation can never qualify for QSBS treatment by simply revoking the corporation's S election. That doesn't necessarily mean that the shareholders of this corporation can never get the benefit of the QSBS exclusion, as long as they subsequently acquire, and are originally issued, shares in the C corporation. Of course, the C corporation must meet all the additional QSBS requirements, specifically including the twofold requirement that for substantially all of the taxpayer's holding period the corporation must be a C corporation and meet the active business requirement.

Section 1202(c)(2)(A) provides, "Stock in a corporation shall not be treated as qualified small business stock unless, during substantially all of the taxpayer's holding period for such stock, such corporation meets the active business requirements of subsection (e) and such corporation is a C corporation." Although not entirely clear, the better interpretation of the foregoing is that the "substantially all" holding period requirement applies to both the active business requirement and to the C corporation requirement (rather than only to the active business requirement).⁹⁹ The distinction is significant in that it allows a company that initially starts as an S corporation but later converts to a C corporation (perhaps because of subsequent rounds of funding) to provide QSBS

⁹⁵ Reg. section 1.708-1(d)(2)(ii).

⁹⁶ See LTR 9015016 (seven continuing partnerships with same owners in the same proportions).

⁹⁷ Section 1202(e)(3)(A).

⁹⁸ See section 1202(d)(1).

⁹⁹ Also, under the active business requirement, the corporation must be an eligible corporation, which is defined (with some exceptions) as "any domestic corporation," without any requirement that the corporation be a C corporation. See section 1202(e)(4).

treatment to the shareholders who acquired stock after the conversion (including, for example, founding shareholders who receive shares as part of ongoing compensation arrangements). However, the shares that were issued when the company was an S corporation will never qualify for the QSBS exclusion.

Some advisers and promoters have mistakenly taken the position that an S corporation can merge with a C corporation (typically a publicly traded shell corporation, sometimes referred to as a special purpose acquisition company or SPAC), in accordance with which the S corporation shareholders do a tax-free exchange of shares, receiving shares in the surviving C corporation that would be eligible for QSBS treatment. Section 1202(h)(4)(A), dealing with a reorganization under section 368, applies only when QSBS is exchanged for other stock that would not qualify for QSBS. In this instance, this is an exchange of non-QSBS stock for purported QSBS stock, and as such, section 1202(h)(4)(A) is inapplicable.

Also, S corporations, like partnerships, are eligible holders of QSBS for the benefit of the S corporation shareholders who would otherwise be qualified QSBS shareholders (that is, individuals, trusts, and estates).¹⁰⁰ As a result, an S corporation can give its shareholders QSBS benefits by contributing assets to a C corporation under section 351 in exchange for shares of a QSB. The S corporation would then need to retain the QSBS shares because a distribution of them to the shareholders (unlike a distribution from a partnership to a partner) is a disqualifying transfer that is not described in section 1202(h)(2). Further, the distribution of the QSBS is also a recognition event for income tax purposes.¹⁰¹

Under these circumstances, the S corporation essentially serves as a holding company of the QSB on behalf of its shareholders. If the S corporation holds the QSBS for at least five years and sells, the shareholders will get the benefit of the QSBS exclusion. The S corporation will pass through all items of income and deduction, including nontaxable items like excluded section

1202 gain (tax-exempt income).¹⁰² The excluded section 1202 gain will increase the basis of each shareholder's stock in the S corporation,¹⁰³ thereby allowing the S corporation to distribute the cash proceeds from the sale of QSBS tax free to its shareholders.¹⁰⁴ As discussed in the context of partnerships, it is unclear whether a transfer by gift of the shares of the S corporation will "stack" or multiply the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation, and to make things worse, there is no option to distribute the QSBS to the shareholders so they can gift the QSBS shares.

One option is for the S corporation to contribute assets to a wholly owned subsidiary corporation and fail to elect to treat the subsidiary as a qualified subchapter S subsidiary (QSub), which, if elected, would have been treated as a disregarded entity.¹⁰⁵ An S corporation with a preexisting QSub can also terminate its QSub election.¹⁰⁶ The effect of the termination is that the former QSub is treated as a new corporation acquiring all its assets (and assuming all its liabilities) immediately before the termination from the S corporation parent in exchange for stock of the new corporation.¹⁰⁷ This exchange would qualify as an original issuance under section 1202.

Unlike partnerships, the parent-subsidiary aggregation rule under section 1202(d)(3) likely applies to S corporations (although the controlled group of corporation rules are only used in the context of C corporations). As such, if an S corporation's assets already exceed the \$50 million threshold, then even if the S corporation contributes less than \$50 million in assets to a wholly owned C corporation or revokes the QSub election on an entity that has less than \$50 million in assets, the newly created corporation would not be considered a QSB because the aggregate gross asset requirement is not met. In that instance, the S corporation could distribute cash or property to its shareholders to get below the

¹⁰² See section 1366(a)(1)(A).

¹⁰³ Section 1367(a)(1).

¹⁰⁴ See section 1368(b)(1).

¹⁰⁵ See section 1361(b)(3) and reg. section 1.1361-3(a)(2).

¹⁰⁶ See reg. section 1.1361-5(a)(1).

¹⁰⁷ Reg. section 1.1361-5(b)(1)(i).

¹⁰⁰ Section 1202(g)(4)(B).

¹⁰¹ See section 311(b).

\$50 million threshold, but the distribution of cash is tax free only to the extent of each shareholder's basis in his or her S corporation shares, and property distributions are taxable events.

Another alternative is to divide the S corporation in a tax-free division under section 355. Generally, section 355(a) mandates that (1) a corporation distribute stock or securities of a corporation that constitutes control, (2) both corporations conduct an active trade or business, and (3) the distribution not constitute a device to distribute earnings and profits. There are other requirements, most notably that the distribution of the stock must have a corporate business purpose.¹⁰⁸ The regulations provide that a shareholder purpose does not constitute a corporate business purpose.¹⁰⁹

Note that if a C corporation converts to an S corporation, QSBS is not automatically lost, provided the corporation converts back to a C corporation. The business must only be a C corporation during "substantially all"¹¹⁰ of the taxpayer's holding period. However, as noted already, no guidance has been issued on what constitutes "substantially all" for purposes of section 1202.

E. Can You Get the Benefit of QSBS Through Carried Interest?

As discussed earlier, partnerships are eligible QSBS shareholders for the benefit of their noncorporate partners,¹¹¹ allowing the noncorporate partners the benefit of the section 1202 exclusion, if the following requirements are met: (1) the gain results from the partnership's sale of QSBS that has been held by the partnership for more than five years;¹¹² (2) the gain is includable in the gross income of the taxpayer (partner) by "reason of holding an interest in such entity";¹¹³ (3) the interest in the entity was "held by

the taxpayer on the date on which such pass-thru entity acquired such stock";¹¹⁴ and (4) the interest was also held by the taxpayer "at all times thereafter before the disposition of such stock" by the partnership.¹¹⁵ Also, the code mandates that the amount of gain eligible for exclusion may not exceed the amount that would have been excludable "by reference to the interest the taxpayer held in the pass-thru entity on the date the qualified small business stock was acquired."¹¹⁶ Thus, a partner would be unable to claim a larger share of the QSBS gain when recognized if the partner's share of the partnership is larger than it was when the stock was acquired. To date, the IRS has not issued any guidance under section 1202 on how "by reference to the interest the taxpayer held" is to be determined. The partner's "proportionate share of the adjusted basis of the pass-thru entity in such stock"¹¹⁷ is used for determining that partner's 10-times-basis limitation.

As mentioned, distributions of QSBS from a partnership to a partner are permissible transfers that allow for tacking of the holding period and retention of the QSBS status of the shares if "requirements similar to the requirements of subsection (g) are met at the time of the transfer (without regard to the 5-year holding period requirement)."¹¹⁸ Thus, whether a partnership sells the QSBS or it distributes the QSBS to a partner, the exclusion benefits of section 1202 will be limited by the interest "held by the taxpayer on the date on which such pass-thru entity acquired such stock," and may not exceed the amount that would have been excludable "by reference to the interest the taxpayer held in the pass-thru entity on the date the qualified small business stock was acquired."

The regulations provide special rules for changes in a partner's interest in a partnership resulting from the admission or withdrawal of partners or other transactions that change the relative partner share of continuing partners in a

¹⁰⁸ Reg. section 1.355-2(b)(1).

¹⁰⁹ Reg. section 1.355-2(b)(2).

¹¹⁰ Section 1202(c)(2)(A).

¹¹¹ Section 1202(g)(4)(A).

¹¹² Section 1202(g)(2)(A).

¹¹³ Section 1202(g)(2)(B).

¹¹⁴ *Id.*

¹¹⁵ *Id.*

¹¹⁶ Section 1202(g)(3).

¹¹⁷ Section 1202(g)(1)(B).

¹¹⁸ Section 1202(h)(2)(C).

partnership. These rules, often referred to as reverse section 704(c) adjustments, permit revaluations of the partner's capital accounts to reflect the FMV of partnership assets and any book-tax disparities at that time. They generally require equivalent adjustments that allocate unrealized gain or loss to continuing partners¹¹⁹ (1) for property distributed in kind,¹²⁰ and (2) on adjustments of partnership interests as a result of (a) contributions of money, property, or services, or (b) distributions of money or property.¹²¹ These reverse section 704(c) adjustments do not specifically provide for adjustments attributable to QSBS considerations, but they could provide a mechanism and insight on how "by reference to the interest the taxpayer held" is to be determined.

As discussed earlier, many investments in QSB companies are through private equity or venture capital funds. Commonly, these funds are compensated in part through carried interest, which vests when the underlying portfolio investments meet specified profit or valuation targets. Carried interest is generally defined as a share of the profits of an investment that is paid to the investment manager in excess of the amount of capital that the manager contributes to the partnership. Typically, carried interest is paid in the form of an interest in the partnership (the fund).

In Rev. Proc. 93-27, 1993-2 C.B. 343, the IRS provided guidance on the receipt of a partnership interest for services provided to a partnership. In the ruling the IRS defined a capital interest as "an interest that would give the holder a share of the proceeds if the partnership's assets were sold at fair market value and then the proceeds were distributed in a complete liquidation of the partnership"¹²² as determined at the time of the receipt of the partnership interest. A profits interest is defined as a "partnership interest other than a capital interest."¹²³ The ruling provides that

if a person receives a profits interest for providing services to or for the benefit of a partnership in a partner capacity or in anticipation of becoming a partner, the receipt of the interest is not a taxable event for the partner or the partnership. This safe harbor does not apply, however, if (1) the profits interest relates to a substantially certain and predictable stream of income from partnership assets (for example, high-quality debt securities or high-quality net leases), (2) within two years after receipt, the partner disposes of the profits interest, or (3) the profits interest is an interest in a publicly traded partnership.

In Rev. Proc. 2001-43, 2001-2 C.B. 191, the IRS clarified the 1993 revenue procedure, providing that the determination of whether an interest granted to a service provider is a profits interest is tested when the interest is granted, even if, at that time, the interest is "substantially nonvested."¹²⁴ The 2001 revenue procedure provides: "Where a partnership grants an interest in the partnership that is substantially nonvested to a service provider, the service provider will be treated as receiving the interest on the date of its grant," provided the following conditions are met¹²⁵:

- "the partnership and the service provider treat the service provider as the owner of the partnership interest from the date of its grant and the service provider takes into account the distributive share of partnership income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit associated with that interest in computing the service provider's income tax liability for the entire period during which the service provider has the interest";
- "upon the grant of the interest or at the time that the interest becomes substantially vested, neither the partnership nor any of the partners deducts any amount (as wages, compensation, or otherwise) for the fair market value of the interest"; and
- all the conditions of the 1993 revenue procedure are also satisfied.

Rev. Proc. 93-27 and Rev. Proc. 2001-43 provide a safe harbor method for private equity and venture capital funds to grant carried interest

¹¹⁹ Reg. sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(d)(3), (iv)(e), and (iv)(f); 1.704-1(b)(4)(i); 1.704-1(b)(5), examples 14(i), 14(ii), 14(iv), 18(ii), 18(vii), 18(ix), and 18(x); and 1.704-1(b)(1)(iv).

¹²⁰ Reg. section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(e).

¹²¹ Reg. section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f).

¹²² Rev. Proc. 93-27, section 2.01.

¹²³ *Id.* at section 2.02.

¹²⁴ See reg. section 1.83-3(b).

¹²⁵ Rev. Proc. 2001-43, section 4.

to the manager of the fund (and its employees) in a manner that is not considered compensation upon grant, when the profits interest vests, or importantly, when the carried interest is earned (upon meeting specified profit or valuation targets). Rather, it allows the manager and its employees to be treated as a partner upon grant and taxed as a partner on its distributive share of partnership profits and losses. For this reason, carried interest is often structured to meet the requirements of the revenue procedures.

It is unclear, however, how the section 1202 exclusion will be applied to carried interest. Rev. Proc. 2001-43 requires that the service provider be treated as "the owner of the partnership interest from the date of its grant," and the service provider is required to take into account "the distributive share of partnership income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit associated with that interest in computing the service provider's income tax liability for the entire period during which the service provider has the interest." This applies regardless of whether the carried interest is vested or subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, and regardless of an election under section 83(b).¹²⁶ Thus, the IRS recognizes situations in which a taxpayer will be treated as a partner even if profits have not yet been realized and even before the taxpayer has vested in that profits interest.

This would seem to sufficiently satisfy the requirement under section 1202(g)(2)(B) that interest must be "held by the taxpayer on the date on which such pass-thru entity acquired such stock." It may also satisfy the limitation under section 1202(g)(3), which limits the exclusion "by reference to the interest the taxpayer held in the pass-thru entity on the date the qualified small business stock was acquired," because once carried interest is earned, it retroactively applies to give the partner an interest in a portfolio company (that is, the QSBS company) that has already been acquired by the partnership fund. The regulations provide, "The determination of a partner's interest in a partnership shall be made by taking into account all facts and circumstances relating to the economic arrangement of the

partners."¹²⁷ The regulations specify some factors to consider in determining a partner's interest in the partnership, including the partner's relative contribution to the partnership, the partner's interest in economic profit and loss, the partner's interest in cash flow and other non-liquidating distributions, and the partner's rights to distributions of capital upon liquidation.¹²⁸

In contrast, the regulations under section 1045 dealing with partnerships and the rollover election may provide a very different answer if they apply for section 1202 purposes. As noted, however, rollover elections under section 1045 by partnerships and their eligible partners are subject to a nonrecognition limitation. The amount of gain that an eligible partner does not recognize (under a sale of QSBS by a selling partnership) and that can be rolled over into replacement QSBS under section 1045 cannot exceed that limitation.¹²⁹ The nonrecognition limitation is generally determined by multiplying the partnership's realized gain on the QSBS sale against the eligible partner's "smallest percentage interest in partnership capital."¹³⁰ The regulations under section 1045 state:

An eligible partner's smallest percentage interest in partnership capital is the eligible partner's percentage share of capital determined at the time of the acquisition of the QSB stock as adjusted prior to the time the QSB stock is sold to reflect any reduction in the capital of the eligible partner including a reduction as a result of a disproportionate capital contribution by other partners, a disproportionate capital distribution to the eligible partner or the transfer of an interest by the eligible partner, but excluding income and loss allocations.¹³¹

Although the regulations specifically provide that the foregoing provision applies "for purposes of this section"¹³² (not referencing section 1202),

¹²⁶ Reg. section 1.704-1(b)(3)(i).

¹²⁸ Reg. section 1.704-1(b)(3)(ii).

¹²⁹ Reg. section 1.1045-1(d)(1).

¹³⁰ Reg. section 1.1045-1(d)(1)(ii).

¹³¹ *Id.*

¹²⁶ "Taxpayers to which this revenue procedure applies need not file an election under section 83(b) of the Code." *Id.* at section 3.

given the extensive linkages and cross-references between sections 1202 and 1045, the smallest percentage interest in partnership capital limitation could apply for purposes of section 1202(g)(2)(B) and (g)(3). If that limitation did apply for purposes of section 1202, the partners of the fund manager (general partner) of a private equity and venture capital fund would not be afforded the benefits of QSBS treatment to the extent the stock is attributable to the fund manager's carried interest (noncapital interest). This limitation, if applicable, would presumably also apply to any interest in the fund manager to the extent the fund manager received additional partnership fund interests as the result of a fee waiver (that is, forgoing the annual management fee for additional carried interest in the fund) or other cashless contribution.

To date, there is no published guidance on point. Note, however, that section 1045 was enacted in 1997, and the partnership regulations under section 1045 were finalized in 2007, whereas section 1202 was enacted in 1993. Section 1202 refers generally to the interest "held by the taxpayer on the date on which such pass-thru entity acquired such stock," and to the exclusion benefits being limited "by reference to the interest the taxpayer held in the pass-thru entity on the date the qualified small business stock was acquired." It does not specifically require a determination that the interest be based on the smallest percentage interest in partnership capital. Rev. Proc. 93-27 and Rev. Proc. 2001-43, dealing with profits interests, were published before the section 1045 partnership regulations were released, and nothing in those regulations refers to or contradicts those revenue procedures. Therefore, without further guidance that specifically provides that the section 1045 partnership limitations also apply in determining eligibility under section 1202, taxpayers may be able to take a position that stock acquired or sold as a result of earned carried interest is still eligible for QSBS status and the gain exclusion benefits thereunder.

The IRS has been asserting penalties more often as a strategic device, especially in areas in

which the law is undeveloped or otherwise uncertain. All tax advisers need to be cognizant of their potential penalty exposure for paid advice regarding filing positions taken in client tax returns based on that advice. It is especially important for the adviser to understand when a client can take a position without penalty risk but the adviser cannot — without disclosure in the client's tax return. For example, an adviser may tell a client there "is a position" for claiming a section 1202 exclusion, and in fact that position may have a reasonable basis. The client potentially can take that position without penalty in that case. However, that does not protect the paid adviser whose advice is incorporated into that filing position. Only with a properly filed Form 8275, "Disclosure Statement" — something that is unnecessary for client protection and might actually increase the client audit risk — can the adviser be penalty-protected.

F. How Should Installment Sales Be Treated?

An installment sale is generally defined as a disposition of property in which one or more payments are to be received after the close of the tax year in which the disposition occurs.¹³³ To qualify as an installment sale, at least one payment must be received in a tax year after the year of sale, but there is no requirement that there be more than one payment. Under section 453, the installment method permits gain from installment sales to be reported as the taxpayer receives the payments.¹³⁴ Each payment received is treated in part as a tax-free return of a portion of the seller's adjusted basis in the property, a taxable realization of the seller's gain, and interest. Assuming the QSBS is not publicly traded at the time of its sale,¹³⁵ if the seller receives payments in different tax years, the installment method is required unless the seller elects not to have the installment method apply to the sale.¹³⁶

According to the instructions for Schedule D:

If all payments aren't received in the year of sale, a sale of QSB stock that isn't traded

¹³³ Section 453(b)(1).

¹³⁴ Section 453(c).

¹³⁵ Section 453(k)(2).

¹³⁶ Section 453(d)(1).

¹³² Reg. section 1.1045-1(d)(1).

on an established securities market generally is treated as an installment sale and is reported on Form 6252.... Figure the allowable section 1202 exclusion for the year by multiplying the total amount of the exclusion by a fraction, the numerator of which is the amount of eligible gain to be recognized for the tax year and the denominator of which is the total amount of eligible gain.¹³⁷

As such, the instructions essentially prorate the excluded section 1202 gain.

Consider a taxpayer who sells his or her QSBS shares in a corporation that qualifies for the 100 percent exclusion percentage in 2018 for a total consideration of \$14 million, but \$4 million of the proceeds will be held in escrow to be paid in 2019. Assume the taxpayer's per-issuer limitation is \$10 million (and zero basis in the QSBS), and the taxpayer will have, in aggregate, \$10 million of excluded section 1202 gain and \$4 million of non-section-1202 gain. How should the taxpayer report the sale for 2018 and 2019?

The first option is to follow the Schedule D instructions, which call for prorating of the excluded section 1202 gain. Under this approach, the taxpayer should multiply the total \$10 million of excluded section 1202 gain by a fraction equal to current-year recognized eligible gain divided by the total eligible gain. Total eligible gain would be \$14 million, and the current-year amount would be \$10 million, so the exclusion would be approximately 71 percent or \$7.1 million, leaving \$2.9 million for 2019 – if received. However, the instructions appear to have no basis in the tax law. Section 1202(a) explicitly excludes from gross income *any* gain from the sale of QSBS stock held for five years. There is no exception for installment sales.

The second option is to claim the entire excluded section 1202 gain in 2018 based on a reasonable reading of sections 453 and 1202. The regulations provide, "Under the installment method, the amount of any payment which is income to the taxpayer is that portion of the installment payment received in that year which

the gross profit realized or to be realized bears to the total contract price (the 'gross profit ratio')."¹³⁸ In this example, \$10 million is realized in 2018 of a total contract price of \$14 million. Because there is no tax basis, the installment gain for 2018 would be \$10 million. Apply section 1202(a) and (b) to the 2018 tax return to determine the amount of exclusion. The recognized gain is \$10 million, so the \$10-million-per-issuer limitation would eliminate the full gain for 2018. For the 2019 tax year, the installment sale computation, assuming full collection of the remaining \$4 million, would generate installment non-section-1202 gain of \$4 million. There is no remaining exclusion available for 2019. This result is practical since it does not require taxpayers to recalculate gains or exclusion under section 1202 and amend tax returns in the event the anticipated payments to be paid after 2018 are not collected.

Installment sale treatment on the sale of QSBS likely may not be relied on to satisfy, in part, the five-year holding period requirement. For example, consider a taxpayer who has held QSBS for four years. The taxpayer sells the QSBS, agreeing to receive equal payments over the next three tax years. The taxpayer may not rely on installment sale treatment and claim that the last two installment payments (received more than five years after original issuance) qualify as eligible gain, thereby entitling the gain attributable to those payments to exclusion under section 1202(a). Although there is no direct guidance on this issue, allowing a taxpayer to satisfy the five-year holding requirement through deferred installment payments would conflict with the provisions on disqualifying hedging transactions under section 1202(j), as discussed earlier in this report.

On the other hand, installment treatment might be useful for a section 1045 rollover. As discussed, section 1045(a) provides a relatively short 60-day period to defer and reinvest recognized QSBS gain into a new acquisition of QSBS stock. The issue is if a taxpayer sells QSBS stock in an installment sale, can the taxpayer qualify for a section 1045 rollover by reinvesting, within 60 days, each payment of principal on the

¹³⁷ 2017 Instructions for IRS Schedule D, "Exclusion on Qualified Small Business (QSB) Stock."

¹³⁸ Reg. section 15a.453-1(b)(2)(i).

installment sale in replacement QSBS as the taxpayer receives it, or must the taxpayer reinvest the total sales price within 60 days of the closing, regardless of the amount of cash or other consideration the taxpayer may have received? There is no guidance under section 1045 on this issue. However, the 2020 final QOZ regulations may shed some light on how the IRS could rule on it.

Under section 1400Z-2, eligible taxpayers have a 180-day period to reinvest capital gain in a QOF in order to defer (and possibly exclude a portion of) that original gain. The regulations allow an eligible taxpayer to elect to choose the 180-day period to begin on either (1) the date a payment under the installment sale is received for that year, or (2) the last day of the tax year in which the eligible gain under the installment method would be recognized but for deferral under section 1400Z-2.¹³⁹ Thus, "if an eligible taxpayer receives one or more payments on an installment sale and treats the date the payment on the installment sale is received as the beginning of the 180-day period, each payment will begin a new 180-day period."¹⁴⁰

Note that installment payments may not be used in this manner for purposes of the 180-day like-kind exchange period under section 1031. Perhaps the distinction lies in the language of the statutes. Unlike sections 1045 and 1400Z-2, the reinvestment period under section 1031 starts "the date on which the taxpayer transfers the property relinquished in the exchange,"¹⁴¹ whereas for both sections 1045 and 1400Z-2, the reinvestment period starts "beginning on the date of such sale."¹⁴² More importantly, in the absence of significant rulings, regulations, or other IRS authority under sections 1045 and 1202, it seems more appropriate to look to the QOZ rules for guidance, given that QSBS and QOZs share many of the same goals. Namely, both are meant to encourage specific types of investments (that is, small business growth investments and economic growth in distressed communities), and both

provide mechanisms of gain deferral, exclusion, and rollover to achieve those results.

G. When to Die With QSBS or Donate It?

1. The step-up in basis.

Appreciated QSBS is unlike other appreciated property in which a step-up in basis under section 1014(a) can be highly beneficial. However, QSBS carries exclusion percentage benefits that can be transferred (and possibly stacked or multiplied) by gift during the lifetime of the taxpayer. Generally, taxpayers will benefit more from lifetime transfers of QSBS than from the step-up in basis because the step-up is often at the cost of estate tax inclusion. However, the step-up in basis can be beneficial in some circumstances, particularly if the taxpayer has no resulting estate tax liability.

For purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, the "adjusted basis of any stock shall be determined without regard to any addition to basis after the date on which such stock was originally issued."¹⁴³ Further, if a taxpayer contributes property (other than money or stock) to a QSB in exchange for stock in the corporation, for section 1202 purposes, the "basis of such stock in the hands of the taxpayer shall in no event be less than the fair market value of the property exchanged."¹⁴⁴ Depending on the acquisition date of the QSBS, the exclusion percentage attributable to QSBS can be 50 percent, 75 percent, or 100 percent, and taxpayers will have varying per-issuer limitations on eligible gain depending on several factors, including whether the taxpayer has exhausted his or her \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation and the tax basis of the QSBS for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation. Finally, at death, a taxpayer may have significant QSBS that is not considered eligible gain because the taxpayer has not held the stock for more than five years. All of the foregoing factors and circumstances will determine the amount of excluded section 1202 gain, section 1202 gain, and non-section-1202 gain that is unrealized at death and ultimately eliminated by the step-up in basis.

¹³⁹ See reg. section 1.1400Z2(a)-1(b)(11)(viii)(A) and (B).

¹⁴⁰ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(a)-1(b)(11)(viii)(B).

¹⁴¹ Section 1031(a)(3)(B)(i).

¹⁴² See sections 1045(a)(1) and 1400Z-2(a)(1)(A).

¹⁴³ Section 1202(b)(1) (flush language).

¹⁴⁴ Section 1202(i)(1)(B).

If the step-up in basis can be achieved with the payment of little or no federal estate and state death tax, the tax savings are achieved with essentially no cost (sometimes referred to as a “free base” situation). This can occur if the decedent had a sufficient applicable exclusion amount (including the temporary doubling of this amount under the TCJA) to cover the estate tax cost of inclusion, or if the QSBS is transferred to or for the benefit of a surviving spouse under the marital deduction of section 2056. As a result, a step-up in basis would be most beneficial to taxpayers if, at the time of death, some or all of the following factors are present regarding the QSBS includable in the estate:

1. very low adjusted tax basis in the QSBS;
2. QSBS entitled to an exclusion percentage of 50 percent (resulting in 50 percent of the unrealized gain treated as section 1202 gain, to the extent of the taxpayer’s per-issuer limitation at the time of death);
3. significant unrealized non-section-1202 gain (because of (1) contributions of very low-basis property at the time of conversion but not at a sufficiently high value at the time of contribution to dramatically increase the 10-times-basis limitation, and (2) significant appreciation above the taxpayer’s remaining per-issuer limitation at the time of death); and
4. significant unrealized appreciation on QSBS that has been held for less than five years at the time of death.

It bears repeating that the step-up in basis can result in a step-down in basis if the FMV of the QSBS is less than its adjusted tax basis on the date of death. For example, if a taxpayer purchases 100 percent exclusion QSBS for \$3 million in cash and dies when the QSBS has an FMV of \$2 million, the basis in the QSBS will step down to \$2 million. If the QSBS is subsequently sold for \$32 million (realizing \$30 million of gain), the 10-times-basis limitation would exclude \$20 million of the realized gain (not \$30 million, based on the original cost). If the taxpayer had made a transfer by gift of the \$2 million of QSBS immediately before death, the transferee would have been entitled to exclude up to \$30 million of gain.¹⁴⁵

Even if the QSBS is appreciated at the time of death, the IRS may argue there is a step-down in

basis for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation. For example, a taxpayer holds 100 percent exclusion QSBS that has zero adjusted tax basis, but for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, the basis under section 1202(i)(1)(B) is \$5 million because the taxpayer contributed zero-basis property valued at \$5 million in a section 351 transaction when he or she acquired the QSBS. On the date of the taxpayer’s death, the QSBS has an FMV of \$4 million. The estate will get a step-up in adjusted tax basis from zero to \$4 million under section 1014. If the QSBS is later sold for \$54 million (realizing \$50 million of gain), for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation, is the exclusion limitation \$50 million or \$40 million?

We believe the exclusion limitation remains at \$50 million. Although there has been an “addition to basis after the date on which such stock was originally issued,” it’s important to remember that this language applies only for purposes of the 10-times-basis limitation. The basis used in the tenfold calculation is still \$5 million, and to that figure there has not been “any addition to basis.” Section 1202(i) trumps any argument to reduce the basis because it clearly provides, “For purposes of this section — in the case where the taxpayer transfers property (other than money or stock) to a corporation in such corporation — the basis of such stock in the hands of the taxpayer shall in no event be less than the fair market value of the property exchanged.”¹⁴⁶

2. Contributions to charitable entities.

As noted earlier, the unrealized gain in QSBS can be excluded section 1202 gain, section 1202 gain, non-section-1202 gain, and non-eligible gain. As such, QSBS is not necessarily the best candidate to give to a charitable entity (private foundation, donor-advised fund, public charity, charitable lead trust, or charitable remainder trust) if one of the reasons for the gift is to save income taxes through a charitable income tax deduction under section 170 or by avoiding recognition of the gain. That being said, donors do make contributions of QSBS to charitable entities.

If a donor contributes QSBS that is not publicly traded to a private foundation, the

¹⁴⁵ See section 1015 and reg. section 1.1015-1(a)(1) and (2).

¹⁴⁶ Section 1202(i)(1)(B).

resulting income tax deduction will be limited to the adjusted basis of the QSBS. Private foundations are also subject to an excise tax on investment income under section 4940. It is unclear whether excluded section 1202 gain can be used to reduce the excise tax, assuming that QSBS status can be retained. To that end, QSBS status can be retained only if the private foundation is a trust. If the trust is a corporation, the QSBS status is lost. The QSBS factors listed previously that would favor inclusion in the estate to benefit from a step-up in basis are the same factors that would favor contribution of the QSBS to a charitable entity (like a donor-advised fund or other public charity) that is able to shelter the taxable gain resulting from the sale of the QSBS.

Also, appreciated QSBS can be contributed (transfer by gift) to split-interest charitable trusts like charitable remainder trusts and charitable lead trusts. A charitable remainder trust is tax exempt, so the sale of QSBS by the trust will not be taxable. On the other hand, the distributions to the non-charitable beneficiary are taxable under the "category and class" tier rules of accounting.¹⁴⁷ If a charitable remainder trust sells QSBS, the section 1202 gain (taxed at the maximum rate of 28 percent [31.8 percent]), excluded section 1202 gain (not taxable), and the non-section-1202 gain (taxable as long-term capital gain) will each be accounted for differently in the category and class tier rules. Under those rules, all of the charitable remainder trust's income is first divided into three categories of income: ordinary, capital gains, and other (excluded income). Then, within each category, the income is further subdivided into different classes based on the federal income tax rate applicable to the income, beginning with the class of income with the highest federal income tax rate.¹⁴⁸

In the context of QSBS, this means section 1202 gain will be deemed to be distributed first (that is, taxed at 28 percent plus 3.8 percent), followed by non-section-1202 gain and non-eligible gain (that is, taxed at 20 percent plus 3.8 percent).¹⁴⁹ It is unclear, however, how or if excluded section 1202 gain should be accounted for in the tier rules.

One interpretation is that the excluded section 1202 gain is the gain subject to the lowest rate of tax (0 percent) and as such would be distributed at the end of the capital gain category. Under that interpretation, it's unclear how this would be calculated. The amount of excluded section 1202 gain would be subject to the taxpayer's per-issuer limitation, but the taxpayer for these purposes could be the charitable remainder trust (as a separate taxpayer who received the QSBS in a transfer by gift) or the grantor who contributed the QSBS since the tier rules function as a way to tax the retained interest of the grantor.

Another interpretation is that the excluded section 1202 gain is not capital gain at all. One can find support for this interpretation in the regulations that address stock under former section 1202, which generally provided for a 60 percent deduction on net capital gain for noncorporate taxpayers under the idea that a deduction has the same effect as an exclusion over a portion of the gain. The regulations on charitable remainder trusts provide that the deductions allowable to a trust under section 1202 "are not allowed in determining the amount or character of any class of items within a category of income described in [reg. section 1.664-1(d)(1)(i)(a)] or to corpus."¹⁵⁰

Unlike charitable remainder trusts, charitable lead trusts are not tax exempt. They can either be structured as non-grantor charitable lead trusts or as grantor charitable lead trusts. As discussed, a contribution to a non-grantor charitable lead trust would be considered a permissible transfer by gift, allowing the trust to become the taxpayer of the QSBS and thus include an additional per-issuer limitation. By contrast, a contribution to a grantor charitable lead trust is ignored as a transfer, so the stock retains its QSBS status but there is no additional per-issuer limitation.

¹⁴⁷ Section 664(b) and reg. section 1.664-1(d)(1).

¹⁴⁸ See reg. section 1.664-1(d)(1)(i)(a).

¹⁴⁹ "The rules in this paragraph (d)(1) that require long-term capital gains to be distributed in the following order: first, 28-percent gain (gains and losses from collectibles and section 1202 gains); second, unrecaptured section 1250 gain (long-term gains not treated as ordinary income that would be treated as ordinary income if section 1250(b)(1) included all depreciation); and then, all other long-term capital gains are applicable for taxable years ending on or after December 31, 1998." Reg. section 1.664-1(d)(1)(ix).

¹⁵⁰ Reg. section 1.664-1(d)(2). Former section 1202 was repealed by section 301(a) of the Tax Reform Act of 1986.

Non-grantor charitable lead trusts do not provide the donor with an income tax deduction upon contribution, but the trust is entitled to a charitable income tax deduction under section 642(c) for the annual payments made to charity. The charitable deduction under section 642(c) is limited only by the taxable income of the trust and the annual payment to charity.¹⁵¹ Unlike section 170, which limits individual donors, the section 642(c) deduction is not limited by concepts of contribution base and adjusted gross income. As such, it is a good mechanism to shelter gain resulting from the sale of QSBS that has significant section 1202 gain and non-section-1202 gain, particularly if the QSBS is given in satisfaction of the required annual payment to charity (a recognition event).¹⁵²

Grantor charitable lead trusts entitle the donor to an income tax deduction, but the grantor continues to be the owner of the grantor trust's assets for income tax purposes.¹⁵³ The grantor remains responsible for the income tax liability associated with the trust's assets. The IRS has ruled that the annual payment by a charitable lead trust to charity will result in recognition of gain if the payment is satisfied with appreciated securities.¹⁵⁴ Thus, for grantors who wish to minimize this tax liability, it is better to contribute 100 percent exclusion percentage QSBS that has a higher tax basis if at all possible. Both non-grantor and grantor charitable lead annuity trusts can have additional significant transfer tax benefits for the remainder beneficiaries, and those can be amplified by backloading the payments. QSBS that is expected to appreciate would be a good candidate to contribute toward that goal.¹⁵⁵

H. Can QSBS and QOZ Investments Be Combined?

As discussed earlier, QSBS and QOZ investments encourage specific types of investments (that is, small business investments and economic growth in distressed communities), and both provide mechanisms of gain deferral, exclusion, and rollover. Interestingly, there seems no prohibition against a taxpayer getting the benefits of both QSBS and QOZ investments, as long as all the requirements under sections 1202 and 1400Z-2 are simultaneously satisfied. If, indeed, this is a possibility, taxpayers may be able to use the QOZ 180-day reinvestment period,¹⁵⁶ in lieu of section 1045's relatively short 60-day reinvestment period, and exclude under section 1202(a) all or a portion (as limited by the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation) of the deferred gain that otherwise would be recognized when the investment or a portion thereof is sold or exchanged before December 31, 2026, under section 1400Z-2(b)(1). Of course, a taxpayer can defer any recognized capital gain (even gain from the sale of a marketable security) by making a QOZ investment, and if that investment also qualifies for QSBS benefits, as discussed herein, that original gain or a portion thereof can be entirely excluded.

For example, in 2020 a taxpayer recognizes \$10 million of capital gain¹⁵⁷ and elects under section 1400Z-2(a) to defer that gain by making an investment, within the 180-day period, in a QOF, which can be organized as a corporation or a partnership.¹⁵⁸ Assume the QOF is a partnership¹⁵⁹ and that it invests the entire \$10 million in QOZ stock.¹⁶⁰ If the QOZ stock is issued by a C corporation that meets all the QSB and QSBS

¹⁵¹ "In the case of an estate or trust . . . there shall be allowed as a deduction in computing its taxable income (in lieu of the deduction allowed by section 170(a), relating to deduction for charitable, etc., contributions and gifts) any amount of the gross income, without limitation, which pursuant to the terms of the governing instrument is, during the taxable year, paid for a purpose specified in section 170(c) (determined without regard to section 170(c)(2)(A))." Section 642(c).

¹⁵² See Rev. Proc. 2007-45, 2007-2 C.B. 89, section 5.02(2); and Rev. Rul. 83-75, 1983-1 C.B. 114.

¹⁵³ See Rev. Proc. 2007-45; and Rev. Proc. 2007-46, 2007-2 C.B. 102.

¹⁵⁴ LTR 200920031.

¹⁵⁵ For a more complete discussion of charitable lead trusts and this backloading concept, see Paul S. Lee, Turney P. Berry, and Martin Hall, "Innovative CLAT Structures: Providing Economic Efficiencies to a Wealth Transfer Workhorse," 37 ACTEC L. J. 93 (Summer 2011).

¹⁵⁶ Section 1400Z-2(a)(1)(A).

¹⁵⁷ This could be a taxpayer who sells QSBS which results in \$10 million of capital gain that is not subject to exclusion under section 1202(a) (that is, the taxpayer has not held the QSBS for five years, or the gain exceeds the taxpayer's per-issuer limitation). Rather than attempting to roll over the gain under section 1045 (which would include a rollover of the tax basis in the QSBS, if any) within 60 days, the taxpayer elects to defer the \$10 million of capital gain by making a QOZ investment.

¹⁵⁸ "The term 'qualified opportunity fund' means any investment vehicle which is organized as a corporation or a partnership for the purpose of investing in qualified opportunity zone property (other than another qualified opportunity fund) that holds at least 90 percent of its assets in qualified opportunity zone property." Section 1400Z-2(d)(1).

¹⁵⁹ See reg. section 1.1400Z2 (a)-1(b)(25).

¹⁶⁰ Section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(A)(i).

requirements, the taxpayer should be able to combine the QOZ and QSBS benefits. For purposes of this illustration, all other partners in the QOF partnership are ignored. Assume that more than five years after the initial investment but before December 31, 2026, the \$10 million investment in the QOZ stock appreciates to \$30 million in value, and the QOF partnership sells a portion (approximately 37 percent) of the QOF stock for \$11 million and distributes the proceeds to the taxpayer. What is the resulting tax liability for the taxpayer, and will the taxpayer be able to exclude taxable gain under section 1202(a) and still qualify for additional benefits under section 1400Z-2?

Section 1400Z-2(b)(1)(A) provides that deferred gain will be included in income on “the date on which such investment is sold or exchanged,” and section 1202(a) provides an exclusion for “any gain from the sale or exchange” of QSBS held for more than five years. In this example, there is no question that a sale or exchange of QSBS/QOF stock has occurred at the partnership level. However, with QOFs, the mere sale or exchange doesn’t necessarily result in taxable gain.

By way of example, the regulations provide that a QOF has 12 months from the time of the sale or disposition of QOZ property, or from the return of capital from investments in QOZ stock, to reinvest the proceeds in other QOZ property before the proceeds are not considered QOZ property under the 90 percent investment requirement.¹⁶¹ In other words, without a corresponding inclusion event for the taxpayer, no recognition of gain has occurred for the taxpayer. Section 1202(a) requires a “sale or exchange” by the taxpayer, so the inclusion event may also need to be considered a sale or exchange. Further, QSBS gain recognized at the partnership level requires an amount to be “included in gross income by reason of holding an interest in a pass-thru entity.”¹⁶² So some inclusion events, like a transfer by gift of a qualifying interest in a QOF partnership,¹⁶³ would not be considered a taxable

sale or exchange and might disqualify the stock’s QSBS status, as discussed earlier. Therefore, to be sure that the taxpayer will get the benefit of the QSBS exclusion, it seems that a sale at the partnership level needs to have a corresponding inclusion event at the taxpayer level that is also considered a taxable sale or exchange.

The regulations provide that an inclusion event is any event that “reduces an eligible taxpayer’s direct equity interest for Federal income tax purposes in the qualifying investment”¹⁶⁴ or under which the taxpayer receives property “with respect to its qualifying investment and the event is treated as a distribution for Federal income tax purposes, whether or not the receipt reduces the eligible taxpayer’s ownership of the QOF.”¹⁶⁵ Specific to partnerships, the regulations provide that “an actual or deemed distribution of property, including cash, by a QOF partnership to a partner with respect to its qualifying investment is an inclusion event only to the extent that the distributed property has a fair market value in excess of the partner’s basis in its qualifying investment.”¹⁶⁶ In effect, that provision mimics section 731(a)(1), which provides that distributions of money exceeding the partner’s adjusted basis of that partner’s interest in the partnership will result in gain (although distributions of property other than money are generally nontaxable). Importantly, section 731(a) states that “any gain or loss recognized under this subsection shall be considered as gain or loss from the sale or exchange of the partnership interest of the distributee partner.”¹⁶⁷ The preamble to the 2020 final QOZ regulations explicitly confirms sale or exchange treatment on this inclusion event:

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that an election under section 1400Z-2(c)¹⁶⁸ should be available for gain resulting from . . . section 731(a) . . . on a qualifying investment because such gain is

¹⁶¹ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(1)(i).

¹⁶² Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(1)(ii).

¹⁶³ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(6)(iii). See also reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(7)(ii) for the corresponding rule for S corporation distributions.

¹⁶⁴ Section 731(a) (flush language).

¹⁶⁵ See reg. section 1.1400Z2(f)-1(b).

¹⁶⁶ Section 1202(g)(1).

¹⁶⁷ See reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(3).

treated as gain from the sale or exchange of property for Federal income tax purposes.¹⁶⁹

Note that simply holding the QOF partnership interest on December 31, 2026, would also be an inclusion event, but that event would likely not be considered a sale or exchange.¹⁷⁰

Assuming the sale of the QSBS/QOF stock and the corresponding distribution of \$11 million of money to the taxpayer in this example will satisfy the sale or exchange requirement under section 1202(a), the taxpayer will recognize \$10 million of net capital gain. The regulations provide, "In the case of an inclusion event described in [reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(6)(iii)] . . . the amount of gain included in gross income is equal to the lesser of — (i) The remaining deferred gain; or (ii) The amount that gave rise to the inclusion event."¹⁷¹ The taxpayer's initial basis in the QOF partnership is zero, but because the taxpayer has held the qualified investment for more than five years, the basis at the time of the distribution is \$1 million (10 percent of the deferred gain).¹⁷² Assuming the QOF stock also qualifies as QSBS, the taxpayer can exclude the \$10 million of recognized gain under section 1202(a). The relevant per-issuer limitation for the taxpayer is the \$10-million-per-taxpayer limitation, not the 10-times-basis limitation, because for this purpose any additions to the zero-basis QOF investment are ignored, and this results in no exclusion under the 10-times-basis calculation.¹⁷³

Section 1400Z-2(c) provides that if a taxpayer holds a qualifying investment for at least 10 years and the taxpayer so elects, "the basis of such property shall be equal to the fair market value of such investment on the date that the investment is sold or exchanged." In this example, assume that

after more than 10 years from the date of investment, the QOF partnership sells the remaining QOF stock for \$19 million (no change in value from the date of the distribution to the taxpayer), and the taxpayer makes the appropriate election to get the benefits of the section 1400Z-2(c) basis adjustment. Does the taxpayer, who had a prior inclusion event recognizing the entire deferred gain, get the benefit of the basis adjustment to FMV on all, or a portion, of the QOF stock sold?

The regulations provide a specific set of rules for inclusion events that result from partnership distributions (and distributions by QOF S corporations). The preamble explains that for inclusion events under reg. sections 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(6)(iii) (partnership distributions) and 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(7)(ii) (distributions by a QOF S corporation), "the section 1400Z-2(c) election continues to be available to a partner or S corporation shareholder, respectively, as long as the QOF owner continues to hold a qualifying investment in the QOF partnership or QOF S corporation, despite the distribution that caused an inclusion event."¹⁷⁴ Specifically, the regulations provide:

The occurrence of an inclusion event described in [reg.] section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(6)(iii), which addresses a distribution of property by a QOF partnership to a QOF partner where the distributed property has a fair market value in excess of the QOF partner's basis in its qualifying investment, does not prevent the QOF partner from making a subsequent election described in section 1400Z-2(c) with respect to the QOF partner's qualifying QOF partnership interest.¹⁷⁵

Significantly, partnership distribution inclusions are not subject to the portion reduction rules,¹⁷⁶ as are other inclusion events (that is, a sale or gift of a portion of the taxpayer's QOF interest). These rules generally calculate the amount of gain based on the FMV of the disposed QOF interest

¹⁶⁸ Referring to the taxpayer election to adjust the basis of a QOZ investment held for at least 10 years to FMV on the date that investment is sold or exchanged.

¹⁶⁹ Preamble to T.D. 9889, 85 F.R. 1866, 1893 (Jan. 13, 2020).

¹⁷⁰ See section 1400Z-2(b)(1). Deferred gain is recognized upon the earlier of a sale or exchange of the investment or December 31, 2026.

¹⁷¹ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(e)(2).

¹⁷² Section 1400Z-2(b)(2)(B)(i), (ii), and (iii); and reg. sections 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c)(6)(iii) and (v), 1.1400Z2(b)-1(e)(5), and 1.1400Z2(b)-1(g)(4). See also reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(f)(10) and (11) (dealing with debt-financed distributions from a partnership).

¹⁷³ Section 1202(b)(1) (flush language).

¹⁷⁴ Preamble to T.D. 9889, 85 F.R. at 1893.

¹⁷⁵ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(c)-1(b)(1)(v).

¹⁷⁶ The portion rule applies except as provided in reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(e)(2) and (4). Section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(e)(1).

and the FMV of the total qualifying investment.¹⁷⁷ These portion rules, under some circumstances, could limit a taxpayer's benefit under section 1400Z-2(c). For example, a gift of 90 percent of a taxpayer's QOF interest would be an inclusion event of 90 percent of the deferred gain but would also prevent the taxpayer from getting the section 1400Z-2(c) basis election on 90 percent of the QOF investment.¹⁷⁸ In contrast, the QOF partnership distribution in this example provides for an inclusion event of 100 percent of the deferred gain (also excludable under section 1202(a)) but still allows the taxpayer to get the benefits of the basis adjustment under section 1400Z-2(c).

In this example, the QOF partnership sells the remaining QOZ stock after the 10-year holding period has been satisfied.¹⁷⁹ Under reg. section 1.1400Z2(c)-1(b)(2)(ii)(A), the taxpayer can make an election to exclude all gain allocable to the sale of the qualifying investment.¹⁸⁰ The taxpayer is treated as receiving a distribution of cash and immediately recontributing the cash to the QOF partnership in exchange for a nonqualifying investment in the QOF partnership.¹⁸¹ That contribution and recontribution is only for purposes of determining the taxpayer's qualifying or nonqualifying investment in the QOF partnership; it has no other federal income tax consequences.¹⁸² The deemed contribution and recontribution is unnecessary if the QOF partnership distributes the cash proceeds from the sale within 90 days.¹⁸³ If the QOF partnership sells the remaining QOZ stock for \$19 million and within 90 days distributes the proceeds, the

taxpayer can elect to exclude that gain, and when the proceeds from the sale are distributed to the taxpayer, the basis of the taxpayer's QOF partnership interest will be increased by \$19 million to reflect the exempt income,¹⁸⁴ allowing the taxpayer to receive the proceeds free of tax. If, in this example, the remaining QOF stock is distributed to the taxpayer and the taxpayer then sells it, the taxpayer can elect under section 1400Z-2(c) to adjust the basis to FMV at the time of the sale.¹⁸⁵

There seems no policy reason or any provision in the code or the regulations that would prevent a taxpayer from combining the benefits of QSBS and QOZ investments. However, getting both benefits will happen only under a narrow set of circumstances. The QOF stock must satisfy all the QSBS qualifications, including the aggregate gross asset requirement and the active business requirement, whose definition of a qualified trade or business is narrower than for the businesses that would be considered QOZ businesses. Like QSBS, QOZ stock must be acquired by original issuance from a domestic corporation that is a QOZ business during substantially all of the QOF's holding period for that stock.¹⁸⁶ A QOZ business is defined as a trade or business (within the meaning of section 162)¹⁸⁷ (1) in which substantially all of the tangible personal property owned or leased by the taxpayer is QOZ business property;¹⁸⁸ (2) that satisfies the requirements of section 1397C(b)(2), (4), and (8);¹⁸⁹ and (3) that is not described in section 144(c)(6)(B).¹⁹⁰

The section 1397C(b) requirements are similar to, but not the same as, the active business requirements under section 1202, as discussed

¹⁷⁷ See reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(e)(1)(i) and (ii).

¹⁷⁸ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(c)-1(b)(1)(i) provides: "To the extent a taxpayer described in the preceding sentence has an inclusion event described in Section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c) with respect to any portion of a qualifying investment, that portion is no longer a qualifying investment and the taxpayer is not eligible to make an election pursuant to section 1400Z-2(c) and this section with respect to that portion." Reg. section 1.1400Z2(b)-1(c) refers generally to the "inclusion events."

¹⁷⁹ After the initial sale of QSBS/QOF stock and corresponding distribution of the proceeds, it is not necessary that the QOF partnership continue to hold the QOF stock. The QOF stock can be sold and reinvested, within 12 months, into a QOZ investment that would not be considered QSBS and still maintain the ongoing QOZ benefits.

¹⁸⁰ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(c)-1(b)(1)(v).

¹⁸¹ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(c)-1(b)(2)(ii)(B)(1).

¹⁸² *Id.*

¹⁸³ Reg. section 1.1400Z2(c)-1(b)(2)(ii)(B)(2)(ii) and -1(d)(4), Example 4.

¹⁸⁴ "With respect to the taxpayer making an election under paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, the excess of any gains over losses excluded from income under paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section is treated as income of the partnership . . . that is exempt from tax under the Internal Revenue Code for purposes of section 705(a)(1)(B)." Reg. section 1.1400Z2(c)-1(b)(2)(ii)(C)(1).

¹⁸⁵ See reg. section 1.1400Z2(c)-1(b)(1)(i).

¹⁸⁶ Section 1400Z-2(d)(2)(B)(i).

¹⁸⁷ See reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(1).

¹⁸⁸ Section 1400Z-2(d)(3)(A)(i). See reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(1)(i) and (2), establishing a 70 percent tangible property standard.

¹⁸⁹ Section 1400Z-2(d)(3)(A)(ii). See reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(3).

¹⁹⁰ Section 1400Z-2(d)(3)(A)(iii). See reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(iii).

earlier. Section 1397C(b)(2) requires that for each tax year at least 50 percent of the gross income of a QOZ business is derived from the active conduct of a trade or business¹⁹¹ in the QOZ.¹⁹² Section 1397C(b)(4) requires that, for any tax year, a substantial portion of the intangible property of an Opportunity Zone business be used in the active conduct of a trade or business in the QOZ.¹⁹³ Section 1397C(b)(8) limits, in each tax year, the average of the aggregate unadjusted bases of the property of a QOZ business that may be attributable to nonqualified financial property. Section 1397C(e)(1) defines "nonqualified financial property" for purposes of section 1397C(b)(8) and excludes from that term reasonable amounts of working capital held in cash, cash equivalents, or debt instruments with a term of 18 months or less (working capital assets).¹⁹⁴

The reference to section 144(c)(6)(B) provides that the following trades or businesses cannot qualify as a QOZ business: any (1) private or commercial golf course, (2) country club, (3) massage parlor, (4) hot tub facility, (5) suntan facility, (6) racetrack or other facility used for gambling, or (7) store whose principal business is the sale of alcoholic beverages for consumption off premises.¹⁹⁵ These are often referred to as the "sin businesses." However, outside the enumerated sin businesses, all other trades or businesses would qualify. As such, there should be a significant amount of active trades or businesses that would fall within the qualified trade or business definition of section 1202(e)(3) and the QOZ business definition of section 1400Z-2(d)(3). Notably, however, although the ownership, operation, and leasing of real property is considered a QOZ business, it would likely not satisfy the active business requirement for QSBS purposes.

IV. Conclusion

QSBS has finally matured. More than 25 years after the enactment of section 1202, the tax landscape has finally evolved such that the benefits of QSBS should be considered for all clients who own an interest in a closely held trade or business (or who are planning to start one). It's not just for technology start-ups anymore. The time is finally here for sole proprietorships, disregarded entities, partnerships, LLCs, and S corporations (with some limitations) to consider a reorganization that might involve a conversion to a C corporation or the creation of a new C corporation.

The benefits of QSBS can be extraordinary: (1) 100 percent exclusion of gain on the sale of QSBS; (2) the ability to roll over gains and defer taxable gains; and (3) the opportunity to stack and pack the exclusion so that the potential exclusion can be in the hundreds of millions. Unfortunately, section 1202 can present unusual challenges to taxpayers. It is easy to inadvertently lose the benefits of QSBS, and the lack of official guidance and the quirks of section 1202 make planning difficult at times. This report is an attempt to provide a complete and balanced discussion of the qualifications, the potential pitfalls, the unresolved issues, the answers to those issues, and the significant opportunities with QSBS for careful planners and their clients.¹⁹⁶

¹⁹¹ Solely for purposes of section 1400Z-2(d)(3)(A), the ownership and operation (including leasing) of real property is considered the active conduct of a trade or business, although merely entering into a triple-net lease will not qualify. Reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(3)(iii).

¹⁹² See reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(3)(i).

¹⁹³ See reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(3)(ii) (defining "substantial portion" to mean at least 40 percent).

¹⁹⁴ See reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(3)(iv).

¹⁹⁵ See reg. section 1.1400Z-1(d)(4).

¹⁹⁶ The views and opinions expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of the institution that each represents. The information herein is provided solely for educational purposes. While this material is based on information believed to be reliable, no warranty is given as to its accuracy or completeness and it should not be relied upon as such. Information and opinions provided herein are as of the date of this material only and are subject to change without notice.

Appendix

Movement of QSBS Shares

Description of Transfer	QSBS Treatment of Transfer	QSBS Status Retained?	Additional Per-Issuer Limitation?
Contribution of QSBS to revocable living trust	Ignored	Yes	No
Gift of QSBS to IDGT	Ignored	Yes	No
Contribution of QSBS to GRAT	Ignored	Yes	No
Payment of QSBS to grantor from GRAT in satisfaction of annuity payment	Ignored	Yes	No
QSBS transferred upon expiration of the GRAT term to grantor trust	Ignored	Yes	No
QSBS transferred upon expiration of GRAT term to individual (other than grantor) or to non-grantor trust	By gift	Yes	Yes
Sale of QSBS to IDGT in exchange for installment note	Ignored	Yes	No
Transfer of QSBS from IDGT to grantor in satisfaction of installment note debt held by grantor	Ignored	Yes	No
Taxable sale of QSBS to individual or non-grantor trust	Disqualifying transfer	No	No
Gift of QSBS to individual	By gift	Yes	Yes
Transferring QSBS to spouse who is filing separately	By gift	Yes	No
Transferring QSBS to spouse who is filing jointly	By gift	Yes	Unknown
Transferring QSBS incident to divorce	By gift	Yes	Yes
Contribution of QSBS to non-grantor trust	By gift	Yes	Yes
Contribution of QSBS to DING, NING, or other incomplete gift non-grantor trust	By gift	Yes	Yes
Distribution of QSBS from grantor or non-grantor trust to a beneficiary (other than the grantor)	By gift	Yes	Yes
Distribution (or decanting) of QSBS from grantor or non-grantor trust to another non-grantor trust that is considered a separate taxpayer	By gift	Yes	Yes
Splitting pot trust holding QSBS into separate trusts for specific beneficiaries	By gift	Yes	Yes
Termination of grantor trust status when trust holds QSBS	By gift	Yes	Yes
Termination of grantor trust status when trust holds QSBS and it collateralizes debt that exceeds basis	By gift; and disqualifying transfer	Yes and no	Yes and no
Conversion of non-grantor trust to grantor trust status when trust holds QSBS	Ignored	Yes	No
Conversion of non-grantor trust to grantor trust status when trust holds QSBS and it collateralizes debt that exceeds basis	Ignored	Yes	No

Movement of QSBS Shares (*Continued*)

Description of Transfer	QSBS Treatment of Transfer	QSBS Status Retained?	Additional Per-Issuer Limitation?
Transfer of QSBS under the exercise of a limited or general power of appointment	By gift	Yes	Yes
Contribution of QSBS to family limited partnership and sale by FLP	Disqualifying transfer	No	No
Contribution of QSBS, distribution back to contributing partner, and sale by the partner	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Distribution of QSBS from FLP to a partner	Partnership to partner	Yes	No
Contribution of QSBS to disregarded entity LLC	Ignored	Yes	No
Conversion of disregarded entity LLC holding QSBS to partnership (may depend on whether the QSBS is sold by the partnership or by the "contributing" partner)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Gift of interest in FLP holding QSBS to individual or non-grantor trust	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Gift of interest in FLP holding QSBS to GRAT or IDGT, whether a zeroed-out gift or a taxable gift	Ignored	Yes	No
Sale of interest in FLP holding QSBS to an IDGT in exchange for installment note	Ignored	Yes	No
Contribution of QSBS to S corporation	Disqualifying transfer	No	No
Distribution of QSBS from S corporation to shareholder	Disqualifying transfer	No	No
Gift of interest in S corporation holding QSBS to individual or non-grantor trust	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Contribution of QSBS to charitable remainder trust	By gift	Yes	Unknown
Contribution of QSBS to grantor charitable lead trust	Ignored	Yes	No
Contribution of QSBS to non-grantor charitable lead trust	By gift	Yes	Yes
Bequest of QSBS	By death	Yes	Yes
Transfer of QSBS in joint account by right of survivorship	By death	Yes	Yes
Distribution of QSBS from a revocable living trust upon the death of the grantor	By death	Yes	Yes